

Total score:

5.4%

Latest update: July 2020

Next scheduled: July 2021

Landbank	1,140,000 hectares
Thomson Reuters ticker:	Private company
Bloomberg ticker:	Private company
Activities:	Timber production; Timber processing and manufacturing; Trading and distribution
Locations:	Republic of Congo (Brazzaville)
Headquarters:	Congo
Website:	http://sefyd.com/index_CH.asp

Total:

8.74 / 161

5.4%



> Sustainability policy and leadership

0 / 10 0%

<input type="checkbox"/>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations?	×	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment applies to all suppliers?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. One or more members within the board of the company have responsibility for sustainability? This indicator is disabled as the company is private.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. Percentage or number of women in senior management team?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. Percentage or number of women board members? This indicator is disabled as the company is private.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production?	×	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8. Collaboration with stakeholders to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production?	×	0 / 1

<input type="checkbox"/> 9. Sustainability report published within last two years?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 10. Reports through standardised reporting systems?	✗	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11. Climate risks assessment available?	✗	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 12. Natural capital assessment available?	✗	0 / 1



> Landbank, maps and traceability

4 / 13 / 24 17.2%

1 media report



<input type="checkbox"/> 13. Lists countries and operations? The company states it owns 1,140,000 ha of virgin forest in the RoC, and has offices in Brazzaville, Douala and Sanmelima. The company also has an office in China. It carries out logging, processing, transportation, export and furniture production, but it is unclear which countries carry out which activities.	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 14. Lists countries sourcing from?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 15. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)? 1,140,000 - The company states it owns 1,140,000 ha of virgin forest, however, the figure is undated.	✗	0 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 16. Total area of forest plantation (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 17. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 18. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 19. Conservation set-aside area, including HCV area (ha)? The company's FMP for its Jua-Ikie FMU states a conservation area of 42,969 ha and a protection area of 69,628 ha, however, it is unclear if this covers all of the company's conservation areas.	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 20. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 21. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)? 2 - The company has two FMUs (Jua-Ikie and Karagoua) mentioned in the Open Timber Portal, however, it is unclear if these cover all of the company's FMUs.	P	0.5 / 1 Source

<p>22. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)? The company discloses maps of two FMUs (Jua-Ikie and Karagoua) that are available on Open Timber Portal, however, it is unclear if these cover all of the company's FMUs.</p>	<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p>
<p>23. Forest management plans available for all FMUs? The company provides Forest Management Plans for the Jua-Ikie FMU that is available on Open Timber Portal, but these do not cover all of the company's operations.</p>	<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p>
<p>24. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation available?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p>25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use right (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link.</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>26. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link.</p>	<p>P 0.25 / 1 Source</p>
<p>27. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators.</p>	<p>P 0.38 / 1</p>
<p>28. Names and locations of all third-party supplying FMUs?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p>29. Number of company owned sawmills?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p>30. Names and locations of company owned sawmills?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p>31. Number of company-owned pulp and paper mills? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.</p>	
<p>32. Names and locations of company-owned pulp and paper mills? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.</p>	
<p>33. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned sawmills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p>34. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned pulp and paper mills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.</p>	
<p>35. Number of third party supplying mills?</p>	

	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 36. Names and locations of all third party supplying mills?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 37. Reports total volume (or percentages) sourced from third-party supplying mills that come from the supplying mills' own operations and/or third parties?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 38. Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 39. Percentage of supply traceable to country of harvest?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 40. Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 41. Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level?	×	0 / 1



> Certification standards

0 / 8 0%

<input type="checkbox"/> 42. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 43. Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 44. Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 45. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 46. Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 47. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 48. Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply (tonnes) from all suppliers that comes from FSC FM certified areas?	×	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 49. Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood and/or PEFC Controversial Sources requirements?	×	0 / 1

■ 50. Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)?

This indicator is disabled as the company does not operate in a country with a PEFC-endorsed SFM standard.



> Deforestation and biodiversity

0 / 21 0%

■ 51. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems?	✘	0 / 1
■ 52. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 53. Criteria for defining deforestation?	✘	0 / 1
■ 54. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?	✘	0 / 1
■ 55. Amount of illegal/non-compliant deforestation recorded?	✘	0 / 1
■ 56. Amount of illegal//non-compliant deforestation recorded in supplier operations?	✘	0 / 1
■ 57. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion?	✘	0 / 1
■ 58. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 59. Implementing a landscape or jurisdictional level approach?	✘	0 / 1
■ 60. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?	✘	0 / 1
■ 61. Commitment to biodiversity conservation applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 62. Identified species of conservation concern, referencing international or national system of species classification?	✘	0 / 1
■ 63. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management?	✘	0 / 2
■ 64. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species?	✘	0 / 1

<input type="checkbox"/> 65. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 66. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 67. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 68. Evidence of protecting forest areas from illegal activities?	✗	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 69. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 70. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1



> HCV, HCS and impact assessments

1 25 / 9 13.9%		
<input type="checkbox"/> 71. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 72. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 73. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 74. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 75. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 76. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 77. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 78. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 79. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1

80. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available, and associated management and monitoring plans?

[Externally verified] Environmental Impact Assessments have been conducted for the Jua-Ikie FMU only.

81. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link.



> **Soils, fire and GHGs**

0 / 20 0%

82. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.

83. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth applies to all suppliers? ✘ 0 / 1

84. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)? ✘ 0 / 1

85. Implementation of commitment to no planting on peat of any depth? ✘ 0 / 1

86. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat? ✘ 0 / 1

87. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat applies to all suppliers? ✘ 0 / 1

88. Evidence of best management practices for soils and peat? ✘ 0 / 2

89. Commitment to reduced impact logging? ✘ 0 / 1

90. Commitment to reduced impact logging applies to all suppliers? ✘ 0 / 1

91. Evidence of implementing reduced impact logging practices? ✘ 0 / 2

92. Commitment to zero burning?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.

93. Commitment to zero burning applies to all suppliers? ✘ 0 / 1

94. Evidence of fire monitoring and management?

	X	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 95. Details/number of hotspots/fires in company FMUs?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 96. Details/number of hotspots/fires in suppliers operations?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 97. Time-bound commitment to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions intensity?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 98. GHG emissions intensity?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 99. GHG emissions from land use change? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 100. Progress towards commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 101. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions?	X	0 / 1



> Water, chemical and waste management

0 / 15 0%

<input type="checkbox"/> 102. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 103. Water use intensity? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 104. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 105. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 106. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 107. Treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 108. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment?	X	0 / 1

109. Proportion of processing facilities with closed-loop water treatment system? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
	✘	0 / 1
110. Commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?		
	✘	0 / 1
111. Implementation of commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?		
	✘	0 / 1
112. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?		
	✘	0 / 1
113. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers, applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
114. Evidence of eliminating chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.		
	✘	0 / 1
115. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?		
	✘	0 / 1
116. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
117. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?		
	✘	0 / 1
118. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
119. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?		
	✘	0 / 1
120. Implementation of commitment to reduce chemical usage?		
	✘	0 / 2
121. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach?		
	✘	0 / 2
122. Waste management system in place to avoid negative impacts?		
	✘	0 / 1



> Community, land and labour rights

1 / 37 4%



123. Commitment to human rights?

	X	0 / 1
■ 124. Commitment to human rights applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
■ 125. Progress on human rights commitment ?	X	0 / 1
■ 126. Commitment to respect Indigenous and local communities' rights?	X	0 / 1
■ 127. Commitment to Indigenous and local communities' rights applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
■ 128. Commitment to respect legal and customary land tenure rights?	X	0 / 1
■ 129. Commitment to legal and customary land rights applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
■ 130. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?	X	0 / 1
■ 131. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
■ 132. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?	X	0 / 1
■ 133. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts? [Externally verified] The company in its FMP for Jua-Ikie FMU available on the Open Timber Portal states that it will set up a process of consultation to reduce conflict with local communities.	P	0.75 / 1 Source
■ 134. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available?	X	0 / 1
■ 135. Supports the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced?	X	0 / 1
■ 136. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators .	P	0.17 / 1
■ 137. Commitment to enable sustainable use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) by local communities?	X	0 / 1
■ 138. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities ?	X	0 / 1
■ 139. Progress on commitment to provide essential community services and facilities?	X	0 / 2
■ 140. Commitment to provide business/work opportunities for local communities?		

	✘	0 / 1
<p style="text-align: right;">P 0.57 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 141. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link.</p>		
■ 142. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles?	✘	0 / 1
■ 143. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 144. Progress on commitment to respect all workers' rights?	✘	0 / 1
■ 145. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?	✘	0 / 1
■ 146. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 147. Progress on commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?	✘	0 / 1
■ 148. Percentage or number of temporary employees?	✘	0 / 1
■ 149. Percentage or number of women employees?	✘	0 / 1
■ 150. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage?	✘	0 / 1
■ 151. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 152. Progress on commitment to pay at least minimum wage?	✘	0 / 1
■ 153. Reporting of salary by gender?	✘	0 / 1
■ 154. Commitment to address occupational health and safety?	✘	0 / 1
■ 155. Commitment to address occupational health and safety applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 156. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training?	✘	0 / 1
■ 157. Time lost due to work-based injuries?		

	✘	0 / 1
■ 158. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?	✘	0 / 1



> Smallholders and suppliers

0 / 8	0%
■ 159. Commitment to support smallholders?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 160. Programme to support outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 161. Percentage of outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders involved in programme?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 162. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 163. Number or percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 164. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 165. Timebound action plans (including Key Performance Indicators) for suppliers to be in compliance with timber and pulp sourcing commitments?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 166. Proportion of direct and indirect supply that comes from FMUs which are compliant with timber and pulp sourcing policies?	✘ 0 / 1



> Governance and grievances

1 88 / 9	20 8%
⚠ 1 media report	
■ 167. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 168. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption applies to all suppliers?	✘ 0 / 1

<p>169. Progress on commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>170. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</p> <p>Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link.</p>	✓	1 / 1 Source
<p>171. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>172. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</p> <p>Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators.</p>	P	0.88 / 1
<p>173. Whistleblowing procedure?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>174. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders?</p>	✗	0 / 1
<p>175. Details of complaints and grievances disclosed?</p>	✗	0 / 1

Media monitor: SEFYD

SPOTT monitors global media sources for coverage of assessed companies. The media monitor gathers reports about specific activities related to the assessment indicator categories. ZSL does not assess or score the validity of media coverage, but users can explore the media monitor to provide context on implementation, and infer risks associated with reported operations on the ground.

Last media reports:

Timber and pulp media reports (1)



February 2016
Republic of Congo awards two million hectares of timber concessions

[Visit external site](#)



Show media reports

By category By year

All media reports



0



