

Korindo

Timber and pulp assessment

Total score:

40.2%

Latest update: July 2019

Next scheduled: July 2020

About this company:

Established in 1969, Korindo is headquartered in Indonesia. The company is engaged in timber production, pulpwood production, timber processing and manufacturing, pulp and paper production, and trading and distribution. The company also has palm oil plantations, and its palm oil operations are assessed on SPOTT.

Landbank 618,899 hectares

Thomson Reuters ticker: Private company

Bloomberg ticker: Private company

Activities: Timber production, pulpwood production, timber processing and manufacturing, pulp and paper production

Locations: Indonesia

Headquarters: Indonesia

Website: <http://www.korindo.co.id/>

Total:

37 / 92

40.2%



> Sustainability policy and leadership

2 / 8 25%

1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations?

✓

1 / 1 [Source](#)

2. Sustainability policy or commitment applies to all sourcing?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability?

The company has a Environment, Social, and Governance (ESG) Division however it is unclear what responsibility this Division has and how it relates to the rest of the organisation

P

0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

4. One or more board members have responsibility for sustainability?

This indicator is disabled as the company is not publicly listed

5. Percentage or number of women board members?

This indicator is disabled as the company is not publicly listed

6. Percentage or number of women in senior management team?

✗

0 / 1 [Source](#)

7. Sustainability report published within last two years?

✗

0 / 1 [Source](#)

	✘ 0 / 1 Source
8. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to improve forest management or transparency?	
	P 0.5 / 1 Source
9. Activities with government, NGOs or academic institutions to improve the sustainability of forest products? The company mentions some activities (e.g. Kampar REDD+ project, USAID LESTARI) but they don't relate to forestry activities or it is unclear whether they do	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
10. Climate risks assessment available?	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
11. Natural capital assessment available?	



> Landbank, mills and traceability

6 / 11 54.5%

⚠ 6 media reports

	P 0.5 / 1 Source
12. Lists countries and operations? The company only provides a map with the countries in which it operates. No details on nature of operations within those countries are available	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
13. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)? 524,515 - Figure provided is undated	
	✓ 1 / 1 Source
14. Total area of forest plantation (ha)? 94,384 - The company states it has a concession area of 94,384 ha and that the effective land used for planting and producing is approximately 79,924 ha	
15. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't use outgrower schemes for wood/wood fibre production	
	✓ 1 / 1 Source
16. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)?	
	✓ 1 / 1 Source
17. Conservation set-aside and/or HCV area (ha)? 26,159	
18. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't have any intact forest landscapes within its landbank	
	✓ 1 / 1 Source
19. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)?	

<p>20. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)? 4 - Four FMUs are shown on Global Forest Watch: PT. BELANTARA SUBUR, PT. FAJAR SURYA SWADAYA,PT. KORINTIGA HUTANI(objectid 98,99) But it is unclear if these cover all of the company's FMUs</p>	<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p>
<p>21. Forest management plans available for all FMUs?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>22. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>23. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>	
<p>24. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>	
<p>25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this</p>	
<p>26. Number of company owned pulp and paper mills? 1</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>27. Maps or addresses of company owned pulp and paper mills?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1 Source</p>
<p>28. Number of company owned sawmills? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't own sawmills</p>	
<p>29. Maps or addresses of company owned sawmills? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't own sawmills</p>	
<p>30. Number of supplier mills? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers</p>	
<p>31. Maps or addresses of supplier mills? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers</p>	
<p>32. Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers</p>	

33. Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

34. Percentage of supply traceable to country level (above 80%)?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

35. Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level (above 75%)?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers



> Deforestation and biodiversity

7 / 11 63.6%

9 media reports

36. Commitment to zero conversion of natural forest?

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

37. Zero conversion commitment applies to all sourcing?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

38. Commitment to minimise the impact of logging roads?

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

39. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities?

The company only commits to protect forest areas from certain forms of illegal activities

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

40. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?

The company monitors deforestation by Landsat imagery every two years however the extent of the area monitored is unclear

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

41. Amount of deforestation recorded?

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

42. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

43. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management?

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

44. Implementing a landscape-level approach to biodiversity conservation?

The company mentions implementing sustainable landscape planning and management, however, it does not cover all the operations of Korindo

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

	P 0.5 / 1 Source
45. Identified species of conservation concern referencing international or national system of species classification? The company commits to protect species of conservation concern however no information on the system of species classification is given	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
46. Commitment to sustainably manage the use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs)?	
	✔ 1 / 1 Source
47. Commitment not to use genetically modified organisms? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the introduction of genetically modified organisms in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004)	



> HCV, HCS and impact assessments	
3 / 7 42.9%	
⚠ 4 media reports	
	✔ 1 / 1 Source
48. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments?	
49. HCV commitment applies to all sourcing? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
50. Commitment to only use licensed High Conservation Value (HCV) assessors accredited by the HCV Resource Network's Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS)?	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
51. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available? No HCV assessments for the company's forestry operations could be found. HCV assessments on the HCV Resource Network for the company's palm oil operations are not available as they are either unsatisfactory or not ready for Quality Panel review	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
52. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available? No HCV assessments for the company's forestry operations could be found. HCV assessments on the HCV Resource Network for the company's palm oil operations are not available as they are either unsatisfactory or not ready for Quality Panel review	
	✔ 1 / 1 Source
53. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach?	
54. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it hasn't expanded its plantation area since April 2015	
	✔ 1 / 1 Source
55. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)?	
	✘ 0 / 1 Source
56. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available?	
57. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the	

time of SPOTT assessments)?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this



> Soils, fire and GHGs

4 / 10 40%

3 media reports

58. Commitment to best management practices for soils and/or peat?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

59. Commitment to reduced impact logging?

✓ 1 / 1 Source

60. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't have landbank on peat

61. Commitment on peatland planting applies to all sourcing?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

62. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't have landbank on peat

63. Evidence of best management practices for soils and/or peat?

The company provides evidence for reducing erosion and fertilising soil through planting trees program

✓ 1 / 1 Source

64. Commitment to zero burning?

✓ 1 / 1 Source

65. Commitment to zero burning applies to all sourcing?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

66. Evidence of management and monitoring fires?

✓ 1 / 1 Source

67. Details/number of hotspots/fires in FMUs controlled by the company?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

68. Time-bound commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

69. Progress towards reducing GHG emission intensity?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

70. Report GHG emissions from land use change?

✘ 0 / 1 Source

71. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions?

✘ 0 / 1 Source



> Water, chemical and waste management

1 / 12 33 30%

⚠ 7 media reports

72. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)? ✓ 1 / 1 Source

The company states to comply with Indonesian regulations and maintains water standard established by local government: max BOD5 75, and COD 160

73. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)? ✓ 1 / 1 Source

The company states that it always observes water standards established by local government (max BOD5 75, and COD 160)

74. Commitment to protection of natural waterways through buffer zones? ✘ 0 / 1 Source

75. Evidence of treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent? ✘ 0 / 1 Source

76. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment?
This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't own sawmills

77. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity? ✘ 0 / 1 Source

78. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity? ✘ 0 / 1 Source

79. Commitment to eliminate chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching? ✓ 1 / 1 Source

The company states that it does not use chlorine chemical for bleaching

80. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers? ✘ 0 / 1 Source

81. No use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides? ✘ 0 / 1 Source

82. No use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention? ✘ 0 / 1 Source

83. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach? ✓ 1 / 1 Source

84. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used? ✘ 0 / 1 Source



> Community, land and labour rights

 4 media reports

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

85. Commitment to human rights, referencing the UN Declaration on Human Rights or UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?

Human rights policy

86. Commitment to human rights applies to all sourcing?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

87. Commitment to respect indigenous and local communities' rights?

The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which encompasses the rights of Indigenous and Tribal Peoples as established by the ILO Convention 169

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

88. Commitment to respect legal and customary property rights?

The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of traditional rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which encompasses customary rights. This policy does not reference legal rights and no reference to legal rights could be found in company sources

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

89. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?

90. FPIC commitment applies to independent suppliers?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

91. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

92. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts?

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

93. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available?

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

94. Commitment to support the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced?

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

95. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities?

96. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

	✓	1 / 1	Source
97. Commitment to respect all workers' rights?			
	✓	1 / 1	Source
98. Reference to Fundamental ILO Conventions? The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of any of the ILO Core Conventions. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004)			
	✓	1 / 1	Source
99. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment and occupation?			
100. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this			
	✗	0 / 1	Source
101. Percentage or number of temporary employees? 30% - Figure is undated			
	✗	0 / 1	Source
102. Percentage or number of women employees? 20% - Figure is undated			
	P	0.5 / 1	Source
103. Payment of minimum wage? The company states that a minimum wage is paid to all workers, but no evidence provided			
	✗	0 / 1	Source
104. Reporting of salary by gender?			
	P	0.5 / 1	Source
105. Commitment to address occupational health and safety, referencing the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work? The company HSE management policy does not refer to ILO Code of Practice on Safety and health in Forestry Work			
	✗	0 / 1	Source
106. Time lost due to work-based injuries?			
	✗	0 / 1	Source
107. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?			
	✗	0 / 1	Source
108. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training?			



> Certification standards

2 / 7 28.6%

⚠ 6 media reports

	✗	0 / 1	Source
109. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?			

110. Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

X 0 / 1 Source

111. Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?

112. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

X 0 / 1 Source

113. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?

114. Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood requirements?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

X 0 / 2 Source

115. Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified?

116. Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply from outgrower scheme and/or independent suppliers that is FSC FM certified?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

117. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed that are FSC certified (above 75%)?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

✓ 2 / 2 Source

118. Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)?

94,238 (100%) - The company's operating subsidiary has PEFC certification for 94,384 ha.



> **Smallholders and suppliers**

0 / 0 0%

⚠ 2 media reports

119. Programme to support outgrower scheme smallholders?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

120. Percentage of outgrower scheme smallholders involved in programme?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

121. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

122. The company has a Due Diligence System (DDS)?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it does not trade wood/wood fibre

123. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers

124. Percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company requirements?

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it has no suppliers including outgrower scheme or independent suppliers



> **Governance and grievances**

0 5 / 6 8 3%

4 media reports

125. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?

X 0 / 1 [Source](#)

126. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

127. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?

X 0 / 1 [Source](#)

128. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this

129. Whistleblowing procedure?

X 0 / 1 [Source](#)

130. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders?

The company has an "assistance and grievance procedure", but this appears to only cover CSR issues

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

131. Details of grievances disclosed?

X 0 / 2 [Source](#)