

Tranchivoire

Timber and pulp assessment

Total score:

8.8%

Latest update: July 2019

Next scheduled: July 2020

About this company:

Founded in 1986, Tranchivoire is headquartered and operates in Ivory Coast. The company is engaged in timber production, processing, manufacturing, trading and distribution.

Landbank 385,000 hectares

Thomson Reuters ticker: Private company

Bloomberg ticker: Private company

Activities: Timber production, timber processing and manufacturing, trading and distribution.

Locations: Cote d'Ivoire

Headquarters: Ivory Coast

Website: <http://www.tranchivoire.com/>

Total:

9.5 / 108

8.8%



> Sustainability policy and leadership

2 / 9 22.2%

1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations?

✘ 0 / 1 No source

2. Sustainability policy or commitment applies to all sourcing?

✘ 0 / 1 No source

3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability?

The company has a Hygiene Quality Safety & Environment Manager however it is unclear what are the responsibilities of this post

P 0.5 / 1 Source

4. One or more board members have responsibility for sustainability?

This indicator is disabled as the company is not publicly listed

5. Percentage or number of women board members?

This indicator is disabled as the company is not publicly listed

6. Percentage or number of women in senior management team?

✘ 0 / 1 No source

7. Sustainability report published within last two years?

✘ 0 / 1 No source

8. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to improve forest management or transparency?

The company is a member of ATIBT. No further schemes or initiatives could be identified

P 0.5 / 1 Source

9. Activities with government, NGOs or academic institutions to improve the sustainability of forest products?	✓ 1 / 1 Source
The company is a supporter of a government initiative headed by the Ministry of Water and Forests of Cote d'Ivoire aimed at tackling illegal wood harvesting and has been working with NGO Cote d'Ivoire Nature on environmental protection	
10. Climate risks assessment available?	✗ 0 / 1 Source
11. Natural capital assessment available?	✗ 0 / 1 No source



> Landbank, mills and traceability

3 / 18 16.7%

12. Lists countries and operations?	✓ 1 / 1 Source
The company only operates in Cote d'Ivoire	
13. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)?	P 0.5 / 1 Source
385,000 - The website provides undated data (number of concessions and hectarage) and data from a May 2016 presentation. Dated data from 2016 state that the company operates on 385,000 ha across 12 FMUs. Partial points were awarded because data is rounded up and given as approximation	
14. Total area of forest plantation (ha)?	
This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
15. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)?	✗ 0 / 1 No source
The landbank figure provided by the company is undated	
16. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)?	
This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
17. Conservation set-aside and/or HCV area (ha)?	✗ 0 / 1 No source
18. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)?	
This indicator is disabled as there is no Intact Forest Landscape (IFL) in the region where the company operates	
19. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)?	P 0.5 / 1 Source
12 - OLB Certification mentions that company holds 12 forestry areas	
20. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)?	✗ 0 / 1 No source
21. Forest management plans available for all FMUs?	✗ 0 / 1 No source
22. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation?	

23. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

24. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

26. Number of company owned pulp and paper mills?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

27. Maps or addresses of company owned pulp and paper mills?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

28. Number of company owned sawmills?

✘ 0 / 1 No source

29. Maps or addresses of company owned sawmills?

✘ 0 / 1 No source

30. Number of supplier mills?

✘ 0 / 1 No source

31. Maps or addresses of supplier mills?

✘ 0 / 1 No source

32. Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest?

The company mentions traceability of the tree from cutting in the forest, through the plant, until the first point of sale under OLB certification, however no description of the process is provided

P 0.5 / 1 Source

33. Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?

The company mentions traceability of the tree from cutting in the forest, through the plant, until the first point of sale under OLB certification, however no description of the process is provided

P 0.5 / 1 Source

34. Percentage of supply traceable to country level (above 80%)?

✘ 0 / 2 No source

35. Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level (above 75%)?

✘ 0 / 2 No source



> Deforestation and biodiversity

0 / 11 0%

⚠️ 1 media report

36. Commitment to zero conversion of natural forest?	✘	0 / 1	No source
37. Zero conversion commitment applies to all sourcing?	✘	0 / 1	No source
38. Commitment to minimise the impact of logging roads?	✘	0 / 1	No source
39. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities? Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal logging. No other forms of illegal activities are discussed	✘	0 / 1	Source
40. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?	✘	0 / 1	No source
41. Amount of deforestation recorded?	✘	0 / 1	No source
42. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?	✘	0 / 1	No source
43. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management?	✘	0 / 1	No source
44. Implementing a landscape-level approach to biodiversity conservation?	✘	0 / 1	No source
45. Identified species of conservation concern referencing international or national system of species classification?	✘	0 / 1	No source
46. Commitment to sustainably manage the use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs)?	✘	0 / 1	No source
47. Commitment not to use genetically modified organisms? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			



> HCV, HCS and impact assessments

0 / 6 0%

⚠️ 1 media report

48. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments?	✘	0 / 1	No source
--	---	-------	-----------

	✘ 0 / 1 No source
49. HCV commitment applies to all sourcing?	
50. Commitment to only use licensed High Conservation Value (HCV) assessors accredited by the HCV Resource Network's Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS)? This indicator is disabled until sufficient number of HCV ALS licensed assessors are available for the location where the company operates	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
51. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available?	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
52. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available?	
53. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
54. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
55. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)? The company states that it carried out EIAs for all concessions and SIAs are required for OLB certification, but no commitment can be found	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
56. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available?	
57. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	



> Soils, fire and GHGs

0 5 / 9 56%

	✘ 0 / 1 No source
58. Commitment to best management practices for soils and/or peat?	
	P 0.5 / 1 Source
59. Commitment to reduced impact logging? The company's website mentions the use of reduced impact logging techniques	
60. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
61. Commitment on peatland planting applies to all sourcing?	

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

62. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)? ✘ 0 / 1 No source

63. Evidence of best management practices for soils and/or peat? ✘ 0 / 1 No source

64. Commitment to zero burning?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

65. Commitment to zero burning applies to all sourcing?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

66. Evidence of management and monitoring fires? ✘ 0 / 1 No source

67. Details/number of hotspots/fires in FMUs controlled by the company? ✘ 0 / 1 No source

68. Time-bound commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity? ✘ 0 / 1 No source

69. Progress towards reducing GHG emission intensity? ✘ 0 / 1 No source

70. Report GHG emissions from land use change?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

71. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions? ✘ 0 / 1 No source



> **Water, chemical and waste management**

0 / 9 0%

72. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)? ✘ 0 / 1 No source

73. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)? ✘ 0 / 1 No source

74. Commitment to protection of natural waterways through buffer zones? ✘ 0 / 1 No source

75. Evidence of treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

	✘ 0 / 1 No source
76. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment?	
77. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
78. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
79. Commitment to eliminate chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
80. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
81. No use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
82. No use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
83. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach?	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
84. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?	



> Community, land and labour rights

3 / 22 13.6%

⚠ 1 media report

	✘ 0 / 1 Source
85. Commitment to human rights, referencing the UN Declaration on Human Rights or UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
86. Commitment to human rights applies to all sourcing?	
	P 0.5 / 1 Source
87. Commitment to respect indigenous and local communities' rights? The company states that it ensures that the rights of local population are respected	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
88. Commitment to respect legal and customary property rights?	
	✘ 0 / 1 No source
89. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?	

<p>90. FPIC commitment applies to independent suppliers?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>91. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>92. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>93. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>94. Commitment to support the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>95. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities? The company provides financial and in kind support to local populations through traditional authorities (chefferies), it has given construction materials for schools, teachers' homes and health centers. It also supports the maintenance of roads</p>	<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p>
<p>96. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>	
<p>97. Commitment to respect all workers' rights? The company states that it ensures that the rights of workers are respected</p>	<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p>
<p>98. Reference to Fundamental ILO Conventions?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>99. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment and occupation?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>100. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>	
<p>101. Percentage or number of temporary employees? 50 - Data as of 2016</p>	<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p>
<p>102. Percentage or number of women employees?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>103. Payment of minimum wage?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>104. Reporting of salary by gender?</p>	<p>✘ 0 / 1 No source</p>
<p>105. Commitment to address occupational health and safety, referencing the ILO Code of Practice on Safety</p>	

and Health in Forestry Work? No reference to the ILO Code of Practice	P	0.5 / 1	Source
106. Time lost due to work-based injuries?	X	0 / 1	No source
107. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?	X	0 / 1	No source
108. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training? The company states that it provides PPE to all workers but no related training is mentioned	P	0.5 / 1	Source



> Certification standards

1 / 12 83%

109. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?	X	0 / 1	No source
110. Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party?	X	0 / 1	No source
111. Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party? 100% - The company is OLB certified	✓	1 / 1	Source
112. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?	X	0 / 1	Source
113. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?	X	0 / 1	No source
114. Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood requirements?	X	0 / 1	No source
115. Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified? 0%	X	0 / 2	No source
116. Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply from outgrower scheme and/or independent suppliers that is FSC FM certified?	X	0 / 2	No source
117. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed that are FSC certified (above 75%)?	X	0 / 2	No source
118. Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not operate in a county with a PEFC-endorsed SFM standard. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			



> Smallholders and suppliers

0 / 6 0%

119. Programme to support outgrower scheme smallholders?	✘	0 / 1	No source
120. Percentage of outgrower scheme smallholders involved in programme?	✘	0 / 1	No source
121. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?	✘	0 / 1	No source
122. The company has a Due Diligence System (DDS)?	✘	0 / 1	No source
123. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?	✘	0 / 1	No source
124. Percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company requirements?	✘	0 / 1	No source



> Governance and grievances

0 / 6 0%

125. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?	✘	0 / 1	No source
126. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?	<p>This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>		
127. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?	✘	0 / 1	Source
128. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?	<p>This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>		
129. Whistleblowing procedure?	✘	0 / 1	No source
130. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders?	✘	0 / 1	No source
131. Details of grievances disclosed?	✘	0 / 2	No source