

SLJ Global Tbk

Timber and pulp assessment

Total score:

15.4%

Latest update: July 2019

Next scheduled: July 2020

About this company:

Established in 1980, SLJ is headquartered and owns forestry operations in Indonesia. As an integrated timber manufacturer it is involved in timber production, processing and manufacturing. The company is also engaged in mining and energy production. The company was known as Sumalindo Lestari Jaya until 2012.

Landbank 770,455 hectares

Market cap: 18,000,269 USD

Thomson Reuters ticker: SULI.BE

Bloomberg ticker: SULI:IJ

ISIN: ID1000088909

Activities: Timber production, timber processing and manufacturing

Locations: Indonesia (Kalimantan)

Headquarters: Indonesia

Website: <http://www.sljglobal.com>
Latest annual report (2017)

Total:

17.5 / 114

15.4%



> Sustainability policy and leadership

2 / 11 18.2%

1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations?

The company has a commitment to follow and adhere to the principles and criteria developed by the FSC, but it does not clearly apply to all the company's operations

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

2. Sustainability policy or commitment applies to all sourcing?

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability?

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

4. One or more board members have responsibility for sustainability?

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

5. Percentage or number of women board members?

1 (25%) - The company does not report percentage of women members of the board of commissioners, only provides profiles of members

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

6. Percentage or number of women in senior management team?

0 (0%) - The company does not report percentage of women members of the board of directors, only provides profiles of members

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

7. Sustainability report published within last two years?

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

	✘ 0 / 1 Source
8. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to improve forest management or transparency?	
	P 0.5 / 1 Source
9. Activities with government, NGOs or academic institutions to improve the sustainability of forest products? The company mentions cooperation with "non-profit organizations equally concern and dedicated to sustainability in the timber industry and forest preservations", but no further details are provided	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
10. Climate risks assessment available?	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
11. Natural capital assessment available?	



> Landbank, mills and traceability

45 / 19 23.7%

⚠ 1 media report

	✓ 1 / 1 Source
12. Lists countries and operations? Indonesia (forestry, manufacturing facilities)	
	✓ 1 / 1 Source
13. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)? 770,455	
14. Total area of forest plantation (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
15. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)?	
16. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
17. Conservation set-aside and/or HCV area (ha)?	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
18. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)?	
	✓ 1 / 1 Source
19. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)? 6	
	P 0.5 / 1 Source
20. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)? Maps for some of the company's FMUs are available on Global Forest Watch (PT Karya Wijaya Sukses, PT Essam Timber)	

<p>21. Forest management plans available for all FMUs?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>22. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>23. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal</p>		
<p>24. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal</p>		
<p>25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal</p>		
<p>26. Number of company owned pulp and paper mills? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>		
<p>27. Maps or addresses of company owned pulp and paper mills? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>		
<p>28. Number of company owned sawmills? 2</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>29. Maps or addresses of company owned sawmills?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>30. Number of supplier mills?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>31. Maps or addresses of supplier mills?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>32. Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>33. Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>34. Percentage of supply traceable to country level (above 80%)?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 2 Source</p>

35. Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level (above 75%)?

✘ 0 / 2 Source



> Deforestation and biodiversity

1 / 11 9.1%

36. Commitment to zero conversion of natural forest?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

37. Zero conversion commitment applies to all sourcing?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

38. Commitment to minimise the impact of logging roads?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

39. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities?

The company states it educates local communities on how to protect forest areas from illegal logging practices. No commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities could be identified

✘ 0 / 1 Source

40. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

41. Amount of deforestation recorded?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

42. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?

✓ 1 / 1 Source

43. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

44. Implementing a landscape-level approach to biodiversity conservation?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

45. Identified species of conservation concern referencing international or national system of species classification?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

46. Commitment to sustainably manage the use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs)?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

47. Commitment not to use genetically modified organisms?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this



> HCV, HCS and impact assessments

1 / 7 14.3%

48. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments?

The company does not have a direct commitment to conduct HCV assessments across all of its operations. However, the

P 0.5 / 1 Source

company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the destruction of High Conservation Values in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). For this half points are awarded

	P	0.5 / 1	Source
49. HCV commitment applies to all sourcing?			
The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the destruction of High Conservation Values in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). For this half points are awarded			
	X	0 / 1	Source
50. Commitment to only use licensed High Conservation Value (HCV) assessors accredited by the HCV Resource Network's Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS)?			
	X	0 / 1	Source
51. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available?			
	X	0 / 1	Source
52. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available?			
53. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach?			
This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
54. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available?			
This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
	X	0 / 1	Source
55. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)?			
	X	0 / 1	Source
56. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available?			
57. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?			
This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal			



> Soils, fire and GHGs

0 / 9	0%		
	X	0 / 1	Source
58. Commitment to best management practices for soils and/or peat?			
	X	0 / 1	Source
59. Commitment to reduced impact logging?			
60. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?			
This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
61. Commitment on peatland planting applies to all sourcing?			

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

62. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

63. Evidence of best management practices for soils and/or peat?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

64. Commitment to zero burning?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

65. Commitment to zero burning applies to all sourcing?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

66. Evidence of management and monitoring fires?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

67. Details/number of hotspots/fires in FMUs controlled by the company?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

68. Time-bound commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

69. Progress towards reducing GHG emission intensity?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

70. Report GHG emissions from land use change?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not produce wood/wood fibre from plantations. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

71. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions?

✘ 0 / 1 Source



> Water, chemical and waste management

0 / 0 0%

72. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

73. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

74. Commitment to protection of natural waterways through buffer zones?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

75. Evidence of treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

	✘	0 / 1	Source
76. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment?			
77. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
78. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
79. Commitment to eliminate chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it does not own pulp or paper mills. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
80. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
81. No use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
82. No use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
83. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach?			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
84. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?			



> Community, land and labour rights

75 / 22 34 1%

⚠ 3 media reports

	✓	1 / 1	Source
85. Commitment to human rights, referencing the UN Declaration on Human Rights or UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which defines human rights as those established through the UN Declaration of Human Rights			
	✓	1 / 1	Source
86. Commitment to human rights applies to all sourcing? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which defines human rights as those established through the UN Declaration of Human Rights			
	✓	1 / 1	Source
87. Commitment to respect indigenous and local communities' rights? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which encompasses the rights of Indigenous and Tribal Peoples as established by the ILO Convention 169			

<p>88. Commitment to respect legal and customary property rights? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of traditional rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which encompasses customary rights. This policy does not reference legal rights and no reference to legal rights could be found in company sources</p>	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<p>89. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>90. FPIC commitment applies to independent suppliers?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>91. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>92. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>93. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>94. Commitment to support the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>95. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities?</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>96. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal</p>		
<p>97. Commitment to respect all workers' rights?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>98. Reference to Fundamental ILO Conventions? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of any of the ILO Core Conventions. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004)</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>99. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment and occupation?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>100. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal</p>		
<p>101. Percentage or number of temporary employees?</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source

102. Percentage or number of women employees? 32 (9.8%) - The company only reports figures for permanent employees	P	0.5 / 1	Source
103. Payment of minimum wage? The company states it pays Provincial Minimum Wage however it is unclear if it is paid to all workers	P	0.5 / 1	Source
104. Reporting of salary by gender?	X	0 / 1	Source
105. Commitment to address occupational health and safety, referencing the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work? The company does not refer to the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work	P	0.5 / 1	Source
106. Time lost due to work-based injuries?	X	0 / 1	Source
107. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?	X	0 / 1	Source
108. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training? The company commits to providing relevant training to employees. No reference to personal protective equipment (PPE) could be identified	P	0.5 / 1	Source



> Certification standards

0 / 14 0%

1 media report

109. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?	X	0 / 1	Source
110. Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party?	X	0 / 1	Source
111. Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party? 61,645 (8%) - The company has valid SVLK PHPL certification for 61,645 ha which is 8% of its total concession area	X	0 / 1	Source
112. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?	X	0 / 1	Source
113. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?	X	0 / 1	Source
114. Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood requirements? The company has committed to procure certified timber products, but not specifically FSC Controlled Wood	X	0 / 1	Source

115. Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified?	✘	0 / 2	Source
116. Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply from outgrower scheme and/or independent suppliers that is FSC FM certified?	✘	0 / 2	Source
117. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed that are FSC certified (above 75%)?	✘	0 / 2	Source
118. Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)?	✘	0 / 2	Source



> Smallholders and suppliers

0 / 6	0%		
119. Programme to support outgrower scheme smallholders?	✘	0 / 1	Source
120. Percentage of outgrower scheme smallholders involved in programme?	✘	0 / 1	Source
121. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?	✘	0 / 1	Source
122. The company has a Due Diligence System (DDS)?	✘	0 / 1	Source
123. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?	✘	0 / 1	Source
124. Percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company requirements?	✘	0 / 1	Source



> Governance and grievances

1 / 6	25%		
125. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?	✓	1 / 1	Source
126. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?			
This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal			
127. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?	✘	0 / 1	Source
128. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?			

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal

129. Whistleblowing procedure?	P	0.5 / 1	Source
The company states that it encourages whistleblowing and that whistleblowers are protected, but no details of the procedure are provided			
130. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders?	X	0 / 1	Source
131. Details of grievances disclosed?	X	0 / 2	Source