

About this company: Established in 1980, SLJ is headquartered and owns forestry operations in Indonesia. As an integrated timber manufacturer it is involved in timber production, processing and manufacturing. The company is also engaged in mining and energy production. The company was known as Sumalindo Lestari Jaya until 2012.

Landbank: 770,455 hectares

Market cap: 13,766,977 USD

Thomson Reuters ticker: SULI.BE

Bloomberg ticker: SULI:IJ


ISIN: ID1000088909

Activities: Timber production; Timber processing and manufacturing; Trading and distribution

Locations: Kalimantan

Headquarters: Indonesia

Website: <http://www.sljglobal.com>
[Latest annual report \(2018\)](#)



> Sustainability policy and leadership

2 / 12 16.7%



Question	Score	Max Score	Source
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations? The company has a CSR statement on its website, and commitments to 'Responsibility towards the environment', 'Responsibility to employment, health and safety', 'Responsibility to social and community development', and 'Responsibility towards products and customers'. However, it is not clear if this applies to all the company's operations.	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability?	X	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 4. One or more members within the board of the company have responsibility for sustainability?	X	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Percentage or number of women in senior management team? Members of senior management are named, but gender balance cannot be determined.	X	0 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Percentage or number of women board members?	✓	1 / 1	Source
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to reduce negative environmental			

	✗	0 / 1
or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production?		
	P	0.5 / 1 Source
■ 8. Collaboration with stakeholders to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production? The company mentions the collaborations with stakeholders to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes. However, limited examples and details are given.		
	✗	0 / 1
■ 9. Sustainability report published within last two years?		
	✗	0 / 1
■ 10. Reports through standardised reporting systems?		
	✗	0 / 1
■ 11. Climate risks assessment available?		
	✗	0 / 1
■ 12. Natural capital assessment available?		



> Landbank, maps and traceability

5.5 / 22 25%

⚠ 1 media report



	✓	1 / 1 Source
■ 13. Lists countries and operations?		
	✓	1 / 1
■ 14. Lists countries sourcing from?		
■ 15. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from plantation forests.		
	P	0.5 / 1 Source
■ 16. Total area of forest plantation (ha)? The company website quotes three contradictory figures: 770,455 ha, 840,500 ha, and 520,500 ha.		
	✗	0 / 1
■ 17. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)?		
	✗	0 / 1
■ 18. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)?		
	✗	0 / 1
■ 19. Conservation set-aside area, including HCV area (ha)?		
	✗	0 / 1
■ 20. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)?		
■ 21. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)?		

	✓	1 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 22. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)? Maps for some of the company's FMUs are available on Global Forest Watch (PT Karya Wijaya Sukses, PT Essam Timber).	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 23. Forest management plans available for all FMUs?	✗	0 / 1	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 24. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation available?	✗	0 / 1	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use right (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 26. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 27. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.			
<input type="checkbox"/> 28. Names and locations of all third-party supplying FMUs?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 29. Number of company owned sawmills?	✓	1 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 30. Names and locations of company owned sawmills?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 31. Number of company-owned pulp and paper mills? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.			
<input type="checkbox"/> 32. Names and locations of company-owned pulp and paper mills? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.			
<input type="checkbox"/> 33. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned sawmills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 34. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned pulp and paper mills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.			
<input type="checkbox"/> 35. Number of third party supplying mills?	✗	0 / 1	

<input type="checkbox"/> 36. Names and locations of all third party supplying mills?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 37. Reports total volume (or percentages) sourced from third-party supplying mills that come from the supplying mills' own operations and/or third parties?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 38. Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Chain of Custody Certification Standard (FSC-STD-40-004). As the Standard's requirements do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria partial points have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC CoC certification.	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 39. Percentage of supply traceable to country of harvest?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 40. Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 41. Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level?	✗	0 / 1



> Certification standards

0.07 / 9 0.8%

1 media report

<input type="checkbox"/> 42. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 43. Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party? 7% - The company has valid SVLK PHPL certification for 61,645 ha which is 7-12% of its total concession area (contradictory figures are provided for concession areas).	P	0.07 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 44. Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 45. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 46. Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 47. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 48. Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply (tonnes) from all suppliers that comes from FSC FM certified areas?	✗	0 / 1

<input type="checkbox"/> 49. Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood and/or PEFC Controversial Sources requirements?	✘ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 50. Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)?	✘ 0 / 1



> Deforestation and biodiversity

6.5 / 22 29.6%

<input type="checkbox"/> 51. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.	✔ 1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 52. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.	✔ 1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 53. Criteria for defining deforestation? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.	✔ 1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 54. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?	✘ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 55. Amount of illegal/non-compliant deforestation recorded?	✘ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 56. Amount of illegal//non-compliant deforestation recorded in supplier operations?	✘ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 57. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion?	✘ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 58. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion applies to all suppliers?	✘ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 59. Implementing a landscape or jurisdictional level approach?	✘ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 60. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?	✔ 1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 61. Commitment to biodiversity conservation applies to all suppliers?	✘ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 62. Identified species of conservation concern, referencing international or national system of species classification?	✘ 0 / 1

<p>63. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management?</p>	✗	0 / 2
<p>64. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>65. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species applies to all suppliers?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>66. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities? The company states it educates local communities on how to protect forest areas from illegal logging practices. No clear commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities could be identified.</p>	✗	0 / 1 Source
<p>67. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities applies to all suppliers?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>68. Evidence of protecting forest areas from illegal activities? The company states it educates local communities on how to protect forest areas from illegal logging practices. No commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities could be identified.</p>	P	0.5 / 2
<p>69. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>70. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source



> HCV, HCS and impact assessments

2 / 10 20%



<p>71. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>72. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	✓	1 / 1
<p>73. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>74. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>75. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach?</p>	✗	0 / 1

<input type="checkbox"/> 76. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 77. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 78. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 79. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 80. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available, and associated management and monitoring plans?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 81. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.		



> Soils, fire and GHG emissions

0 / 20 0%		
<input type="checkbox"/> 82. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 83. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 84. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 85. Implementation of commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 86. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 87. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 88. Evidence of best management practices for soils and peat?	X	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 89. Commitment to reduced impact logging? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from plantation forests.		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 90. Commitment to reduced impact logging applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
91. Evidence of implementing reduced impact logging practices? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from plantation forests.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 92. Commitment to zero burning?	X	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 93. Commitment to zero burning applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 94. Evidence of fire monitoring and management?	X	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 95. Details/number of hotspots/fires in company FMUs?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 96. Details/number of hotspots/fires in suppliers operations?	X	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 97. Time-bound commitment to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions intensity?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 98. GHG emissions intensity?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 99. GHG emissions from land use change?	X	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100. Progress towards commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity?	X	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 101. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions?	X	0 / 1



> Water, chemical and waste management

0 / 15 0%

102. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity?
This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

103. Water use intensity?
This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

104. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity?
This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

■ 105. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
■ 106. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
■ 107. Treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
■ 108. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment?	✘	0 / 1
■ 109. Proportion of processing facilities with closed-loop water treatment system? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
■ 110. Commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?	✘	0 / 1
■ 111. Implementation of commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?	✘	0 / 1
■ 112. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 113. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers, applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 114. Evidence of eliminating chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
■ 115. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?	✘	0 / 1
■ 116. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 117. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?	✘	0 / 1
■ 118. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 119. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?	✘	0 / 1
■ 120. Implementation of commitment to reduce chemical usage?	✘	0 / 2

<p>■ 121. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach?</p>	✗	0 / 2
<p>■ 122. Waste management system in place to avoid negative impacts?</p>	✗	0 / 1



> Community, land and labour rights

10.5 / 34 30.9%

⚠ 3 media reports



<p>■ 123. Commitment to human rights? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>■ 124. Commitment to human rights applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>■ 125. Progress on human rights commitment ?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>■ 126. Commitment to respect Indigenous and local communities' rights? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>■ 127. Commitment to Indigenous and local communities' rights applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>■ 128. Commitment to respect legal and customary land tenure rights? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). As the Policy for Association's requirements do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria partial points have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<p>■ 129. Commitment to legal and customary land rights applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). As the Policy for Association's requirements do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria partial points have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<p>■ 130. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>■ 131. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) applies to all suppliers?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>■ 132. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?</p>	✗	0 / 1

<p>133. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts? The company states it does some work with local communities but does not provide examples.</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>134. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1</p>
<p>135. Supports the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1</p>
<p>136. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.</p>		
<p>137. Commitment to enable sustainable use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) by local communities? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from plantation forests.</p>		
<p>138. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities ?</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>139. Progress on commitment to provide essential community services and facilities? The company states it provides facilities to communities, but does not provide any examples.</p>	<p>P</p>	<p>0.5 / 2</p>
<p>140. Commitment to provide business/work opportunities for local communities?</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>141. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.</p>		
<p>142. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>143. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>144. Progress on commitment to respect all workers' rights?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1</p>
<p>145. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1</p>
<p>146. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1</p>

	X	0 / 1
147. Progress on commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?		
	X	0 / 1
148. Percentage or number of temporary employees?		
	X	0 / 1
149. Percentage or number of women employees?		
	X	0 / 1
150. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage?		
	X	0 / 1
151. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage applies to all suppliers?		
	X	0 / 1
152. Progress on commitment to pay at least minimum wage?		
	X	0 / 1
153. Reporting of salary by gender?		
	P	0.5 / 1 Source
154. Commitment to address occupational health and safety? The company commits to health and safety at work, but does not references the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work, and it is not clear if this covers all employees.		
	X	0 / 1
155. Commitment to address occupational health and safety applies to all suppliers?		
	P	0.5 / 1
156. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training? The company commits to providing relevant training to employees. No reference to personal protective equipment (PPE) could be identified.		
	X	0 / 1
157. Time lost due to work-based injuries?		
	X	0 / 1
158. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?		



> Smallholders and suppliers

0 / 8 0%

	X	0 / 1
159. Commitment to support smallholders?		
	X	0 / 1
160. Programme to support outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders?		
	X	0 / 1
161. Percentage of outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders involved in programme?		

<p><input type="checkbox"/> 162. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 163. Number or percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 164. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 165. Timebound action plans (including Key Performance Indicators) for suppliers to be in compliance with timber and pulp sourcing commitments?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 166. Proportion of direct and indirect supply that comes from FMUs which are compliant with timber and pulp sourcing policies?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>



> Governance and grievances

0.5 / 7 | 7.1%

1 media report



<p><input type="checkbox"/> 167. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption? The company code of conduct is available on the company's website.</p>	<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 168. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 169. Progress on commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 170. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.</p>	
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 171. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 172. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.</p>	
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 173. Whistleblowing procedure?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>

■ 174. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders?	✘	0 / 1
■ 175. Details of complaints and grievances disclosed?	✘	0 / 1

Media monitor: SLJ Global

SPOTT monitors global media sources for coverage of assessed companies. The media monitor gathers reports about specific activities related to the assessment indicator categories. ZSL does not assess or score the validity of media coverage, but users can explore the media monitor to provide context on implementation, and infer risks associated with reported operations on the ground.

Last media reports:

Timber and pulp media reports (4)



February 2020

Manfaat GSP AS terancam hilang, SLJ Global (SULI) siapkan antisipasi(US GSP benefits threatened to disappear, SLJ Global (SULI) prepare anticipation)

[Visit external site](#)



Show media reports

By category By year

All media reports



0



1