Indicators and scoring criteria for assessing palm oil producers, processors and traders – October 2019

SPOTT uses a detailed framework of indicators and scoring criteria to assess palm oil producers and traders on the public disclosure of their policies, operations and commitments to environmental, social and governance (ESG) best practice. This ensures a fair and consistent approach to assessing all companies on SPOTT. To gain points, a company must make the required information publicly available on its website; within its annual reports, sustainability reports, presentations or other public documents; on the websites of its parent company and/or subsidiaries (if applicable), or on specific third-party platforms (“External sources”).

SPOTT classifies indicators according to the following categories:

**Organisation:** The transparency and content of company disclosure regarding its operations, assets and management structure.
*Examples include total landbank hectarage, the number of mills owned, reporting of salary by gender, and whether the company publishes a sustainability report.*

**Policy:** The transparency and content of company disclosure regarding the policies, commitments and processes it has to guide its operations and practices on the ground.
*Examples include policies on no deforestation, zero burning, and respecting human rights.*

**Practice:** The transparency and content of company disclosure regarding activities it undertakes, in order to actively progress towards its targets and implement its policies and commitments on the ground.
*Examples include reported activities to monitor deforestation and to manage fires in concessions, and the percentage of the company’s supply traceable to mill and plantation/concession level.*

Within the practice category, SPOTT differentiates between data that is self-reported by companies and data that is externally verified (aligning with the Accountability Framework Initiative [AFi]),¹ as follows:

**Externally verified:** Assessment and validation of compliance, performance and/or actions taken by a company relative to its commitment that is verified by either a second- or third-party (as defined by the AFi).

**Self-reported:** Reporting of compliance, performance, and/or actions taken by a company relative to its commitment that is not externally verified, including data that is verified by a first-party (as defined by the AFi).

---

¹ [https://accountability-framework.org/definitions/?definition_category=44](https://accountability-framework.org/definitions/?definition_category=44)
Disclosure types: □ Organisation  □ Policy  □ Practice

Disclaimer: Indicators have been classified as Environmental (E), Social (S), Governance (G) or a combination thereof. ZSL recognises that many issues cover multiple dimensions of ESG but has classified the indicators based on their predominant impact where possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1  | Sustainable palm oil policy or commitment for all its operations ESG | Disabled if only a processor/trader.

1 point Yes: Has own policy on sustainable/responsible/ethical (or similar) palm oil for all the company's palm oil operations (i.e. all palm oil it produces, purchases and/or trades), or clearly commits to the policy of its parent company or a buyer which covers all its operations. Can be a standalone document or clear policies on sustainability. The policy should cover multiple dimensions of sustainability across the company’s operations covering both social and environmental issues.

0.5 points Partial: Commits to policy of its parent company or supplier, but does not clearly state that it applies to all the company's operations; or has policy, but not clear that policy applies to all its operations (i.e. all palm oil it produces, purchases and/or trades); or only general policies/commitments; or policy does not cover both social and environmental issues.

0 points No: Has no policy/commitment; or only general statement that commits to sustainability; or only has corporate social responsibility (CSR) programme.

| 2  | Sustainability policy or commitment applies to all suppliers ESG | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.

1 point Yes: Has own policy on sustainable/responsible/ethical (or similar) palm oil for all suppliers (direct and indirect). Can be a standalone document or clear policies on sustainability. The policy should cover multiple dimensions of sustainability across the company's operations covering both social and environmental issues.

0.5 points Partial: Does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers; or only general policies/commitments; or policy does not cover both social and environmental issues; or has separate supplier/sourcing policy that is more limited in scope than main sustainability policy.

0 points No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.

| 3  | High-level position of responsibility for sustainability G | 1 point Yes: Reports high-level position with sustainability responsibility, excluding board members.

0.5 points Partial: Sustainability team or similar, but not clear which position has high-level responsibility.

0 points No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.

| 4  | One or more members within the board of the company have responsibility for sustainability G | Disabled if company is not publicly listed and does not have a board.

1 point Yes: One or more members have responsibility for sustainability within the board of directors.

0 points No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage or number of women in senior management team SG</th>
<th>Percentage or number of women board members SG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[1 point] Yes: Reports number or percentage of women in senior management team or equivalent. <strong>P</strong> [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; or figure is difficult to calculate using available data. <strong>X</strong> [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated. N.B. Senior management team is defined as a group of high-level executives that actively participate in the daily supervision, planning and administrative processes required by a business to help meet its objectives.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Disabled if company is not publicly listed and does not have a board. [1 point] Yes: Reports number or percentage of women within the board of directors. <strong>P</strong> [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; or figure is difficult to calculate using available data. <strong>X</strong> [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with palm oil production ES</td>
<td><strong>Scope</strong> Comprehensive: Member of two or more schemes. Limited: Member of only one scheme; or only subsidiary/parent company is a member of one or more schemes. Insufficient: No scheme membership. <strong>Scoring</strong> [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive. <strong>P</strong> [0.5 points] Partial: Limited. <strong>X</strong> [0 points] No: Insufficient. <strong>External sources:</strong> POIG [<a href="http://poig.org/poig-members/">http://poig.org/poig-members/</a>]; UNGC [<a href="http://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/participants">www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/participants</a>]; WBCSD [<a href="http://www.wbcsd.org/Overview/Our-members">http://www.wbcsd.org/Overview/Our-members</a>]; TFA 2020 [<a href="https://www.tfa2020.org/en/about-tfa/partners">https://www.tfa2020.org/en/about-tfa/partners</a>]; HCS [<a href="http://highcarbonstock.org/members">http://highcarbonstock.org/members</a>]; HCVRN [<a href="https://hcvcnetwork.org/who-we-are/">https://hcvcnetwork.org/who-we-are/</a>]; FFA [<a href="http://www.firefreealliance.org/feed/organizations">http://www.firefreealliance.org/feed/organizations</a>]; Natural Capital Coalition [<a href="http://naturalcapitalcoalition.org/who/coalition-organizations/">http://naturalcapitalcoalition.org/who/coalition-organizations/</a>]; GAA [<a href="http://globalagribusinessalliance.com/members/">http://globalagribusinessalliance.com/members/</a>]; SASPO [<a href="https://saspo.org/membership/members-listing/">https://saspo.org/membership/members-listing/</a>]; GRI Community member [<a href="https://www.globalreporting.org/Pages/GRIOrganizationsSearchPage.aspx">https://www.globalreporting.org/Pages/GRIOrganizationsSearchPage.aspx</a>]; NYDF [<a href="https://nydfglobalplatform.org/endorsers/">https://nydfglobalplatform.org/endorsers/</a>]; PONGO Alliance [<a href="http://www.pongoalliance.org/about-us/partners/">http://www.pongoalliance.org/about-us/partners/</a>]; or others clearly focused on reducing negative environmental or social outcomes associated with palm oil production (assessed on case-by-case basis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Collaboration with stakeholders to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with palm oil production ES</td>
<td><strong>Scope</strong> Comprehensive: Describes activities with stakeholders (governments/NGOs/academic institutions) to support positive environmental or social outcomes associated with palm oil production, such as jurisdictional approaches, sectoral initiatives, multi-stakeholder or community collaborations, or strengthening of certification schemes. Limited: Provides examples of activities with stakeholders, but no/very limited details given or not clearly focused on reducing negative environmental or social outcomes associated with palm oil production; or data between two and five years old. Insufficient: No data; or data over five years old; or undated. <strong>Scoring</strong> [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive. <strong>P</strong> [0.5 points] Partial: Limited. <strong>X</strong> [0 points] No: Insufficient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9 | Sustainability report published within last two years | ESG | ✔️ [1 point] Yes: Published sustainability report or progress update within last two years (i.e. can publish in 2017 but may cover 2016). An integrated annual/sustainability report is acceptable if sufficiently detailed (i.e. as a general rule, covers both environmental and social aspects and more than two pages on palm oil).  
△ [0.5 points] Partial: Report produced between two and three years ago.  
✖️ [0 points] No: No report; or progress update published over two years ago; or report published over three years ago; or limited detail in annual report.  
| 10 | Reports through standardised reporting systems | ESG | ✔️ [1 point] Yes: Reports in one or more standardised reporting systems within the last two years.  
✖️ [0 points] No: No reporting standards used; or reporting over two years old.  
External sources: GRI [https://database.globalreporting.org/](https://database.globalreporting.org/); CDP Forests’ reporting questionnaire [https://www.cdp.net/en/responses](https://www.cdp.net/en/responses); or other reporting and disclosure platforms to be assessed on a case by case basis. |
| 11 | Verification report on compliance with POIG Charter, if a POIG member | ESG | Disabled if not a POIG member.  
**Scope**  
Comprehensive: POIG verification report has been published.  
Insufficient: No POIG verification report has been published.  
N.B. Limited reporting option not applicable for this indicator.  
**Scoring**  
✔️ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive.  
✖️ [0 points] No: Insufficient.  
| 12 | Climate risks assessment available | EG | **Scope**  
Comprehensive: The company has conducted and published an assessment of climate-related risks including for example: actual and potential impacts of climate change on the company; metrics, targets and processes used to assess or manage climate risks; responsibilities.  
Limited: Only the summary of the risk assessment is available.  
Insufficient: No climate-related risks assessment or summary available.  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
✔️ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
△ [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
△ [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
✖️ [0 points] No: Insufficient.  
## Landbank, maps and traceability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 13 | Total land area managed/controlled for oil palm (ha) | **Disabled if only a processor/trader.**<br>✅ [1 point] Yes: Total landbank the company manages/controls for oil palm (may include infrastructure or other crops if clearly stated).<br>✅ [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; only provides total landbank (including other crops) but the area for oil palm is unclear; data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations; or figure complicated to calculate (i.e. total landbank minus rubber planted area); or highly contradictory figures published from same time period (i.e. same year).<br>❌ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated; or figure provided aggregates area controlled by the company and its independent suppliers.  
**External source:** RSPO ACOP 2.1.8 Total land controlled/managed for oil palm cultivation (autosum). |
| 14 | Total oil palm planted area (ha) | **Disabled if only a processor/trader.**<br>✅ [1 point] Yes: Total area planted with oil palm, including both estates/nucleus and scheme smallholder/plasma areas, if applicable.<br>✅ [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; or data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations; or figure complicated to calculate; or highly contradictory figures are published from same time period (i.e. same year).<br>❌ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated; or figure provided aggregates area controlled by the company and its independent suppliers.  
**External source:** RSPO ACOP 2.1.2 Total land controlled/managed for oil palm cultivation, planted. |
| 15 | Plasma/scheme smallholders planted area (ha) | **Disabled if no plasma/scheme smallholders or if only a processor/trader.**<br>✅ [1 point] Yes: Total plasma/scheme smallholder area planted with oil palm.<br>✅ [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; or data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations; or figure complicated to calculate; or highly contradictory figures published from same time period (i.e. same year).<br>❌ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**External source:** RSPO ACOP 2.1.6 Total land under scheme/plasma smallholders certified and 2.1.7 Total land under scheme/plasma smallholders uncertified. |
| 16 | Unplanted (areas designated for future planting) (ha) | **Disabled if only a processor/trader.**<br>✅ [1 point] Yes: Area not yet planted but has been designated for future planting of oil palm, or area not yet planted but has obtained the necessary permits for oil palm planting (e.g. obtained HGU in Indonesia).<br>✅ [0.5 points] Partial: Unclear terminology (i.e. states "unplantable" or "unplanted including infrastructure"); or data between two and five years old; or data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations; or figure complicated to calculate; or highly contradictory figures published from same time period (i.e. same year).<br>❌ [0 points] No: No data; data over five years old; or undated.  
**External source:** RSPO ACOP 2.1.3 Total area unplanted. |
| 17 | Conservation set-aside area, including High Conservation Value (HCV) area (ha) | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
- [1 point] Yes: Area set aside for conservation, including HCV area, HCS area, and other areas such as peatlands, steep slopes, etc.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; data provided does not cover company’s known scope of operations; or figure complicated to calculate; or highly contradictory figures published from same time period (i.e. same year).  
- [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated; or figure provided aggregates area set aside by the company and its suppliers.  
  
  **External source:** RSPO ACOP 2.1.4 Total land designated and managed as HCV areas; 2.1.5 Other conservation area sets aside excluding HCV areas. |
| 18 | Area for infrastructure (ha) | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
- [1 point] Yes: Area for infrastructure (i.e. mills, roads, buildings, etc.).  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; or data provided does not cover company’s known scope of operations; or figure complicated to calculate; or highly contradictory figures published from same time period (i.e. same year).  
- [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
  
  **External source:** RSPO ACOP 2.1.4 Total land designated and managed as HCV areas; 2.1.5 Other conservation area sets aside excluding HCV areas. |
| 19 | Maps of estates/management units | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
- [1 point] Yes: Has names and geo-referenced maps which clearly show estate boundaries (e.g. shapefile or KML) for all estates; or specifies that maps represent 100% of concession sites in RSPO ACOP.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Has names and geo-referenced maps for some estates; or unclear if all estates; or only has image file showing local locations of all/some estates; or data between two and five years old.  
- [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated; or static image file not at a local scale (i.e. cannot determine accurately where estate located) or text on image unreadable.  
  
  **N.B.** If clearly states no expansion in landbank then maps may be over two years old for full points.  
  
  **External sources:** RSPO ACOP 5.1 Concession map; Map declaration; 5.2 concessions acquired or change in ownership; Global Forest Watch oil palm concessions; GeoRSPO [http://www.rspo.org/geo-rspo](http://www.rspo.org/geo-rspo). |
| 20 | Maps of scheme/plasma smallholders | Disabled if no scheme/plasma smallholders or if only a processor/trader.  
- [1 point] Yes: Geo-referenced maps (shapefile or KML) for all scheme smallholder estates.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Geo-referenced maps for some scheme smallholder estates; unclear if all scheme smallholders; or only has image file showing location of all/some scheme smallholders; or data between two and five years old.  
- [0 points] No: No data; data over five years old; or undated; or if static image file not at a local scale (i.e. cannot determine accurately where estate located) or text on image unreadable.  
  
  **N.B.** If clearly states no expansion in landbank then maps may be over two years old for full points.  
  
  **External sources:** GeoRSPO [http://www.rspo.org/geo-rspo](http://www.rspo.org/geo-rspo). |
|   | Names and locations of all third-party supplying plantations   | Disabled if company only sources from its own plantations. Information must be in one or two places rather than spread in multiple places.  
|   | ES         |  
|   |             | [1 point] Yes: The company reports names and geo-referenced maps which clearly show estate boundaries (e.g. shapefile or KML) for all supplier estates within supply chain.  
|   |             | [0.5 points] Partial: The company only reports some of this information; or unclear if all estates; or only has image file showing local locations of all/some estates; or data between two and five years old.  
|   |             | [0 points] No: No data; data over five years old; or undated.  
|   | Number of company-owned mills ES | Disabled if company does not own mills.  
|   |             | [1 point] Yes: Number of mills owned by company.  
|   |             | [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; or data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations.  
|   |             | [0 points] No: No data; data over five years old; or undated.  
|   | Names and coordinates of company-owned mills ES | Disabled if company does not own mills. Information must be in one or two places rather than in multiple places.  
|   |             | [1 point] Yes: Names and coordinates; or addresses capable of generating locations on Google Maps; or names and geo-referenced maps (shapefile, KML, etc.) for all mills (information matches number of mills reported by company (dated)).  
|   |             | [0.5 points] Partial: As above, but only for some mills; or only static image showing location of all mills; or only names of mills; or unclear information provided on the above points; or no dated source indicating number of mills controlled (i.e. received zero points for #23 above).  
|   |             | [0 points] No: No data; or if static image file not at a local scale (i.e. cannot determine accurately where estate located) or text on image unreadable.  
|   | Number (or percentage) of company-owned mills that source from company-owned plantations and/or third-party plantations ES | Disabled if company does not own mills.  
|   |             | [1 point] Yes: The company reports the number (or percentage) of company-owned mills that source from company-owned plantations and the number (or percentage) of company-owned mills that source from third-party plantations (if applicable).  
|   |             | [0.5 points] Partial: Data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations; or does not report one if has both; or data between two and five years old.  
|   |             | [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
|   | Total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned mills that come from company-owned plantations and/or third-party plantations ES | Disabled if company does not own mills.  
|   |             | [1 point] Yes: The company reports the total (or percentage) volume sourced by company-owned mills that comes from company-owned plantations and the total (or percentage) volume sourced by company-owned mills that comes from third-party plantations (if applicable).  
|   |             | [0.5 points] Partial: Data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations; or does not report one if has both; or data between two and five years old.  
|   |             | [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **26** | **Number of supplier mills** | **Disabled if company does not source from supplier mills.**  
☑️ [1 point] Yes: Number of supplier mills (mills supplying Crude Palm Oil (CPO) and Palm Kernel (PK)).  
☑️ [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; or data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations.  
☒ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated. |
| **27** | **Names and coordinates of all third-party supplying mills** | **Disabled if company does not source from supplier mills.**  
☑️ [1 point] Yes: Names and coordinates; or addresses capable of generating locations on Google Maps; or names and geo-referenced maps (shapefile, KML) for all supplier mills (information matches number of mills reported by company (dated)).  
☑️ [0.5 points] Partial: As above, but only for some mills; or static image showing location of all mills; or only names of mills; or unclear information provided on the above points; or no dated source indicating number of supplier mills (i.e. received zero points for #27 above).  
☒ [0 points] No: No data; or static image file not at a local scale (i.e. cannot determine accurately where mills estate located); or text on image unreadable. |
| **28** | **Number (or percentage) of third-party supplier mills that source from their own plantations and/or third-party plantations** | **Disabled if company does not source from supplier mills.**  
☑️ [1 point] Yes: The company reports the number (or percentage) of supplier mills in its supply chain that source from their own plantations and the number of supplier mills that source from third-party plantations (if applicable).  
☑️ [0.5 points] Partial: Data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations; or does not report one if has both; or data between two and five years old.  
☒ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated. |
| **29** | **Total volumes (or percentages) sourced from third-party supplier mills that come from their own plantations and/or third-party plantations** | **Disabled if company does not source from supplier mills.**  
☑️ [1 point] Yes: The company reports the total (or percentage) volume sourced by third-party supplier mills that comes from their own plantations and the total (or percentage) volume sourced by third-party supplier mills that comes from third-party plantations (if applicable).  
☑️ [0.5 points] Partial: Data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations; or does not report one if has both; or data between two and five years old.  
☒ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated. |
| **30** | **Total volume (or percentage) sourced for refineries that comes from intermediary traders and/or refiners rather than directly from mills** | **Disabled if not a refiner or if company only sources directly from mills.**  
☑️ [1 point] Yes: The company reports the total (or percentage) volume sourced for its refineries that comes from intermediary traders and/or refiners rather than directly from mills.  
☑️ [0.5 points] Partial: Data provided does not cover company's known scope of operations; or data between two and five years old.  
☒ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated. |
| 31 | Time-bound commitment to achieve 100% traceability to mill level ESG | Disabled if not a processor/trader or only sources from own mills.  
- [1 point] Yes: Time-bound commitment to 100% traceability to mill (or 100% traceability to palm oil mills in a supply chain); or already 100% traceable (within last two years). Full points awarded if already 100% Identity Preserved.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Commitment to less than 100% traceability; or commitment not time-bound; or in the past and not been met; or commitment does not cover all sourcing.  
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 32 | Percentage of supply traceable to mill level ESG | Disabled if not a processor/trader or if only sources from own mills.  
**Scope**  
For downstream operations, overall percentage of palm oil supply (Crude Palm Oil (CPO) and Palm Kernel oil (PK)) traceable to mills (or percentage traceability to mill for refineries and kernel crushing plants). Data must be within last two years.  
**Scoring**  
Companies are awarded up to 1.0 point based on the percentage volume that is traceable to mill level (e.g. 0.4 points are awarded for companies that are 40% traceable to mill).  
- [1 point] Yes: 100% traceable.  
- [0.01-0.99 points] Partial: 1-99% traceable.  
- [0 points] No: 0% traceable.  
[+] 1 point: Companies are awarded 1 additional point if their traceability data is externally verified (including through a certification body). |
| 33 | Time-bound commitment to achieve 100% traceability to plantation level ESG | For growers only, disabled if the company does not own mills or if only sources from own plantations.  
- [1 point] Yes: Time-bound commitment to 100% traceability to plantation (or 100% FFB traceability); or already 100% traceable (within last two years). Full points awarded if already 100% Identity Preserved.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Commits to less than 100% traceability; or not time-bound; or in the past and not been met; or unclear statement which does not specify plantation or farm level; or commitment does not cover all sourcing.  
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 34 | Percentage of fresh fruit bunches (FFB) from own mills traceable to plantation level ESG | Disabled if company does not own mills or if only sources from own plantations.  
**Scope**  
For the company’s own mills (upstream operations), overall percentage of FFB supply traceable to plantation, including smallholders and suppliers, if applicable. Data must be within last two years. Full points awarded if the company is 100% certified as RSPO Identity Preserved (IP); if partially IP RSPO certified percentage calculated out of total landbank.  
**Scoring**  
Companies are awarded up to 1.0 point based on the percentage FFB from own mills that is traceable to plantation level (e.g. 0.4 points are awarded for companies that are 40% traceable to plantation level for their own mills).  
- [1 point] Yes: 100% traceable.  
- [0.01-0.99 points] Partial: 1-99% traceable.  
- [0 points] No: 0% traceable.  
[+] 1 point: Companies are awarded 1 additional point if their traceability data is externally verified (including through a certification body). |
| **35** | Percentage of fresh fruit bunches (FFB) from supplier mills traceable to plantation level | Disabled if not a processor/trader or only sources from own mills.  
**Scope**  
For downstream operations, overall percentage of FFB supply or palm oil supply (Crude Palm Oil (CPO) and Palm Kernel oil (PK)) from supplier mills traceable to plantation. Data must be within last two years.  
**Scoring**  
Companies are awarded up to 1.0 point based on the percentage FFB from supplier mills that is traceable to plantation level (e.g. 0.4 points are awarded for companies that are 40% traceable from supplier mills to plantation level).  
✔ [1 point] Yes: 100% traceable.  
✔ [0.01-0.99 points] Partial: 1-99% traceable.  
✘ [0 points] No: 0% traceable.  
[+] 1 point: Companies are awarded 1 additional point if their traceability data is externally verified (including through a certification body). |
| **36** | Publishes traceability data at refinery level | Disabled if not a refiner.  
**Scope**  
Comprehensive: The company publishes percentage of supply traceable to plantation level per refinery for all refineries.  
Limited: The company only publishes percentage of FFB supply traceable to mill level; or publishes traceability to plantation level but not for all refineries.  
Insufficient: No data.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
✔ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
✔ [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
✔ [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
✘ [0 points] No: Insufficient. |
| **37** | Publishes traceability data at crusher level | Disabled if not a crusher.  
**Scope**  
Comprehensive: The company publishes percentage of supply traceable to plantation level per crusher for all crushing facilities.  
Limited: The company only publishes percentage of FFB supply traceable to mill level; or publishes traceability to plantation level but not for all crushing facilities.  
Insufficient: No data.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
✔ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
✔ [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
✔ [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
✘ [0 points] No: Insufficient. |
### Deforestation and biodiversity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 38 | Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems | **Disabled if only a processor/trader.**

- **[1 point] Yes:** Company specifies a commitment to "no/zero deforestation" or "no/zero conversion" of natural ecosystems; or clearly states will not develop on HCV, HCS and peatland.
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Company specifies has no/zero net deforestation (acknowledges that some forest loss could be offset by forest restoration such as through purchasing REDD+, offsets or mitigation banking); or has unclear no deforestation commitment.
- **[0 points] No:** Does not meet requirements for this indicator.

N.B. Commitments made through outside initiatives are acceptable but must meet the requirements of the criteria (e.g. NY Declaration on Forests does not rule out a no net deforestation approach).

| 39 | Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems applies to all suppliers | **For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.**

- **[1 point] Yes:** Company specifies commitment to "no/zero deforestation" or "no/zero conversion" of natural ecosystems, or to not develop on HCV, HCS and peatland applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Company specifies has no/zero net deforestation (acknowledges that some forest loss could be offset by forest restoration such as through purchasing REDD+, offsets or mitigation banking); or has unclear no deforestation commitment; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.
- **[0 points] No:** Does not meet requirements for this indicator.

| 40 | Criteria for defining deforestation | **[1 point] Yes:** Specifies the criteria or types of forest/areas that are not to be deforested (e.g. primary forests, Intact Forest Landscapes (IFLs), secondary forests, disturbed forests, HCS areas, HCV areas, etc.) and specifies a cut-off date beyond which deforestation or conversion would not be accepted. No points awarded if no commitment to no deforestation.
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Only specifies the criteria/types of forests/areas that are not to be deforested or a cut-off date.
- **[0 points] No:** Does not meet requirements for this indicator.
| 41 | Evidence of monitoring deforestation | If company does not manage plantations, assessed in relation to sourcing. Otherwise assessed in relation to own operations, direct and indirect suppliers.  
**Scope**  
*Comprehensive:* Specifies how deforestation is being monitored (i.e. how monitoring encroachment), including the extent of the area monitored and timeframe.  
*Limited:* Methodology, extent of area being monitored for deforestation, and/or timeframe is unclear; or data between two and five years old.  
*Insufficient:* No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**Scoring**  
*N.B.* Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
[1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
[0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
[0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
[0 points] No: Insufficient.  
[+] up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified). |
| 42 | Amount of illegal/non-compliant deforestation recorded in own operations | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
[1 point] Yes: Amount (area) of illegal or non-compliant deforestation recorded over the company's full operational area.  
[0.5 points] Partial: Data reported covers less than the company's operational area.  
[0 points] No: No data; or data over two years old; or undated. |
| 43 | Amount of illegal/non-compliant deforestation recorded in supplier operations | Disabled if company only sources from own plantations.  
[1 point] Yes: Amount (area) of illegal or non-compliant deforestation recorded within the company's suppliers’ operational area.  
[0.5 points] Partial: Data reported covers less than the company's operational area.  
[0 points] No: No data; or data over two years old; or undated. |
| 44 | Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
[1 point] Yes: Company commits to restore ecosystems and their values to their prior condition and/or provide suitable compensation to restore these values in the case of non-compliant deforestation or conversion within the company's own operations. Commitment must specify a cut-off date beyond which deforestation or conversion would not be accepted.  
[0.5 points] Partial: Unclear commitment; or does not include a cut-off date.  
[0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 45 | Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if has no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
Yes [1 point]: Commitment to restore ecosystems and their values to their prior condition and/or provide suitable compensation to restore these values in the case of non-compliant deforestation or conversion applies all suppliers (direct and indirect). Commitment must specify a cut-off date beyond which deforestation or conversion would not be accepted.  
Partial [0.5 points]: Unclear commitment; or does not include a cut-off date; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
No [0 points]: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 46 | Implementing a landscape or jurisdictional level approach | Scope  
**Comprehensive**: Participating in or supporting multi-stakeholder planning and policy efforts at a landscape or jurisdictional level. Examples include: supporting improved land governance policies and institutions; establishing, supporting, and complying with landscape-scale monitoring and enforcement mechanisms; participating in jurisdictional standards or assessment frameworks; or supporting sustainable development activities.  
**Limited**: Statement about recognising the importance of a landscape or jurisdictional approach, but unclear if implementing; or only limited details available; or data between two and five years old.  
**Insufficient**: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**Scoring**  
N.B.: Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
Yes [1 point]: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
Partial [0.75 points]: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
Partial [0.5 points]: Limited, self-reported.  
No [0 points]: Insufficient.  
[+ up to 1 point]: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified).  
**N.B.**: FAO definition: Dealing with large-scale processes in an integrated and multidisciplinary manner, combining natural resources management with environmental and livelihood considerations. |
| 47 | Commitment to biodiversity conservation | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
Yes [1 point]: Commitment to conservation of biodiversity beyond just mentioning HCVs.  
No [0 points]: Only mentions biodiversity; or only mentions biodiversity in relation to inside HCVs. |
| 48 | Commitment to biodiversity conservation applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
Yes [1 point]: Commitment to conservation of biodiversity, beyond just mentioning HCVs, applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
Partial [0.5 points]: Commitment does not cover all suppliers.  
No [0 points]: Only mentions biodiversity; or only mentions biodiversity in relation to inside HCVs; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers. |
Identified species of conservation concern, referencing international or national system of species classification

**Scope**

**Comprehensive:** Company has identified species of conservation concern (i.e. rare, threatened, endangered), referencing an appropriate system of classification (e.g. IUCN Red List; national red list, CITES).

**Limited:** Species have been identified but not classified according to an appropriate system.

**Insufficient:** No data.

**Scoring**

N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 point</td>
<td>Comprehensive, externally verified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75 points</td>
<td>Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 points</td>
<td>Limited, self-reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 points</td>
<td>Insufficient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**[+] Up to 1 point:** Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 & 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified).

---

Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management

**Scope**

**Comprehensive:** Multiple examples/evidence of species and/or habitat conservation management in company's set-aside areas or in surrounding landscape (can include activities in HCV, HCS, buffer zones, forests, peatlands, mangroves, wetlands, etc.). Examples include: rehabilitation of riparian areas, native forest restoration, enhancement of mangroves, tree planting, clearly marking boundaries, putting up signs, planting of native species, ensuring no roads, monitoring peatland subsidence, limiting access, patrols, etc.

**Limited:** Only mentions one example of species and/or habitat management.

**Insufficient:** No examples.

**Scoring**

N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 point</td>
<td>Comprehensive, externally verified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75 points</td>
<td>Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 points</td>
<td>Limited, self-reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 points</td>
<td>Insufficient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species

**Scope**

**Comprehensive:** Commitment to no hunting of all species or only sustainable hunting (i.e. only hunting by local communities for subsistence purposes that does not cause decline of local species populations).

**Limited:** Only no hunting of endangered, rare, threatened species; or no hunting only applies to certain extent of operations (e.g. no hunting only in conservation set-asides).

**Insufficient:** Does not meet requirements for this indicator.
### High Conservation Value (HCV), High Carbon Stock (HCS) and impact assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **52** | Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
- **1 point** Yes: Commitment to no hunting of all species or only sustainable hunting (i.e. only hunting by local communities for subsistence purposes that does not cause decline of local species populations) applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
- **0.5 points** Partial: Only no hunting of endangered, rare, threatened species; or no hunting only applies to certain extent of operations (e.g. no hunting only in conservation set-asides); or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
- **0 points** No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |

| **53** | Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
- **1 point** Yes: Commitment to conduct HCV assessments for all new development/planting.  
- **0.5 points** Partial: Unclear commitment; or commitment clearly does not cover all operations (e.g. only covers one country); or if does not state own commitment, but is RSPO member, as commits under RSPO NPP.  
- **0 points** No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |

| **54** | Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
- **1 point** Yes: Commitment to conduct HCV assessments applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
- **0.5 points** Partial: Unclear commitment; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
- **0 points** No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |

| **55** | High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments for planting undertaken prior to January 2015, and associated management and monitoring plans | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
**Scope**  
Comprehensive: At least one HCV assessment (full report or summary) conducted for planting undertaken prior to January 2015 publicly available. All HCV assessments made publicly available have associated management and monitoring plans (full plans or summaries).  
Limited: HCV assessments made publicly available, but do not have management and monitoring plans; or only some have management and monitoring plans; or has submitted RSPO NPPs, but no associated documents publicly available.  
Insufficient: None available; or only states has done HCV assessments, but no documents or summaries available.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.  
- **1 point** Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
- **0.75 points** Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
- **0.5 points** Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
- **0 points** No: Insufficient. |
High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments for all estates planted since January 2015

Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no new planting since January 2015, unless has undertaken HCV assessments that are not for new planting.

Scope

Comprehensive: HCV assessments (reports or summaries) publicly available for all areas newly planted; points awarded if no new planting but has done HCV assessment.

Limited: HCV assessments clearly cover only a limited portion of company operations (e.g. one specific geography the company is known to operate in); or clearly don't cover all ongoing activities (e.g. new plantation development); or has submitted RSPO NPPs for all new plantings, but associated HCV assessment documents not publicly available; or HCV assessments submitted to HCVRN for review but not yet available.

Insufficient: No HCV assessments available; or available only on request.

N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.

 её [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.

ё 0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.

ё 0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.

ё [0 points] No: Insufficient.


High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans for all estates planted since January 2015

Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no new planting since January 2015, unless has undertaken HCV assessments that are not for new planting.

Scope

Comprehensive: HCV management and monitoring plans (reports or summaries) publicly available for ALL areas newly planted; points awarded if no new planting but has HCV management and monitoring plan.

Limited: HCV management and monitoring plans (reports or summaries) publicly available only for some new plantings; or has submitted RSPO NPPs for all new plantings, but associated HCV management and monitoring plan documents not publicly available.

Insufficient: No HCV management and monitoring plans, but new planting reported.

Scoring

N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as the criteria is not linked to percentage certified area.

ё [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.

ё 0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.

ё 0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.

ё [0 points] No: Insufficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Commitment to only use licensed High Conservation Value (HCV) assessors accredited by the HCV Resource Network's Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS)</td>
<td>☑️ ☐ [1 point] Yes: Commits to use HCV ALS licensed assessors.</td>
<td>☑️ ☐ [0.5 points] Partial: Specifies HCV assessors, but not clearly ALS; or does not state own commitment but is RSPO member, as commits under RSPO NPP.</td>
<td>☑️ ☐ [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Commitment to only use licensed High Conservation Value (HCV) assessors accredited by the HCV Resource Network's Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS) applies to all suppliers</td>
<td>☑️ ☐ [1 point] Yes: Commitment to use HCV ALS licensed assessors applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).</td>
<td>☑️ ☐ [0.5 points] Partial: Specifies HCV assessors, but not clearly ALS; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.</td>
<td>☑️ ☐ [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Satisfactory review of all High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments undertaken since January 2015 by the HCV ALS Quality Panel</td>
<td>☑️ ☐ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive.</td>
<td>☑️ ☐ [0.5 points] Partial: Limited.</td>
<td>☑️ ☐ [0 points] No: Insufficient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scope**
- **Comprehensive:** All HCV assessments since January 2015 have been quality reviewed and deemed satisfactory (if also has assessments still under review full points can still be awarded).
- **Limited:** Only some HCV assessments since January 2015 have been quality reviewed and deemed satisfactory.
- **Insufficient:** None quality reviewed or none deemed satisfactory; or all assessments still under review.

**Scoring**
- **N.B.** Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.
- ☑️ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive.
- ☑️ [0.5 points] Partial: Limited.
- ☑️ [0 points] No: Insufficient.

**External source:** [https://hcvnetwork.org/find-a-report/](https://hcvnetwork.org/find-a-report/)
| 61 | Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach ES | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  

- [1 point] Yes: Commitment to apply the HCS Approach, as defined by the HCS Approach Toolkit, to all new development/planting.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Commitment clearly does not cover all operations (e.g. only covers one country); or not clearly the HCS Approach, but another high carbon stock assessment; or does not state own commitment but is RSPO member, as commits under RSPO NPP.  
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 62 | Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach applies to all suppliers ES | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  

- [1 point] Yes: Commitment to apply the HCS Approach, as defined by the HCS Approach Toolkit, applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Not clearly the HCS Approach, but another high carbon stock assessment methodology; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 63 | High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments ES | Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no new planting since January 2016.  

**Scope**  
Comprehensive: Any HCS assessment report or summary publicly available, either using the HCS Approach or another high carbon stock method.  
Limited: HCS assessment pending review.  
Insufficient: None available.  

**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.  
- [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
- [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
- [0 points] No: Insufficient.  

**External source:** HCS Approach Registered Assessments [http://highcarbonstock.org/registered-hcsa-assessments/](http://highcarbonstock.org/registered-hcsa-assessments/) |
| 64 | Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) ES | Disabled if only a trader.  

- [1 point] Yes: Commitment to conduct SEIAs (or both EIA and SIAs) prior to all new development/planting. Local equivalents are acceptable: e.g. AMDALs as EIA in Indonesia.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Commitment clearly does not cover all operations (e.g. only covers one country); or mentions EIA/AMDAL or SIA; or if does not state own commitment but is RSPO member, as commits under RSPO NPP.  
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 65 | Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) applies to all suppliers ES | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  

- [1 point] Yes: Commitment to conduct SEIAs (or both EIA and SIAs) applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect). Local equivalents are acceptable: e.g. AMDALs as EIA in Indonesia.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Only mentions EIA/AMDAL or SIA; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
66 Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) undertaken, and associated management and monitoring plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 66 | Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) undertaken, and associated management and monitoring plans | Disabled if only a trader. **Scope**

**Comprehensive:** At least one SEIA assessment (full report or summary) publicly available. All SEIA assessments made publicly available have associated management and monitoring plans (full plans or summaries).

**Limited:** SEIA assessments (full report or summary) have been made publicly available, but do not have management and monitoring plans; or only SIAs or EIAs have been made publicly available; or has submitted RSPO NPPs, but no associated documents publicly available.

**Insufficient:** None available; or only states has done SEIA assessments, but no documents or summaries available.

**Scoring**

N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.

- ☑️ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.
- ☐️ [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.
- ☐️ [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.
- ☐️ [0 points] No: Insufficient.

**External source:** NPP notifications [http://www.rspo.org/certification/new-planting-procedures/public-consultations].

N.B. HCS assessment reports can include SEIA summaries.

---

Peat, fire and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 67 | Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth | Disabled if only a processor/trader. **Scoring criteria**

- ☑️ [1 point] Yes: Clear commitment to no planting on peat for all new development/planting, clearly specifying for all depths of peatland or all peatland as defined using a recognised definition (e.g. RSPO definition).
- ☐️ [0.5 points] Partial: Only certain depths or certain peatlands; or commitment clearly does not cover all operations (e.g. only covers one country); or does not state own commitment, but is RSPO member, as commits under RSPO NPP.
- ☐️ [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 68 | Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers. **Scoring criteria**

- ☑️ [1 point] Yes: Clear commitment to no planting on peat applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect), clearly specifying for all depths of peatland or all peatland as defined using a recognised definition (e.g. RSPO definition).
- ☐️ [0.5 points] Partial: Only certain depths or certain peatlands; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.
- ☐️ [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.
| **69** Implementation of commitment to no planting on peat of any depth | **Disabled if only a processor/trader.**  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** Evidence that landbank on peat has not increased since previous year (unless additional landbank on peat is a result of an acquisition/merger or the availability of new data). Data must be reported every two years as a minimum.  
**Limited:** Data between two and five years old.  
**Insufficient:** No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.  
✔ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
♦ [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
♦ [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
✗ [0 points] No: Insufficient. |
| **70** Landbank or planted area on peat | **Disabled if only processor/trader.**  
✔ [1 point] Yes: Reports total area or planted area that is peatland.  
♦ [0.5 points] Partial: Data between two and five years old; or does not cover the full scope of a company's operations; or approximate or unclear figure provided.  
✗ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
N.B. If data over two years old but has not planted since figure reported then points can be awarded; figure may be contained within Life Cycle Assessment (LCA). |
| **71** Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat | **Disabled if only a processor/trader.**  
✔ [1 point] Yes: Commitment to best management practices (BMPs)/good agricultural practices (GAP) for soils AND peat (or only soils if clearly states no peat in any operations). For example, not degrading, reducing compaction, no erosion, or conserving soils. Must be a full commitment to best practice, not just a limited selection of practices (e.g. a simple statement on preventing erosion).  
♦ [0.5 points] Partial: Only commits to BMPs for soils or peat; or commits to a limited selection of practices for both soils AND peat; or does not cover all of the company's operations.  
✗ [0 points] No: No commitment; or only covers a limited selection of practices for soil OR peat. |
| **72** Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
✔ [1 point] Yes: Commitment to best management practices (BMPs)/good agricultural practices (GAP) for soils AND peat (or only soils if clearly states no peat in any operations) applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect). For example, not degrading, reducing compaction, no erosion, or conserving soils. Must be a full commitment to best practice, not just a limited selection of practices (e.g. a simple statement on preventing erosion).  
♦ [0.5 points] Partial: Only commits to BMPs for soils or peat; or commits to a limited selection of practices for both soils AND peat; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
✗ [0 points] No: No commitment; or only covers a limited selection of practices for soils OR peat. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 73   | Evidence of best management practices for soils and peat | **Scope**

**Comprehensive:** Examples that implementing management practices to conserve soils and peat (or only soils if clearly states no peat in any of its operations). Examples include: nutrient recycling; not planting on marginal or fragile soils; using terracing or ground cover to reduce erosion; managing water levels for peat; training courses/workshops on soils/peat.

**Limited:** Only shows evidence of soil or peatland management (if has land on peat); or data between two and five years old.

**Insufficient:** No data; or data over five years old; or undated.

**Scoring**

N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.

- [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.
- [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.
- [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.
- [0 points] No: Insufficient.

[+] up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 74   | Commitment to zero burning | **Disabled if only a processor/trader.**

- [1 point] Yes: Clear commitment to no or zero burning for all new development/planting/replanting.
- [0.5 points] Partial: Commitment clearly does not cover all operations (e.g. only covers one country); or only a commitment to limit the use of fire.
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 75   | Commitment to zero burning applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.

- [1 point] Yes: Clear commitment to no or zero burning applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).
- [0.5 points] Partial: Does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence of fire monitoring and management</th>
<th>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope</strong></td>
<td>Evidence of at least one fire monitoring and one fire management activity. For example: system for monitoring hotspots/fires; area monitored for hotspots/fires; how manages/deals with reported fires; measures to prevent fires; activities as part of Fire Free Alliance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limited</strong></td>
<td>Only one type of activity mentioned; data between two and five years old.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insufficient</strong></td>
<td>No data; or data over five years old; or undated; or evidence only relates to company facilities (e.g. mills).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring</strong></td>
<td>N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[1 point]</strong> Yes</td>
<td>Comprehensive, externally verified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0.75 points]</strong> Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0.5 points]</strong> Partial: Limited, self-reported.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0 points]</strong> No</td>
<td>Insufficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[+] Up to 1 point</strong></td>
<td>Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&amp;C 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details/number of hotspots/fires in company estates</th>
<th>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>[1 point]</strong> Yes</td>
<td>Total number of hotspots/fires or details on all hotspots/fires over specified timeframe within company’s own estates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0.5 points]</strong> Partial: Only reports limited information on fires/hotspots within company’s own estates; or data between one and two years old.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0 points]</strong> No</td>
<td>No data; or data over two years old; or undated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details/number of hotspots/fires within surrounding landscape/smallholders</th>
<th>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>[1 point]</strong> Yes</td>
<td>Total number of hotspots/fires or details on all hotspots/fires over specified timeframe within surrounding landscape and/or smallholder estates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0.5 points]</strong> Partial: Only reports limited information on fires/hotspots within surrounding landscape and/or smallholder estates; or data between one and two years old.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0 points]</strong> No</td>
<td>No data; or data over two years old; or undated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time-bound commitment to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions intensity</th>
<th>Disabled if only a trader.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>[1 point]</strong> Yes</td>
<td>Time-bound commitment to reduce GHG intensity by specific amount and timeframe (i.e. by X% by YYYY); or time-bound commitment already met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0.5 points]</strong> Partial: Commitment clearly does not cover palm oil operations e.g. only applies to transport emissions; or has target to reduce GHGs but not time-bound; or time-bound but no target (e.g. plans to reduce GHGs by 2020 but does not say how much by); or target is not intensity-based.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0 points]</strong> No</td>
<td>No target; or vague commitment to reduce general emissions or GHG emissions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions</th>
<th>Disabled if only a trader.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>[1 point]</strong> Yes</td>
<td>States methodology used (e.g. RSPO PalmGHG Calculator, ISCC GHG Emissions Calculation Methodology, ISPO Calculator, GHG Protocol).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[0 points]</strong> No</td>
<td>Does not meet requirements for this indicator.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**External source:** RSPO ACOP 6.1 Are you currently assessing your operational GHG footprint using the RSPO PalmGHG Calculator?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 81 | Progress towards commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity | Disabled if only a trader.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive**: Reports a reduction in GHG intensity over time, at least every two years, or has already met target.  
**Limited**: Reports progress in GHG intensity but intensity not improving; reports progress but not as intensity figures (e.g. overall emissions); or data between two and five years old.  
**Insufficient**: No data; or data over five years old; or provides data but not reporting in intensity and not improving.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
_decimal [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
_decimal [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
❌ [0 points] No: Insufficient. |
| 82 | Percentage of mills with methane capture (100%) | Disabled if company does not own mills or if only a trader.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive**: All mills have methane/biogas capture.  
**Limited**: Only some mills have methane/biogas capture.  
**Insufficient**: Zero mills have methane/biogas capture.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive.  
_decimal [0.5 points] Partial: Limited.  
❌ [0 points] No: Insufficient. |
| 83 | GHG emissions intensity | ✅ [1 point] Yes: Reports GHG emission intensity figures.  
_decimal [0.5 points] Partial: Not clear figures relate to palm oil operations; or does not cover whole scope of palm oil operations e.g. only reports transport emissions; or data between two and five years old.  
❌ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**External source**: RSPO ACOP 6.2.1 What is the average GHG footprint by hectare (tCO2e/ha)? |
| 84 | GHG emissions from land use change | Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no new land development within the past five years.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: Reports land use change emission figures. May be referred to as new plantation development in GHG report for RSPO.  
_decimal [0.5 points] Partial: Not clear figures relate to palm oil operations or whole scope of palm oil operations; or data between two and five years old.  
❌ [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**External source**: RSPO ACOP 6.1.1 Please upload your publicly available report. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity</td>
<td>Disabled if only a trader or if the company has no palm oil processing facilities, including mills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗ [1 point] Yes: Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity (i.e. water use per tonne of product); or already met target.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>🟢 [0.5 points] Partial: Commitment only refers to a limited sub-set of company operations (i.e. some mills); or commitment in place but not time-bound; or does not refer to water use intensity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗ [0 points] No: No commitment; or only general commitment to improve water use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Progress towards commitment on water use intensity</td>
<td>Disabled if only a trader or if company has no palm oil processing facilities, including mills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>🟢 [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>🟢 [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>🟢 [0 points] No: Insufficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Water use intensity</td>
<td>✗ [1 point] Yes: Reports water use intensity figures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>🟢 [0.5 points] Partial: Not clear figures relate to palm oil operations; or does not cover whole scope of palm oil operations e.g. only reports for one country of operation; or data between two and five years old.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>🟢 [0 points] No: No data; data over five years old; or undated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)</td>
<td>Disabled if only a trader or if company has no palm oil processing facilities, including mills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗ [1 point] Yes: Time-bound commitment to improve both BOD and COD; or commitment to be within legal limits; or is already within legal limits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>🟢 [0.5 points] Partial: Commitment only refers to a limited sub-set of company operations (i.e. some mills); or limited set of water quality measures (i.e. only either BOD or COD); or commitment to improve water quality, but this is not time-bound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>🟢 [0 points] No: No commitment; or general commitment to water quality not referring to BOD or COD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)</td>
<td>Scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **E** | Disabled if only a trader or if company has no palm oil processing facilities, including mills. | **Scope**
Comprehensive: Reports an improvement in both BOD and COD over time, at least every two years; or company reports that BOD and COD are within specified legal limits.
Limited: Reports progress on water quality, but not on target to meet commitment/progressing towards targets for both BOD and COD; or only reports figures for BOD or COD; or data between two and five years old.
Insufficient: No data; or reports water quality figures but not clearly improving water quality; or only states within legal limits but does not specify what those limits are; or data over five years old. |
Scoring
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.

- **1 point** Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.
- **0.75 points** Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.
- **0.5 points** Partial: Limited, self-reported.
- **0 points** No: Insufficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Treatment of palm oil mill effluent (POME) and/or palm oil refinery effluent (PORE)</th>
<th>Scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **E** | Disabled if only a trader or if company has no palm oil mills or refineries. | **Scope**
Comprehensive: Treats POME and/or PORE (i.e. does not just discharge into waterways without treatment in ponds or similar).
Limited: Mentions wastewater treatment but does not mention POME and/or PORE explicitly.
Insufficient: No evidence of wastewater treatment. |
Scoring
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.

- **1 point** Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.
- **0.75 points** Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.
- **0.5 points** Partial: Limited, self-reported.
- **0 points** No: Insufficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones</th>
<th>Scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **E** | Disabled if only a processor/trader. | **Commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones**
E | **Scope**

- **1 point** Yes: Commitment to have buffer or riparian zones to protect natural waterways.
- **0.5 points** Partial: Commitment to buffer or riparian zones or protection of natural waterways clearly does not cover all operations.
- **0 points** No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.
| 92 | Implementation of commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** Company provides evidence that buffer or riparian zones are in place (e.g. maps, SOPs).  
**Limited:** Limited evidence provided.  
**Insufficient:** No evidence.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
- [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
- [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
- [0 points] No: Insufficient.  
[+] Up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified). |
|---|---|---|
| 93 | Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
- [1 point] Yes: Commitment to minimise, reduce or limit use of chemicals/toxins, mentioning both (chemical) fertilisers and pesticides.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Only generally mentions reducing chemical use; or commitment only covers pesticides or fertilisers (if both used); or commitment clearly does not cover all operations (e.g. only covers one country).  
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 94 | Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers, applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
- [1 point] Yes: Commitment to minimise, reduce or limit use of chemicals/toxins, mentioning both (chemical) fertilisers and pesticides, applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Only generally mentions reducing chemical use; or commitment only covers pesticides or fertilisers (if both used); or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
- [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Implementation of commitment to reduce chemical usage</td>
<td>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Scope</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comprehensive: Reports reduction (percentage or volume) in use of pesticides or fertilisers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limited: Reports reduction but no figures reported; or data between two and five years old</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insufficient: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Scoring</strong></td>
<td>N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X [0 points] No: Insufficient.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[+1 Up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&amp;C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used</td>
<td>[1 point] Yes: Chemical usage figures per ha (e.g. fertiliser use or toxicity level); or list of chemicals used in palm oil operations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.5 points] Partial: Chemical usage figures only for some parts of company; or unclear what figures relate to; or figures between two and five years old.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X [0 points] No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Commitment to no use of paraquat</td>
<td>[1 point] Yes: Commitment to not use paraquat or only use in emergency/exceptional circumstances.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.5 points] Partial: Time-bound plan for phasing out; or does not cover all operations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X [0 points] No: Only general statement that will phase-out that is not time-bound.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Commitment to no use of paraquat applies to all suppliers</td>
<td>[1 point] Yes: Commitment to not use paraquat or only use in emergency/exceptional circumstances applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.5 points] Partial: Time-bound plan for phasing out; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X [0 points] No: Only general statement that will phase-out that is not time-bound.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides</td>
<td>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</td>
<td>[1 point] Yes: Commitment to not use WHO Class 1A and 1B pesticides or only use in emergency/exceptional circumstances.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.5 points] Partial: Time-bound plan for phasing out; or does not cover all operations.</td>
<td>[0 points] No: Only general statement that will phase-out that is not time-bound.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 100 | Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
- **[1 point] Yes:** Commitment to not use WHO Class 1A and 1B pesticides or only use in emergency/exceptional circumstances applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Time-bound plan for phasing out; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
- **[0 points] No:** Only general statement that will phase-out that is not time-bound. |
| 101 | Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
- **[1 point] Yes:** Commitment to not use Stockholm and Rotterdam Convention chemicals or only use in emergency/exceptional circumstances.  
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Time-bound plan for phasing out; or only refers to one of the conventions; or does not cover all operations.  
- **[0 points] No:** Only general statement that will phase-out that is not time-bound. |
| 102 | Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention applies to all suppliers | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
- **[1 point] Yes:** Commitment to not use Stockholm and Rotterdam Convention chemicals or only use in emergency/exceptional circumstances applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Time-bound plan for phasing out; or only refers to one of the conventions; or does not cover all operations.  
- **[0 points] No:** Only general statement that will phase-out that is not time-bound. |
| 103 | Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach | Disabled if only a processor/trader.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** Clearly has an integrated pest management approach. e.g. clear statement or provides evidence that using natural pest control, use of cover crops to suppress pests, figures showing reduction in chemical pesticide use, etc.  
**Limited:** Mentions IPM, but unclear if implementing this; or only describes what IPM is.  
**Insufficient:** No evidence of implementation of IPM approach.  
**Scoring**  
**N.B.** Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
- **[1 point] Yes:** Comprehensive, externally verified.  
- **[0.75 points] Partial:** Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Limited, self-reported.  
- **[0 points] No:** Insufficient.  
**[+] Up to 1 point:** Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified). |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 104 | Commitment to human rights                                              | **1 point** Yes: Commits to the UN Declaration on Human Rights or UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (also known as the UN Ruggie Principles or Ruggie Framework of “Protect, Respect and Remedy”); or commits to human rights principles as part of being UN Global Compact member.  
**0.5 points** Partial: Commits to respect human rights, but does not reference the UN Declaration of Human Rights or equivalent; or company only commits to human rights in relation to its employees; or commitment only covers some of a company’s operations.  
**0 points** No: Only mentions human rights, but no clear commitment. |
| 105 | Commitment to human rights applies to all suppliers                      | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
**1 point** Yes: Commitment to the UN Declaration on Human Rights or UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (also known as the UN Ruggie Principles or Ruggie Framework of “Protect, Respect and Remedy”) applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
**0.5 points** Partial: Unclear commitment; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
**0 points** No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 106 | Progress on human rights commitment                                     | **Scope**  
Comprehensive: The company provides evidence of actions taken to implement its human rights policies, e.g. giving training to employees on its human rights policies; setting up dedicated teams or committees responsible for implementation; putting processes in place to identify and mitigate negative impacts on human rights.  
Limited: Limited details given; or data between two and five years old.  
Insufficient: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
**1 point** Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
**0.75 points** Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
**0.5 points** Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
**0 points** No: Insufficient.  
[+] Up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified). |
| 107 | Commitment to respect legal and customary land tenure rights             | Disabled if only a trader.  
**1 point** Yes: Commitment/respect for legal and customary (or traditional) land tenure (or property) rights; or for ownership and access/use land rights; or commits to FAO Voluntary Guidelines on the Responsible Governance of Tenure of Land, Fisheries and Forests in the Context of National Food Security.  
**0.5 points** Partial: Only commits to customary/traditional/use land rights; or only legal land rights; or only mentions in relation to FPIC.  
**0 points** No: Only mentions legal land ownership. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Possible Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Commitment to legal and customary land rights applies to all suppliers</td>
<td>For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.</td>
<td><strong>1 point</strong> Yes: Commitment/respect for legal and customary (or traditional) land tenure (or property) rights applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect). May also commit to respect ownership and access/use land rights; or commits to FAO Voluntary Guidelines on the Responsible Governance of Tenure of Land, Fisheries and Forests in the Context of National Food Security. <strong>0.5 points</strong> Partial: Only commits to customary/traditional/use land rights; or only legal land rights; or only mentions in relation to FPIC; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers. <strong>0 points</strong> No: Only mentions legal land ownership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Details of process for addressing land conflicts available</td>
<td>Disabled if only a trader.</td>
<td><strong>1 point</strong> Yes: Reports the process for addressing land conflicts, such as land conflict resolution process, or similar. <strong>0.5 points</strong> Partial: Reports that has land conflict process, but very limited detail; or that has conflict process, but unclear if covers land conflicts. <strong>0 points</strong> No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Commitment to respect indigenous and local communities' rights</td>
<td>Disabled if only a trader.</td>
<td><strong>1 point</strong> Yes: Commits to the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples or ILO Indigenous and Tribal Peoples Convention (no. 169); or has a standalone commitment to ILO 169. <strong>0.5 points</strong> Partial: Commits to local communities’ and/or indigenous rights, but does not reference the UN Declaration/ILO; or only mentions local communities’ and indigenous rights in relation to land tenure or FPIC. <strong>0 points</strong> No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Commitment to indigenous and local communities' rights applies to all suppliers</td>
<td>For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.</td>
<td><strong>1 point</strong> Yes: Commitment to the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples or ILO Indigenous and Tribal Peoples Convention (no. 169), or standalone commitment to ILO 169, applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect). <strong>0.5 points</strong> Partial: Commitment to local communities’ and/or indigenous rights applies to all suppliers, but does not reference the UN Declaration/ILO; or only mentions local communities’ and indigenous rights in relation to land tenure or FPIC; or policy does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers. <strong>0 points</strong> No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts | Disabled if only a trader.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive**: Multiple examples/evidence of local stakeholder engagement activities beyond FPIC (e.g. participatory mapping, permanent staff with responsibility, drop in days).  
**Limited**: Only mentions one example of local stakeholder engagement activities.  
**Insufficient**: No examples/evidence provided.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
[✔️ 1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
[✔️ 0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
[✔️ 0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
[❌ 0 points] No: Insufficient.  
[+ ] Up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified). |
|   | Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) applies to all suppliers | Disabled if only a trader.  
[✔️ 1 point] Yes: Commitment that FPIC is respected applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
[✔️ 0.5 points] Partial: Unclear commitment; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
[❌ 0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
|   | Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available | Disabled if only a trader.  
[✔️ 1 point] Yes: Flowchart, details of steps taken or description of methodology detailing how FPIC principle is operationalised.  
[✔️ 0.5 points] Partial: Process available but limited detail; or only a case study of how FPIC implemented; or does not publish process but is RSPO member, as commits under RSPO NPP.  
[❌ 0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.  
N.B. May be outlined in SEIA assessment. HCS assessment reports include FPIC, HCV and SEIA summaries.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>116</th>
<th>Commitment to support the inclusion of women across palm oil operations, including addressing barriers faced</th>
<th>117</th>
<th>Commitment to support the inclusion of women across palm oil operations, including addressing barriers faced, applies to all suppliers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>[1 point] Yes: Commitment to support the inclusion of women across palm oil operations, including addressing barriers faced, for example: access and control over forest resources, land, technology, financial resources, training, and information.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.5 points] Partial: Commitment but no details of types of support provided; or commitment clearly does not cover all operations (e.g. only covers one country).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Commitment to mitigate impacts on food security</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Commitment to mitigate impacts on food security applies to all suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1 point] Yes: Commitment to ensure food security for local communities through assisting with crop diversity and/or security of food prices. Examples include: supporting communities with crop diversification and food production initiatives such as intercropping systems; increasing market access to village communities; training/education on sustainable agricultural practices; provision of equipment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.5 points] Partial: Only mentions food security or access to food, but not clearly in relation to local communities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.B. Food security can be defined as physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food to meet dietary needs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Scenario Description</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Progress on commitment to mitigate impacts on food security</td>
<td><strong>Comprehensive:</strong> The company reports multiple examples of activities conducted to mitigate impacts on food security for local communities. Examples include: assistance with crop diversification, security of food prices, agricultural training. <strong>Limited:</strong> Only mentions food security activities generally but does not provide specific examples; or only provides one example; or reported activities between two and five years old. <strong>Insufficient:</strong> No data; or data over five years old; or undated. <strong>Scoring</strong></td>
<td>N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities</td>
<td><strong>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Progress on commitment to provide essential community services and facilities</td>
<td><strong>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Scoping</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Commitment to respect all workers' rights</td>
<td>Yes: Commitment to respect workers' (or labour) rights, specifying all workers, including both permanent (or direct) and temporary (or indirect, migrant, casual, etc.) employees or all employees.</td>
<td>0.5 points: Only mentions workers' rights without specifying scope of the commitment (i.e. whether it covers all types of employees).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Commitment to respect all workers' rights applies to all suppliers</td>
<td>For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.</td>
<td>Yes: Commitment to respect workers' (or labour) rights applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect), specifying all workers, including both permanent (or direct) and temporary (or indirect, migrant, casual, etc.) employees or all employees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Progress on commitment to respect all workers' rights</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Comprehensive: The company provides evidence of actions taken to implement its workers' rights policies, e.g. giving training to employees on workers' rights; setting up dedicated teams or committees responsible for implementation; putting processes in place to identify and mitigate negative impacts on workers' rights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles</td>
<td>Yes: Commits to all Fundamental ILO Conventions either by stating commits to all Fundamental or Core ILO Conventions, referring to ILO number, or referring to the same language as the title (i.e. states &quot;freedom of association&quot;); or states it commits to the ILO’s Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work; or commits to Free and Fair Labour Principles.</td>
<td>0.5 points: Refers to four or more ILO Conventions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles applies to all suppliers

For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.

- **1 point** Yes: Commitment to all Fundamental ILO Conventions applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect), either by stating commits to all Fundamental or Core ILO Conventions, referring to ILO number, or referring to the same language as the title (i.e. states “freedom of association”) or states it commits to the ILO’s Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work or commits to Free and Fair Labour Principles.
- **0.5 points** Partial: Refers to four or more ILO Conventions; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.
- **0 points** No: Refers to fewer than four ILO conventions.

N.B. Eight Fundamental ILO Conventions: Freedom of Association (No. 87); Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining (No. 98); No Forced Labour (No. 29 & No. 105); Minimum Age (No. 138); Worst Forms of Child Labour (No. 182); Equal Remuneration (No. 100); No Discrimination (No. 111).

### Commitment to eliminate gender-related discrimination with regards to employment and occupation

For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.

- **1 point** Yes: Commitment to prevent employment and occupation-related discrimination based on gender.
- **0.5 points** Partial: Commitment clearly does not cover all employees or operations (e.g. only covers one country).
- **0 points** No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.

### Percentage or number of temporary employees

Disabled if only a trader or if no temporary employees.

- **1 point** Yes: Number of temporary employees across all operations or for palm oil operations, if specified. May also be called casual, contract or seasonal employees.
- **0.5 points** Partial: Data between two and five years old; or does not cover whole scope of operations (i.e. only temporary employees in one country).
- **0 points** No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.

### Percentage or number of women employees

- **1 point** Yes: Number of women employees across all operations or for palm oil operations, if specified.
- **0.5 points** Partial: Data between two and five years old; or does not cover whole scope of operations (i.e. only women employees in one country).
- **0 points** No: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.

### Commitment to pay at least minimum wage

- **1 point** Yes: Commitment that all workers are paid at least the minimum wage.
- **0.5 points** Partial: Commitment clearly does not cover all workers (i.e. temporary workers paid less or only covers one country where the company operates).
- **0 points** No: No commitment in place.
| 133 | Commitment to pay at least minimum wage applies to all suppliers S | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
**[1 point] Yes:** Commitment that all workers are paid at least the minimum wage applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
**[0.5 points] Partial:** Commitment clearly does not cover all workers (i.e. temporary workers paid less or only covers one country where the company operates); or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
**[0 points] No:** No commitment in place. |
| 134 | Progress on commitment to pay minimum wage S | Scope  
**Comprehensive:** Evidence that all workers are paid the minimum wage (e.g. using ratio of entry level wage to local minimum wage in line with GRI reporting).  
**Limited:** Only provides evidence that some workers are paid minimum wage, e.g. only covers one country where the company operates; or data between two and five years old.  
**Insufficient:** No data; or data over years old; or undated.  
Scoring  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
**[1 point] Yes:** Comprehensive, externally verified.  
**[0.75 points] Partial:** Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
**[0.5 points] Partial:** Limited, self-reported.  
**[0 points] No:** Insufficient.  
[+] Up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified). |
| 135 | Reporting of salary by gender S | **[1 point] Yes:** The company reports salary by gender (e.g. the ratio of the basic salary and/or remuneration of women to men in line with GRI reporting).  
**[0.5 points] Partial:** Unclear what the provided data relates to; or data clearly does not cover all employees (e.g. is only reported for operations in one country or does not include temporary employees); or data between two and five years old.  
**[0 points] No:** No data; or data over five years old; or undated. |
| 136 | Commitment to address occupational health and safety S | **[1 point] Yes:** Commitment to address health and safety at work or similar for all workers.  
**[0.5 points] Partial:** Commitment does not cover all employees (i.e. only refers to health and safety at mills, but not on plantations).  
**[0 points] No:** Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 137 | Commitment to address occupational health and safety applies to all suppliers S | For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
**[1 point] Yes:** Commitment to address health and safety at work for all workers applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
**[0.5 points] Partial:** Commitment does not cover all employees (i.e. only refers to health and safety at mills, but not on plantations); or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
**[0 points] No:** Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Reduction of time lost due to work-based injuries</td>
<td>If only a trader, disabled if trader does not have physical possession of traded product.</td>
<td><strong>Scope</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Comprehensive:</strong> Reports a zero-accident rate or an improvement in lost time rate (data must be reported every two years as a minimum and most recent figure must be within last two years).&lt;br&gt;<strong>Limited:</strong> Data between two and five years old; or data clearly does not cover all employees (e.g. is only reported for operations in one country).&lt;br&gt;<strong>Insufficient:</strong> Lost time accident rate is not improving; or data over five years old; or undated; or data does not cover palm oil operations; or no data.&lt;br&gt;<strong>Scoring</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>N.B.</strong> Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;✅ <strong>[1 point] Yes:</strong> Comprehensive, externally verified.&lt;br&gt;✅ <strong>[0.75 points] Partial:</strong> Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.&lt;br&gt;✅ <strong>[0.5 points] Partial:</strong> Limited, self-reported.&lt;br&gt;✅ <strong>[0 points] No:</strong> Insufficient.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Time lost due to work-based injuries</td>
<td>Disabled if trader does not have physical possession of traded product.</td>
<td><strong>Yes:</strong> For whole company or for palm oil operations. Acceptable metrics include lost time accident rate, lost days rate, accident frequency rate or equivalent.&lt;br&gt;<strong>Partial:</strong> Unclear what the provided data relates to; or data between two and five years old; or data clearly does not cover all employees (e.g. is only reported for operations in one country).&lt;br&gt;<strong>No:</strong> No data; or data over five years old; or undated; or data does not cover palm oil operations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Zero fatalities as a result of work-based accidents</td>
<td>Disabled if trader does not have physical possession of traded product.</td>
<td><strong>Scope</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Comprehensive:</strong> Zero fatalities within past year for the whole company or for palm oil operations.&lt;br&gt;<strong>Limited:</strong> Fatality rate has decreased since previous year, but is not zero; or data unclear; or data between two and five years old; or data clearly does not cover all palm oil operations (e.g. is only reported for operations in one country).&lt;br&gt;<strong>Insufficient:</strong> No data; or data over five years old; or undated; or no improvement in fatality rate.&lt;br&gt;<strong>Scoring</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>N.B.</strong> Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;✅ <strong>[1 point] Yes:</strong> Comprehensive, externally verified.&lt;br&gt;✅ <strong>[0.75 points] Partial:</strong> Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.&lt;br&gt;✅ <strong>[0.5 points] Partial:</strong> Limited, self-reported.&lt;br&gt;✅ <strong>[0 points] No:</strong> Insufficient.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 141 | Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents | Disabled if trader does not have physical possession of traded product.  
  | | **Scope**  
| | **Comprehensive**: Evidence that company provides personal protective equipment (PPE) and related training (e.g. pesticide or chemical training) in relation to palm oil operations.  
| | **Limited**: Only evidence of PPE or training; or data clearly does not cover all employees (e.g. is only reported for operations in one country); or data between two and five years old  
| | **Insufficient**: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
| **Scoring** | **N.B.** Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
| | [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
| | [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
| | [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
| | [0 points] No: Insufficient.  
| | [+1] Up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified).  
| | |  

| 142 | Provision of personal protective equipment and related training | Disabled if trader does not have physical possession of traded product.  
| | **Scope**  
| | **Comprehensive**: Evidence that company provides personal protective equipment (PPE) and related training (e.g. pesticide or chemical training) in relation to palm oil operations.  
| | **Limited**: Only evidence of PPE or training; or data clearly does not cover all employees (e.g. is only reported for operations in one country); or data between two and five years old  
| | **Insufficient**: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
| **Scoring** | **N.B.** Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
| | [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
| | [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
| | [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
| | [0 points] No: Insufficient.  
| | [+1] Up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified).  
<p>| | |
| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Member of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) ESG</td>
<td><strong>Scope</strong>&lt;br&gt;Comprehensive: Whole company/group is a member of RSPO.&lt;br&gt;Limited: Only subsidiary/subsidiaries are members.&lt;br&gt;Insufficient: Neither company nor any of its oil palm subsidiaries are members; or RSPO membership is currently suspended (if company is suspended from the RSPO, still assess against RSPO indicators).&lt;br&gt;<strong>Scoring</strong>&lt;br&gt;N.B. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG is not applicable for this indicator as the criteria is not linked to percentage certified area.&lt;br&gt;☑ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive.&lt;br&gt;☑ [0.5 points] Partial: Limited.&lt;br&gt;☒ [0 points] No: Insufficient.&lt;br&gt;External source: <a href="https://rspo.org/members/all">https://rspo.org/members/all</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>RSPO-certified within three years of joining the RSPO or by November 2010 for companies joining prior to finalisation of the RSPO certification systems in November 2007 ESG</td>
<td><strong>Scope</strong>&lt;br&gt;Comprehensive: Certified within three years or prior to November 2010. If did not have mill built when joined, then assessed from year when mill built; or if had legitimate reason why could not get certified in time then point awarded with explanation. If only a trader, then date of first supply chain certification assessed.&lt;br&gt;Limited: Only subsidiary is a member and certified within three years or prior to November 2010.&lt;br&gt;Insufficient: Not certified within three years.&lt;br&gt;<strong>Scoring</strong>&lt;br&gt;N.B. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.&lt;br&gt;☑ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive.&lt;br&gt;☑ [0.5 points] Partial: Limited.&lt;br&gt;☒ [0 points] No: Insufficient.&lt;br&gt;External source: RSPO ACOP 4.1 Year of first RSPO estate certification (planned or achieved); (processor) 3.1 Year of first supply chain certification (planned or achieved).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Submitted most recent RSPO Annual Communication of Progress (ACOP) ESG</td>
<td>If company recently joined the RSPO it does not have to submit an ACOP in the first year: indicator disabled for the first year.&lt;br&gt;☑ [1 point] Yes: Submitted last ACOP.&lt;br&gt;☑ [0.5 points] Partial: Only a subsidiary is a member and has submitted its most recent ACOP.&lt;br&gt;☒ [0 points] No: ACOP not submitted (if company is suspended from the RSPO, still assessed against indicator).&lt;br&gt;External source: <a href="https://rspo.org/members/acop">https://rspo.org/members/acop</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Listed all countries and regions in which operates in most recent RSPO Annual Communication of Progress (ACOP)</td>
<td>If company recently joined the RSPO it does not have to submit an ACOP in the first year: indicator disabled for the first year. Disabled if only a processor/trader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Time-bound plan for achieving 100% RSPO certification of estates and mills within five years or achieved 100% RSPO-certification of estates</td>
<td>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>Percentage of area (ha) RSPO-certified</td>
<td>Disabled if only a processor/trader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Percentage of scheme/plasma smallholders (ha) RSPO-certified</td>
<td>Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no schemed smallholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td><strong>Percentage of mills RSPO-certified</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **ESG** | Disabled if only a trader or if does not own any mills.  
Companies are awarded up to 1.0 point based on the percentage of mills that are RSPO certified (i.e. 0.4 points are awarded for companies that have 40% of their mills RSPO certified). Data must be within last two years.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: 100% certified.  
重中 [0.01-0.99 points] Partial: 1-99% certified.  
❌ [0 points] No: 0% certified.  
**External source:** RSPO ACOP 2.6.2 Number of Palm Oil Mills certified; RSPO certified growers [https://www.rspo.org/certification/principles-and-criteria-assessment-progress](https://www.rspo.org/certification/principles-and-criteria-assessment-progress). |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>151</th>
<th><strong>Time-bound plan for achieving 100% RSPO certification of scheme/plasma/associated smallholders and outgrowers within five years or target already achieved</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **ESG** | Disabled if only a processor/trader or if has no scheme/plasma/associated smallholders or outgrowers.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: Target within five years or already met.  
重中 [0.5 points] Partial: Only subsidiary is an RSPO member, and target within five years or already met.  
❌ [0 points] No: Target over five years; or missed target.  
**External source:** RSPO ACOP 4.3 Year expected to achieve 100% RSPO certification of Scheme/Plasma/Associated smallholders and Outgrowers. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>152</th>
<th><strong>Percentage of FFB supply (tonnes) from independent smallholders/outgrowers/third-party FFB suppliers that is RSPO-certified</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **ESG** | Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no independent suppliers, inclusive of independent smallholders, outgrowers including associated smallholders, or other third-party suppliers.  
Companies are awarded up to 1.0 point based on the percentage of FFB from independent smallholders/outgrowers/third-party FFB suppliers that is RSPO certified (i.e. 0.4 points are awarded for companies that have 40% of their FFB from independent smallholders/outgrowers/third-party FFB suppliers that is RSPO certified). Data must be within last two years.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: 100% certified.  
重中 [0.01-0.99 points] Partial: 1-99% certified.  
❌ [0 points] No: 0% certified.  
**External source:** RSPO ACOP 2.5.3 Independent smallholders; 2.5.4 Outgrowers; 2.5.5 Other 3rd party supplier Total FFB volume that is supplied. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>153</th>
<th><strong>Year expected to achieve 100% RSPO certification of all palm product processing facilities</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **ESG** | Disabled if not a processor/trader.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: Target year specified or already met target.  
重中 [0.5 points] Partial: Only subsidiary is an RSPO member, and target year specified or already met target.  
❌ [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator.  
**External source:** RSPO ACOP (processor) 3.3 Year expected to achieve 100% RSPO certification of all palm product processing facilities. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 154 | Percentage of all palm oil and oil palm products handled/traded/processed (tonnes) that is RSPO-certified | Disabled if not a processor/trader. Companies are awarded up to 1.0 point based on the percentage of all palm oil and oil palm products handled/traded/processed that is RSPO certified (i.e. 0.4 points are awarded for companies that have 40% of all palm oil and oil palm products handled/traded/processed that are RSPO certified). Data must be within last two years.  
- [1 point] Yes: 100% certified.  
- [0.01-0.99 points] Partial: 1-99% certified.  
- [0 points] No: 0% certified.  
External source: RSPO ACOP (processor) 2.2.5 Total volume of all palm oil and oil palm products handled/traded/processed in the year; 2.3 Volume handled/traded/processed in the year that is RSPO-certified (tonnes). |
| 155 | Sells or processes/trades RSPO-certified palm oil through Segregated or Identity Preserved supply chains | [1 point] Yes: Companies are awarded 1.0 point if they sell (or process/trade if only trader) Segregated and/or Identity Preserved palm oil. Can be in combination with others. Data must be within last two years.  
- [0 points] No: Does not sell/process/trade Segregated and/or Identity Preserved palm oil.  
External source: RSPO ACOP 3.1.1 Segregated; 3.1.2 Identity Preserved; (processor); 2.3.4 Segregated; 2.3.5 Identity Preserved. |
| 156 | Indonesia Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) certified (100%) | Disabled if only a processor/trader or if does not operate in Indonesia.  
**Scope**  
Comprehensive: 100% of company’s operations (mills and estates) ISPO certified. Must state certified rather than audited or similar.  
Limited: Some of company’s operations are ISPO certified.  
Insufficient: Not certified or only reports being audited.  
Scoring  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
- [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
- [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
- [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
- [0 points] No: Insufficient.  
| Malaysia Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO) certified ESG | Disabled if does not operate in Malaysia.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** More than one of the company's operations is MSPO certified (only counted as one if mill and estate in same location).  
**Limited:** Only one of the company's operations (mill, estate or facility) is MSPO certified.  
**Insufficient:** Not certified or only reports being audited.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
✅ **[1 point]** Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
 MacOS **[0.75 points]** Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
 MacOS **[0.5 points]** Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
 MacOS **[0 points]** No: Insufficient.  
**External source:** MSPO certified plantations, mills and smallholders [https://www.mpocc.org.my/mspo-certification](https://www.mpocc.org.my/mspo-certification). |
|---|---|
| Certified under voluntary sustainability certification scheme (e.g. ISCC, SAS, RSB, etc.) ESG | Certified under voluntary sustainability certification scheme (e.g. ISCC, SAS, RSB, etc.) ESG  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** Any of the company's palm oil operations certified under voluntary schemes, including ISCC, SAN, RSB, and organic certification.  
**Limited:** Only ISO 14001 certified; or audited, but not yet clearly certified.  
**Insufficient:** Member of scheme/s, but not yet certified or audited.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
✅ **[1 point]** Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
 MacOS **[0.75 points]** Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
 MacOS **[0.5 points]** Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
 MacOS **[0 points]** No: Insufficient.  
### Smallholders and suppliers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 159 | Commitment to support smallholders ES | **Disabled if does not source from smallholders.**  
- **[1 point] Yes:** Commitment to support smallholders such as improving yields and productivity, health and safety training, good agricultural practices, financial management, increasing access to inputs and markets, cooperative development, securing land tenure, certification, providing recycled FFBs as fertiliser, etc. (N.B. This list is not exhaustive).  
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Commitment to support smallholders but no details about the types of support provided; or unclear commitment.  
- **[0 points] No:** Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 160 | Programme to support scheme/plasma smallholders ES | **Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no scheme/plasma smallholders.**  
- **Scope**  
  **Comprehensive:** Has programme to support scheme smallholders and provides details of types of support. Examples include: yields and productivity, health and safety training, good agricultural practices, financial management, increasing access to inputs and markets, cooperative development, securing land tenure, certification, providing recycled FFBs as fertiliser, etc. (N.B. This list is not exhaustive).  
  **Limited:** Supporting smallholders, but unclear what type of smallholders; or no details of support provided.  
  **Insufficient:** No programme to support smallholders.  
  **N.B.** For example: if operates in Indonesia, can be awarded for plasma programme if clearly states that provides support (i.e. operates a plasma programme providing training on good agricultural practices); or if clearly states it provides support to scheme smallholders to achieve RSPO certification.  
- **Scoring**  
  **N.B.** Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.  
  - **[1 point] Yes:** Comprehensive, externally verified.  
  - **[0.75 points] Partial:** Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
  - **[0.5 points] Partial:** Limited, self-reported.  
  - **[0 points] No:** Insufficient. |
| 161 | Percentage of scheme/plasma smallholders involved in programme ES | Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no scheme/plasma smallholders.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** Clearly states number or percentage of smallholders it is supporting. Can report number as long as the total number of smallholders is also reported.  
**Limited:** Provides some details on numbers of smallholders, but the percentage of smallholders supported is unclear; or unclear how many supported directly; or data between two and five years old.  
**Insufficient:** No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**Scoring**  
**N.B.** Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
- **[1 point] Yes:** Comprehensive, externally verified.  
- **[0.75 points] Partial:** Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Limited, self-reported.  
- **[0 points] No:** Insufficient. |
| 162 | Programme to support independent smallholders/outgrowers ES | Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no independent smallholders/outgrowers.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** Has programme to support independent smallholders and provides details of types of support. Examples activities include: yields and productivity, health and safety training, good agricultural practices, financial management, increasing access to inputs and markets, cooperative development, securing land tenure, certification, providing recycled FFBs as fertiliser, etc. (N.B. This list is not exhaustive).  
**Limited:** Supporting smallholders, but unclear what type of smallholders; or no details of support provided.  
**Insufficient:** No programme to support smallholders.  
**Scoring**  
**N.B.** Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not linked to percentage certified area.  
- **[1 point] Yes:** Comprehensive, externally verified.  
- **[0.75 points] Partial:** Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
- **[0.5 points] Partial:** Limited, self-reported.  
- **[0 points] No:** Insufficient.  
**External source:** RSPO ACOP 9.1 Are you currently supporting any independent smallholder groups? RSPO ACOP 9.2 How are you supporting them?
| 163 | Percentage of independent smallholders/outgrowers involved in programme | Disabled if only a processor/trader or if no independent smallholders/outgrowers.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** Clearly states percentage of smallholders supporting. Can report number as long as the total number of smallholders is also reported.  
**Limited:** Provides some details on numbers of smallholders, but unclear on the percentage of smallholders supported; or data between two and five years old.  
**Insufficient:** No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
🔸 [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
🔸 [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
🔸 [0 points] No: Insufficient. |
| 164 | Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements | Disabled if no suppliers.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: Describes the tools or method that the company uses to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on legal or policy compliance (e.g. risk assessments, monitoring, details of engagement process, etc.).  
🔸 [0.5 points] Partial: Only describes the process for ensuring compliance with some parts of legal or policy requirements (i.e. only refers to human rights compliance); or states that it has a process but limited detail given.  
🔸 [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 165 | Percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company requirements | Disabled if no suppliers.  
**Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** Clearly provides figure on number or percentage of suppliers assessed/engaged.  
**Limited:** Unclear figures; or information only relates to one part of company's operations (i.e. only one country operating in); or data between two and five years old.  
**Insufficient:** No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
✅ [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
🔸 [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
🔸 [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
🔸 [0 points] No: Insufficient. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>Time-bound plan to engage with all high-risk mills within three years</td>
<td><strong>ESG</strong> Disable if not a processor/trader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>Programme to support high-risk mills to become compliant with sourcing policies</td>
<td><strong>ESG</strong>  <strong>DISABLED</strong> if not a processor/trader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>Regularly engages with a subset of high-risk mills</td>
<td><strong>ESG</strong> Disable if not a processor/trader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>Procedures in place to assess all own and third-party supplying palm oil mills for risk level ESG</td>
<td>Disabled if not a processor/trader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Regularly assesses and categorises the risk level of all own and third-party supplying mills ESG</td>
<td>Disabled if not a processor/trader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Regularly reports the risk level of all own and third-party supplying mills identified in its supply chain ESG</td>
<td>Disabled if not a processor/trader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers ESG</td>
<td>Disabled if no suppliers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ID | Time-bound action plans (including Key Performance Indicators) for suppliers to be in compliance with palm oil sourcing commitments ESG | **Disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.**  
Ο [1 point] Yes: The company publishes time-bound action plans for all of its suppliers to be in compliance with its palm oil sourcing commitments including KPIs/milestones.  
Ο [0.5 points] Partial: The company only publishes action plans for some of its suppliers; or the published action plans are not time-bound; or the time-bound action plan does not include KPIs/milestones.  
Ο [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
|---|---|---|
| 174 | Proportion of direct and indirect supply that comes from palm oil plantations which are compliant with palm oil sourcing policies ESG | **Scope**  
**Comprehensive:** The company reports the proportion of direct (volume) and indirect supply (volume or number of suppliers) that is compliant with the company's sourcing policies.  
**Limited:** The company only reports some of this information; or data between two and five years old.  
**Insufficient:** No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category. Additional points for external verification under RSPO/POIG are not applicable for this indicator as it is not included in the RSPO Principles and Criteria/POIG Charter.  
Ο [1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
Ο [0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
Ο [0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
Ο [0 points] No: Insufficient. |

### Governance and grievances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ο [1 point] Yes: Commitment to both ethical/fair conduct and prohibition of corruption (or bribery/fraud).  
Ο [0.5 points] Partial: Only mentions one; or it is unclear if the commitment covers both ethical/fair conduct and prohibition of corruption.  
Ο [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 176 | Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption applies to all suppliers G |  
For growers, disabled if no suppliers including scheme smallholders and independent suppliers.  
Ο [1 point] Yes: Commitment to both ethical/fair conduct and prohibition of corruption (or bribery/fraud) applies to all suppliers (direct and indirect).  
Ο [0.5 points] Partial: Only mentions one; or it is unclear if the commitment covers both ethical/fair conduct and prohibition of corruption; or does not specify/not clear policy applies to all suppliers.  
Ο [0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 177 | Progress on commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption | **Scope**  
Comprehensive: The company provides evidence of actions taken to implement its anti-bribery and corruption policies, e.g. giving training to employees; setting up dedicated teams or committees responsible for implementation; putting processes in place to identify and mitigate bribery and corruption.  
Limited: Limited details given; or data between two and five years old.  
Insufficient: No data; or data over five years old; or undated.  
**Scoring**  
N.B. Companies may report progress in multiple ways but are awarded points for the highest scoring category.  
[1 point] Yes: Comprehensive, externally verified.  
[0.75 points] Partial: Limited, externally verified; OR comprehensive, self-reported.  
[0.5 points] Partial: Limited, self-reported.  
[0 points] No: Insufficient.  
[+] Up to 1 point: Companies are awarded up to an additional 1.0 point based on the percentage area/volume that is currently RSPO certified against P&C 2013 and/or 2018 or POIG verified (e.g. 0.4 additional points are awarded for companies that are 40% RSPO certified). |
| 178 | Disclosure of the company’s management approach to tax and payments to governments |  
[1 point] Yes: Company discloses its management approach to tax/payments to governments including having a publicly available tax strategy/policy and a governance body/executive level position which is responsible for the tax strategy/policy and its review.  
[0.5 points] Partial: Company only discloses a tax strategy or information on who is responsible for the strategy and its review; or the strategy only clearly covers parts of the company’s operations (e.g. only in one country or one subsidiary).  
[0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 179 | Whistleblowing procedure |  
[1 point] Yes: Information on whistleblowing procedure/how to report unethical conduct. For example, flowchart or clear description of steps taken, including how whistleblowers are protected.  
[0.5 points] Partial: The company only states it allows for whistleblowing, but no details.  
[0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 180 | Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders |  
[1 point] Yes: Company clearly has its own grievance or complaints system (e.g. employees can fill in a specific form if they have a grievance; flowchart; or clear description of steps). This should be accessible to both internal and external stakeholders.  
[0.5 points] Partial: The company only states it has a grievance system, but no other details; or only system for certain issues/in relation to certain policies; or only accessible to internal or external stakeholders.  
[0 points] No: Does not meet requirements for this indicator. |
| 181 | Details of complaints and grievances disclosed |  
Details of complaints and grievances disclosed, if anonymity not requested, including the following details: date; issue; complainant category; actions taken; status.  
[1 point] Yes: All details disclosed.  
[0.5 point] Partial: Three or four details.  
[0.25 points] Partial: One or two details.  
[0 points] No: None or only provides a summary table on number and type of grievances; or data over five years old. |