

Wijma

Timber and pulp assessment

Total score:

11.1%

Latest update: July 2019

Next scheduled: July 2020

About this company: Wijma was founded in 1897, and is headquartered in the Netherlands. It has forestry operations in Cameroon, where it is engaged in timber production, processing and manufacturing, trading and distribution.

Landbank: 315,087 hectares

Thomson Reuters ticker: Private company

Bloomberg ticker: Private company

Activities: Timber production, timber processing and manufacturing, trading and distribution.

Locations: Cameroon, Cote d'Ivoire

Headquarters: Netherlands

Website: <http://www.wijma.com/en>

Total:

13 / 117

11.1%



> Sustainability policy and leadership

15 / 9 16.7%

1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations?

The company has an Environmental Policy, but this does not cover social issues

P

0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

2. Sustainability policy or commitment applies to all sourcing?

✗

0 / 1 [Source](#)

3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability?

✗

0 / 1 [Source](#)

4. One or more board members have responsibility for sustainability?

This indicator is disabled as the company is not publicly listed

5. Percentage or number of women board members?

This indicator is disabled as the company is not publicly listed

6. Percentage or number of women in senior management team?

✗

0 / 1 [Source](#)

7. Sustainability report published within last two years?

✗

0 / 1 [Source](#)

8. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to improve forest management or transparency?

The company is a member of FSC, and some of its forestry subsidiaries are member of GFTN, ATIBT, CBFP, GFBC and STTC

✓

1 / 1 [Source](#)

9. Activities with government, NGOs or academic institutions to improve the sustainability of forest

products?	×	0 / 1	Source
10. Climate risks assessment available?	×	0 / 1	Source
11. Natural capital assessment available?	×	0 / 1	Source



> Landbank, mills and traceability


2 / 22 9.1%

⚠ 3 media reports

12. Lists countries and operations?	×	0 / 1	Source
13. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)? 315,087 - The figure is undated	×	0 / 1	Source
14. Total area of forest plantation (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
15. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)?	×	0 / 1	Source
16. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
17. Conservation set-aside and/or HCV area (ha)?	×	0 / 1	Source
18. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)?	×	0 / 1	Source
19. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)? GFW lists 11 logging concessions in Cameroon but the company does not report any data	P	0.5 / 1	Source
20. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)? Some of the company's FMUs are available on Global Forest Watch	P	0.5 / 1	Source
21. Forest management plans available for all FMUs? Only one Forest Management Plan could be found for the company's concession in Cameroon, however the FM certification for this company has been Terminated	×	0 / 1	Source
22. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation?	×	0 / 1	Source

<p>23. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? 0% - Average score of CAFECO and CFK in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>24. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? 50% - Average score of CAFECO and CFK in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? 0% - Average score of CAFECO and CFK in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>26. Number of company owned pulp and paper mills? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>	
<p>27. Maps or addresses of company owned pulp and paper mills? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>	
<p>28. Number of company owned sawmills? 2 - Data is from 2011</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>29. Maps or addresses of company owned sawmills?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>30. Number of supplier mills?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>31. Maps or addresses of supplier mills?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>32. Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>33. Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>34. Percentage of supply traceable to country level (above 80%)?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 2 Source</p>
<p>35. Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level (above 75%)?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 2 Source</p>



0 / 11	0%
 1 media report	
36. Commitment to zero conversion of natural forest?	X 0 / 1 Source
37. Zero conversion commitment applies to all sourcing?	X 0 / 1 Source
38. Commitment to minimise the impact of logging roads?	X 0 / 1 Source
39. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities?	X 0 / 1 Source
40. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?	X 0 / 1 Source
41. Amount of deforestation recorded?	X 0 / 1 Source
42. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?	X 0 / 1 Source
43. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management?	X 0 / 1 Source
44. Implementing a landscape-level approach to biodiversity conservation?	X 0 / 1 Source
45. Identified species of conservation concern referencing international or national system of species classification?	X 0 / 1 Source
46. Commitment to sustainably manage the use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs)?	X 0 / 1 Source
47. Commitment not to use genetically modified organisms? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	



> HCV, HCS and impact assessments

2 / 7	28.6%
48. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments? The company does not have a direct commitment to conduct HCV assessments across all of its operations. However, the company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the destruction of high conservation values in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). For this half points are awarded	P 0.5 / 1 Source
49. HCV commitment applies to all sourcing?	P 0.5 / 1 Source

The company has committed not be directly or indirectly involved in the destruction of high conservation values in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). For this half points are awarded

50. Commitment to only use licensed High Conservation Value (HCV) assessors accredited by the HCV Resource Network's Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS)?

This indicator is disabled until sufficient number of HCV ALS licensed assessors are available for the location where the company operates

51. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

52. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

53. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

54. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

55. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

56. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

57. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? ✔ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

50% - Average score of CAFECO and CFK in this OTP category.

Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link



> **Soils, fire and GHGs**

0.5 / 9 5.6%

58. Commitment to best management practices for soils and/or peat? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

59. Commitment to reduced impact logging? P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

The company states it uses Reduced Impact Logging (RIL) techniques, but does not have a full commitment to use RIL across all of its operations

60. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

61. Commitment on peatland planting applies to all sourcing?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

62. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

63. Evidence of best management practices for soils and/or peat? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

64. Commitment to zero burning?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

65. Commitment to zero burning applies to all sourcing?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

66. Evidence of management and monitoring fires? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

67. Details/number of hotspots/fires in FMUs controlled by the company? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

68. Time-bound commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

69. Progress towards reducing GHG emission intensity? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

70. Report GHG emissions from land use change?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

71. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)



> **Water, chemical and waste management**

0 / 9 0%

72. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

73. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

74. Commitment to protection of natural waterways through buffer zones? ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

75. Evidence of treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

	✘	0 / 1	Source
76. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment?			
77. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
78. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
79. Commitment to eliminate chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
80. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
81. No use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
82. No use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
83. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach?			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
84. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?			



> Community, land and labour rights

15 / 21 18.8%

2 media reports

	✓	1 / 1	Source
85. Commitment to human rights, referencing the UN Declaration on Human Rights or UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which defines human rights as those established through the UN Declaration of Human Rights			
	✓	1 / 1	Source
86. Commitment to human rights applies to all sourcing? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which defines human rights as those established through the UN Declaration of Human Rights			
	✓	1 / 1	Source
87. Commitment to respect indigenous and local communities' rights? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which encompasses the rights of Indigenous and Tribal Peoples as established by the ILO Convention 169			

<p>88. Commitment to respect legal and customary property rights? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of traditional rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which encompasses customary rights. This policy does not reference legal rights and no reference to legal rights could be found in company sources</p>	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<p>89. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>90. FPIC commitment applies to independent suppliers?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>91. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>92. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>93. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>94. Commitment to support the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>95. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>96. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? 0% - Average score of CAFECO and CFK in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>97. Commitment to respect all workers' rights?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>98. Reference to Fundamental ILO Conventions? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of any of the ILO Core Conventions. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004)</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>99. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment and occupation?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>100. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? 0% - Average score of CAFECO and CFK in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>101. Percentage or number of temporary employees?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>102. Percentage or number of women employees?</p>		

	X	0 / 1	Source
103. Payment of minimum wage?	X	0 / 1	Source
104. Reporting of salary by gender?	X	0 / 1	Source
105. Commitment to address occupational health and safety, referencing the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work?	X	0 / 1	Source
106. Time lost due to work-based injuries?	X	0 / 1	Source
107. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?	X	0 / 1	Source
108. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training?	X	0 / 1	Source



> Certification standards

0 / 12 0%

 3 media reports

109. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?	X	0 / 1	Source
110. Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party? The company has committed to buying all timber from legal sources from suppliers that are in compliance with the European Timber Regulation (EUTR) no 995/2010, however no target to achieve 100% compliance is given	X	0 / 1	Source
111. Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party? 297.87 - The company has OLB certification for some of its concessions under Wijma Cameroun S.A. However, the percentage of its landbank that this certification covers is unclear	X	0 / 1	Source
112. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?	X	0 / 1	Source
113. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?	X	0 / 1	Source
114. Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood requirements?	X	0 / 1	Source
115. Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified?	X	0 / 2	Source
116. Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply from outgrower scheme and/or independent suppliers that is	X	0 / 2	Source

FSC FM certified?

✘ 0 / 2 [Source](#)

117. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed that are FSC certified (above 75%)?

118. Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a country with a PEFC-endorsed SFM standard. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this



> **Smallholders and suppliers**

15 / 6 25%

⚠ 1 media report

119. Programme to support outgrower scheme smallholders?

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

120. Percentage of outgrower scheme smallholders involved in programme?

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

121. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

122. The company has a Due Diligence System (DDS)?

The company states it has a due diligence system but no details are given

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

123. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?

The company states that it will seek evidence that suppliers are in compliance with the European Timber Regulation (EUTR) no 995/2010

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

124. Percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company requirements?

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)



> **Governance and grievances**

1 / 8 12.5%

⚠ 2 media reports

125. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

126. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

50% - Average score of CAFECO and CFK in this OTP category.

Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

127. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

<p>128. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</p> <p>0% - Average score of CAFECO and CFK in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>129. Whistleblowing procedure?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>130. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>131. Details of grievances disclosed?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 2 Source</p>