

Eldorado Brasil Celulose

Total score:


52.6%

Latest update: July 2020

Next scheduled: July 2021

About this company:	Founded in 2005, Eldorado Brasil Celulose SA is engaged in pulpwood production, trading and distribution with operations in Brazil. It also supplies energy generated from biomass. J&F Investimentos S.A. and CA Investment (Brazil) S.A. are the company's parent entities.
Parent company:	J&F Investimentos S.A. (50.59%), CA Investment (Brazil) S.A. (49.40%)
Landbank	229,592 hectares
Thomson Reuters ticker:	Private company
Bloomberg ticker:	Private company
Activities:	Pulpwood production; Pulp and paper production; Trading and distribution
Locations:	Brazil (Mato Grosso do Sul, Mato Grosso, São Paulo)
Headquarters:	Brazil
Related companies:	Eldorado has been bought by Paper Excellence in 2017, a subsidiary of Sinar Mas who is also the parent of Asia Pulp and Paper (APP).
Parent website:	http://jfinvest.com.br/
Website:	http://www.eldoradobrasil.com.br/ Latest annual report (2019) Sustainability report (2018)

Total:	85.27 / 162	52.6%
---------------	--------------------	--------------

 > Sustainability policy and leadership		
9 / 12	75%	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations?	✓ 1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment applies to all suppliers? The company has a code of conduct which applies to all its suppliers and includes social and governance policies in line with its sustainability policy. However, the policy does not cover environmental issues.	P 0.5 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability? The company has a Director who is responsible for Human Resources, Sustainability and Communication.	✓ 1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. One or more members within the board of the company have responsibility for sustainability?	✗ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. Percentage or number of women in senior management team?	✓ 1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. Percentage or number of women board members?	✓ 1 / 1 Source

<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 7. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production? FSC, IBA.</p>
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 8. Collaboration with stakeholders to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production? Participation in various stakeholder groups (e.g. local WWF Forums, NGOs, IPEF, Forestry Studies and Research Institute).</p>
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 9. Sustainability report published within last two years? 2018.</p>
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 10. Reports through standardised reporting systems?</p>
<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 11. Climate risks assessment available? The company reports conducting research to predicting potential impacts from climate change, however, no assessment/data has been published.</p>
<p>✗ 0 / 1</p> <p>■ 12. Natural capital assessment available?</p>



> Landbank, maps and traceability

0.25 / 20 16.3%

⚠ 2 media reports



<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 13. Lists countries and operations? Brazil (plantations, mill).</p>
<p>✗ 0 / 1</p> <p>■ 14. Lists countries sourcing from?</p>
<p>■ 15. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from plantation forests.</p>
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 16. Total area of forest plantation (ha)? 229,729</p>
<p>■ 17. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't use outgrower schemes for wood/wood fibre production.</p>
<p>✗ 0 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 18. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)?</p>

<p>19. Conservation set-aside area, including HCV area (ha)? 106,520 - The company's FSC surveillance report (last updated in April 2019) suggests that it has at least 106,519.72 ha conservation area. However this report does not cover all of the company's operations.</p>	<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p>
<p>20. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't have any intact forest landscapes within its landbank.</p>	
<p>21. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)?</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>22. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)? The company only provides names of farms and a static map.</p>	<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p>
<p>23. Forest management plans available for all FMUs?</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>24. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation available? The company reports on various monitoring and management activities in its Forest management plan 2019.</p>	<p>P 0.75 / 1 Source</p>
<p>25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use right (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.</p>	
<p>26. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.</p>	
<p>27. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.</p>	
<p>28. Names and locations of all third-party supplying FMUs?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p>29. Number of company owned sawmills? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own sawmills.</p>	
<p>30. Names and locations of company owned sawmills? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own sawmills.</p>	
<p>31. Number of company-owned pulp and paper mills? 1 - The company is currently working on expanding the current mill (Vanguardia 2.0 project), but this is not yet operational.</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p>

<input type="checkbox"/> 32. Names and locations of company-owned pulp and paper mills?	✓ 1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 33. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned sawmills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties?	
This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own sawmills.	
<input type="checkbox"/> 34. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned pulp and paper mills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties?	✗ 0 / 1 Source
1,715 million tonnes of coated cellulose was produced in 2018, but it is not stated whether this was 100% from company-owned operations and/or third parties.	
<input type="checkbox"/> 35. Number of third party supplying mills?	✗ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 36. Names and locations of all third party supplying mills?	✗ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 37. Reports total volume (or percentages) sourced from third-party supplying mills that come from the supplying mills' own operations and/or third parties?	✗ 0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 38. Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest?	P 0.5 / 1 Source
The company makes this commitment through the FSC Chain of Custody Certification Standard (FSC-STD-40-004) and the PEFC International Chain of Custody Standard (PEFC ST 2002:2013). As the requirements of these certifications do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria partial points have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC/PEFC CoC certification.	
<input type="checkbox"/> 39. Percentage of supply traceable to country of harvest?	✗ 0 / 1 Source
The company has CoC certificates covering its wood supply coming from the states of Mato Grosso do Sul and Goiás. However, it is unclear what percentage of all the company's supply that represents.	
<input type="checkbox"/> 40. Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?	✓ 1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 41. Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level?	✗ 0 / 1 Source
The company has CoC certificates covering its wood supply coming from the states of Mato Grosso do Sul and Goiás. However, it is unclear what percentage of all the company's supply that represents.	



> Certification standards

288 / 9 32%



42. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?

✗ 0 / 1

43. Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?

P 0.94 / 1 [Source](#)

44. Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party?

	✘	0 / 1
<p>✘ 0 / 1</p> <p>■ 45. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?</p>		
<p>P 0.94 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 46. Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified?</p>		
<p>✘ 0 / 1</p> <p>■ 47. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?</p>		
<p>✘ 0 / 1</p> <p>■ 48. Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply (tonnes) from all suppliers that comes from FSC FM certified areas?</p>		
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 49. Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood and/or PEFC Controversial Sources requirements?</p>		
<p>✘ 0 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 50. Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)? 351,433.58ha (94% of total landbank) is certified by CERFLOR (PEFC - Brazil) but this is the same area which is certified by FSC FM so points cannot be awarded.</p>		



> Deforestation and biodiversity

17 / 22 77.9%

⚠ 2 media reports



<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 51. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>		
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 52. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>		
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 53. Criteria for defining deforestation? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>		
<p>P 0.75 / 1 Source</p> <p>■ 54. Evidence of monitoring deforestation? The company states that drones are monitoring daily to identify environmental damage and erosion and the data is fed into a system that builds a 3D model of the company's land (incl. conservation areas).</p>		
<p>✘ 0 / 1</p> <p>■ 55. Amount of illegal/non-compliant deforestation recorded?</p>		

<p>■ 56. Amount of illegal//non-compliant deforestation recorded in supplier operations?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1</p>
<p>■ 57. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1</p>
<p>■ 58. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1</p>
<p>■ 59. Implementing a landscape or jurisdictional level approach? [Externally verified] Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification. As the P&C do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria limited, externally verified points have therefore been awarded for this indicator.</p>	<p>P</p>	<p>0.75 / 1 Source</p>
<p>■ 60. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>■ 61. Commitment to biodiversity conservation applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>■ 62. Identified species of conservation concern, referencing international or national system of species classification? The company has disclosed species of conservation concern in its operations referring to the IUCN Red list.</p>	<p>P</p>	<p>0.75 / 1 Source</p>
<p>■ 63. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management? Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certified landbank.</p>	<p>✓ +</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source 0.94 / 1</p>
<p>■ 64. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species?</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>■ 65. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>■ 66. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities?</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>■ 67. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>■ 68. Evidence of protecting forest areas from illegal activities? Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certified landbank.</p>	<p>✓ +</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source 0.94 / 1</p>
<p>■ 69. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>
<p>■ 70. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 Source</p>



> HCV, HCS and impact assessments

6.25 / 10 62.5%



✓	1 / 1	Source
<p>71. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>		
✓	1 / 1	Source
<p>72. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>		
P	0.75 / 1	Source
<p>73. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available?</p>		
P	0.75 / 1	Source
<p>74. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available?</p>		
✗	0 / 1	
<p>75. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach?</p>		
✗	0 / 1	
<p>76. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach applies to all suppliers?</p>		
✗	0 / 1	
<p>77. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available?</p>		
✓	1 / 1	Source
<p>78. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)?</p>		
✓	1 / 1	Source
<p>79. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) applies to all suppliers?</p>		
P	0.75 / 1	Source
<p>80. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available, and associated management and monitoring plans?</p>		
<p>81. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.</p>		



> Soils, fire and GHGs

6.44 / 19 33.9%



82. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?

	✗	0 / 1
■ 83. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
■ 84. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)?	✗	0 / 1
■ 85. Implementation of commitment to no planting on peat of any depth? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't have landbank on peat. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this.		
■ 86. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat?	✗	0 / 1 Source
■ 87. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
■ 88. Evidence of best management practices for soils and peat?	✓ +	1 / 1 Source 0.94 / 1
■ 89. Commitment to reduced impact logging? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from plantation forests.		
■ 90. Commitment to reduced impact logging applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
■ 91. Evidence of implementing reduced impact logging practices? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from plantation forests.		
■ 92. Commitment to zero burning?	✓	1 / 1 Source
■ 93. Commitment to zero burning applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
■ 94. Evidence of fire monitoring and management?	P	0.75 / 2 Source
Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC certification. As the P&Cs do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria limited, externally verified points have therefore been awarded for this indicator.		
■ 95. Details/number of hotspots/fires in company FMUs?	✗	0 / 1
■ 96. Details/number of hotspots/fires in suppliers operations?	✗	0 / 1
■ 97. Time-bound commitment to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions intensity?	✗	0 / 1 Source
■ 98. GHG emissions intensity?		

The company reports GHG intensity as 0.14 T CO2 eq/ADT in 2018.	✓	1 / 1	Source
99. GHG emissions from land use change?	✗	0 / 1	
100. Progress towards commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity? The company reports progress towards reducing GHG intensity over a period of three years: 2016 (0.22 T CO2 eq/ADT), 2017 (0.16 T CO2 eq/ADT) and 2018 (0.14 T CO2 eq/ADT).	P	0.75 / 1	Source
101. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions? Brazilian GHG Protocol.	✓	1 / 1	Source



> Water, chemical and waste management

10.38 / 22 47.2%

⚠ 1 media report



102. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity?	✗	0 / 1	
103. Water use intensity?	✓	1 / 1	Source
104. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity? The company reports reduction in the amount of water consumption intensity (m3/1000 ADT) in 2018 as compared to 2017 and 2016.	P	0.75 / 1	Source
105. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)?	✗	0 / 1	Source
106. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)? The company has no commitment in place but reports that it reduced BOD by 97.4% in 2017.	P	0.5 / 1	Source
107. Treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent? The company has provided details of its effluent treatment process.	P	0.75 / 1	Source
108. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own sawmills.			
109. Proportion of processing facilities with closed-loop water treatment system?	✗	0 / 1	
110. Commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?	✓	1 / 1	Source

	P	0.75 / 1	Source
<p>111. Implementation of commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones? [Externally verified] Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification. As the P&C do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria limited, externally verified points have therefore been awarded for this indicator.</p>			
	X	0 / 1	Source
<p>112. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?</p>			
	X	0 / 1	
<p>113. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers, applies to all suppliers?</p>			
	X	0 / 1	
<p>114. Evidence of eliminating chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching?</p>			
	X	0 / 1	
<p>115. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?</p>			
	X	0 / 1	
<p>116. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides applies to all suppliers?</p>			
	X	0 / 1	
<p>117. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?</p>			
	X	0 / 1	
<p>118. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention applies to all suppliers?</p>			
	✓	1 / 1	Source
<p>119. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?</p>			
	✓	1 / 1	Source
<p>120. Implementation of commitment to reduce chemical usage? Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certified landbank.</p>	+	0.94 / 1	
	✓	1 / 1	Source
<p>121. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach? Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certified landbank.</p>	+	0.94 / 1	
	P	0.75 / 1	Source
<p>122. Waste management system in place to avoid negative impacts? [Externally verified] Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification. As the P&C do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria limited, externally verified points have therefore been awarded for this indicator.</p>			



> Community, land and labour rights

18 19 / 33 55 10%

⚠ 1 media report



<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>123. Commitment to human rights? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>124. Commitment to human rights applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	
<p>✗ 0 / 1</p> <p>125. Progress on human rights commitment ?</p>	
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>126. Commitment to respect Indigenous and local communities' rights? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>127. Commitment to Indigenous and local communities' rights applies to all suppliers? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	
<p>P 0.5 / 1 Source</p> <p>128. Commitment to respect legal and customary land tenure rights? The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). As the Policy for Association's requirements do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria partial points have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership.</p>	
<p>✓ 1 / 1 Source</p> <p>129. Commitment to legal and customary land rights applies to all suppliers? The company has both FSC and PEFC CoC certifications. Full points have therefore been awarded.</p>	
<p>✗ 0 / 1</p> <p>130. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?</p>	
<p>✗ 0 / 1</p> <p>131. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) applies to all suppliers?</p>	
<p>✗ 0 / 1</p> <p>132. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?</p>	
<p>P 0.75 / 1 Source</p> <p>133. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts? [Externally verified] The company provides different examples of engaging with stakeholders to prevent conflicts. Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification. As the P&C do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria limited, externally verified points have therefore been awarded for this indicator.</p>	
<p>✗ 0 / 1</p> <p>134. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available?</p>	
<p>✗ 0 / 1</p> <p>135. Supports the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced?</p>	
<p>136. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</p>	

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.

■ **137. Commitment to enable sustainable use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) by local communities?**

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from plantation forests.

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **138. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities ?**

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)
+ 0.94 / 1

■ **139. Progress on commitment to provide essential community services and facilities?**

Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certified landbank.

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **140. Commitment to provide business/work opportunities for local communities?**

■ **141. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?**

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **142. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles?**

The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004) and the PEFC International Chain of Custody Standard (PEFC ST 2002:2013). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership and PEFC CoC certification.

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **143. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles applies to all suppliers?**

The company makes this commitment through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004) and the PEFC International Chain of Custody Standard (PEFC ST 2002:2013). Full points have therefore been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification/FSC membership and PEFC CoC certification.

P 0.75 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **144. Progress on commitment to respect all workers' rights?**

[Externally verified] Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification. As the P&C do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria limited, externally verified points have therefore been awarded for this indicator.

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **145. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?**

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **146. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment applies to all suppliers?**

✗ 0 / 1

■ **147. Progress on commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?**

■ **148. Percentage or number of temporary employees?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't have temporary employees.

<input type="checkbox"/> 149. Percentage or number of women employees?	✓	1 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 150. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 151. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 152. Progress on commitment to pay at least minimum wage? The company has provided evidence of providing minimum wages to its employees in 2016.	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 153. Reporting of salary by gender?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 154. Commitment to address occupational health and safety? The company commits to address occupational health and safety but no reference to the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work given.	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 155. Commitment to address occupational health and safety applies to all suppliers? The company commits to address occupational health and safety but no reference to the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work given.	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 156. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training? Points for external verification have been awarded on the basis of the company's FSC-certification. As the P&C do not fully meet the SPOTT indicator criteria limited, externally verified points have therefore been awarded for this indicator.	P	0.75 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 157. Time lost due to work-based injuries?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 158. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?	✗	0 / 1	



> Smallholders and suppliers

1.75 / 8 21.9%

<input type="checkbox"/> 159. Commitment to support smallholders?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 160. Programme to support outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders?	P	0.75 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 161. Percentage of outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders involved in programme?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 162. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?	P	0.5 / 1	Source

All the suppliers must commit to abiding by the Code of Ethics and sign a term of commitment containing clauses that prohibit child and forced labor, also includes specific clauses related to unacceptable conduct as set forth in Brazil's anti-corruption law. However, limited details are provided.

✘ 0 / 1

■ **163. Number or percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?**

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **164. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?**

The company reports that all the suppliers contracted in 2018 were screened to verify the observation of human rights, labour rights and compliance with environmental legislation. However, no information found regarding timeframes for action.

✘ 0 / 1

■ **165. Timebound action plans (including Key Performance Indicators) for suppliers to be in compliance with timber and pulp sourcing commitments?**

✘ 0 / 1

■ **166. Proportion of direct and indirect supply that comes from FMUs which are compliant with timber and pulp sourcing policies?**



> Governance and grievances

4 / 7 57.1%

⚠ 7 media reports



✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **167. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?**

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **168. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption applies to all suppliers?**

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

■ **169. Progress on commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?**

The company in 2018 undertook periodic compliance-related communications underscoring important information on anti-corruption measures by means of posters, webcards and totems to publicise its communication channels. However, only limited details are provided.

■ **170. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?**

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.

✘ 0 / 1

■ **171. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?**

■ **172. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?**

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't operate in a geography currently covered by Open Timber Portal.

■ **173. Whistleblowing procedure?**

	P 0.5 / 1 Source
The company has an external Ombudsman channel which allows for anonymity. However, no clear description of the steps taken could be identified.	
174. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders? The company has a phone line open to all stakeholders.	✓ 1 / 1 Source
175. Details of complaints and grievances disclosed? The company reports number of complaints filed, addressed and resolved but no other specific detail found.	✗ 0 / 1 Source

Media monitor: Eldorado Brasil Celulose

SPOTT monitors global media sources for coverage of assessed companies. The media monitor gathers reports about specific activities related to the assessment indicator categories. ZSL does not assess or score the validity of media coverage, but users can explore the media monitor to provide context on implementation, and infer risks associated with reported operations on the ground.

Last media reports:

[Timber and pulp media reports \(12\)](#)



September 2020

Two sides of the same coin: How the pulp and paper industry is profiting from deforestation in the Amazon rainforest

[Visit external site](#)



Show media reports

By category By year

All media reports



0



2