

Cameroon United Forests

Total score:

8.1%

Latest update: July 2020

Next scheduled: July 2021

About this company:	Founded in 1991, Cameroon United Forests (CUF) is headquartered and operates in Cameroon. The company is engaged in timber production, processing and manufacturing, and trading and distribution.
Landbank	352,534 hectares
Thomson Reuters ticker:	Private company
Bloomberg ticker:	Private company
Activities:	Timber production; Timber processing and manufacturing; Trading and distribution
Locations:	Cameroon (South Cameroon)
Headquarters:	Cameroon
Website:	https://www.cufcm.co/

Total:	12.88 / 160	8.1%
---------------	--------------------	-------------

> Sustainability policy and leadership

1.75 / 10 17.5%

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations? The company has environmental and social policies covering multiple dimensions of sustainability across the whole of its operations.	✓	1 / 1	Source
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. One or more members within the board of the company have responsibility for sustainability? This indicator is disabled as the company is private.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. Percentage or number of women in senior management team?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. Percentage or number of women board members? This indicator is disabled as the company is private.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production? [Externally verified] The company is a member of GFBC.	P	0.75 / 1	Source

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8. Collaboration with stakeholders to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 9. Sustainability report published within last two years?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 10. Reports through standardised reporting systems?	X	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11. Climate risks assessment available?	X	0 / 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 12. Natural capital assessment available?	X	0 / 1



> Landbank, maps and traceability

4.63 / 22 21%



<input type="checkbox"/> 13. Lists countries and operations? The company operates only in Cameroon.	✓	1 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 14. Lists countries sourcing from?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 15. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)? 352,534 - The company reports it has 352,534 hectares of concessions, however this source is not dated and therefore points cannot be awarded.	X	0 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 16. Total area of forest plantation (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 17. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't use outgrower schemes for wood/wood fibre production.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 18. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 19. Conservation set-aside area, including HCV area (ha)?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 20. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company's reporting broadly suggests that it doesn't have any intact forest landscapes within its landbank. Please note that ZSL has been unable to confirm this.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 21. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)?	P	0.5 / 1 Source

7 - The company states it operates seven FMUs - five of its own and two in partnership with other companies. GFBC reports the two companies in question are Fanga and CAMTRANS. However, OTP reports the company directly operates six FMUs. Due to the contradiction in sources only partial points can be awarded.

<p>22. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)?</p>	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<p>The company has a static map on its website but it is over five years old and therefore does not qualify for points. Maps from OTP show that the company directly operates six FMUs and CAMTRANS (its partner) operates one, however, no data is available for the FMU (09 006) which GFBC reports the company operates in partnership with Fanga. Partial points have been awarded as not all of the company's FMUs are mapped.</p>			
<p>23. Forest management plans available for all FMUs?</p>	✗	0 / 1	
<p>24. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation available?</p>	✗	0 / 1	
<p>25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use right (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</p>	✗	0 / 1	
<p>An average score was calculated from the company and CAMTRANS documents on OTP. The company and CAMTRANS operate an FMU in partnership. Neither company have uploaded use right documents to OTP. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operator.</p>			
<p>26. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</p>	P	0.63 / 1	Source
<p>An average score was calculated from the company and CAMTRANS documents on OTP. The company and CAMTRANS operate an FMU in partnership. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link.</p>			
<p>27. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</p>	✗	0 / 1	
<p>An average score was calculated from the company and CAMTRANS documents on OTP. The company and CAMTRANS operate an FMU in partnership. Neither company have uploaded timber harvesting documents to OTP. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators.</p>			
<p>28. Names and locations of all third-party supplying FMUs?</p>	✗	0 / 1	Source
<p>The company states it has relationships with over 120 companies in local and international markets but does not state the nature of these relationships.</p>			
<p>29. Number of company owned sawmills?</p>	✓	1 / 1	Source
<p>1 - The company owns one sawmill.</p>			
<p>30. Names and locations of company owned sawmills?</p>	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<p>The sawmill is located in Ebolowa, however no coordinates are available so full points cannot be awarded.</p>			
<p>31. Number of company-owned pulp and paper mills?</p>			
<p>This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.</p>			
<p>32. Names and locations of company-owned pulp and paper mills?</p>			
<p>This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.</p>			

	✘ 0 / 1 Source
<p>33. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned sawmills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties?</p> <p>The company states that an average of 10,800 m3 of logs from its own operations are processed in its sawmill every month, however it does not state what percentage or volume this represents of the sawmill's average monthly processing. The evidence source is also undated, therefore no points can be awarded.</p>	
<p>34. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned pulp and paper mills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties?</p> <p>This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.</p>	
<p>35. Number of third party supplying mills?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>36. Names and locations of all third party supplying mills?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>37. Reports total volume (or percentages) sourced from third-party supplying mills that come from the supplying mills' own operations and/or third parties?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>38. Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>39. Percentage of supply traceable to country of harvest?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>40. Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?</p> <p>The company has OLB CoC certification for its sawmill which covers traceability for the certified portion of all sourcing. Five of the company's FMUs have OLB logging enterprise certification, however this does not represent all of the FMU's operated by the company.</p>	P 0.5 / 1 Source
<p>41. Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level?</p> <p>The company has some CoC certification which counts as the procedure for the certified portion of all raw materials the company sources. However, the percentage of certified sourcing cannot be calculated.</p>	✘ 0 / 1 Source



> Certification standards

0.5 / 9 5.6%



	P 0.5 / 1 Source
<p>42. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?</p> <p>The company commits to certify all activities under the OLB legality verification but the commitment is not time-bound.</p>	
<p>43. Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?</p> <p>The company has OLB certificates for five FMUs totalling 250,955.8 ha. However, the total area of land under the company's ownership cannot be determined because of undated information on its website. Therefore, a percentage cannot be accurately calculated and no points can be awarded.</p>	✘ 0 / 1 Source

	✘ 0 / 1 Source
<p>■ 44. Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party? The company aims to implement a purchasing policy that accounts for legal compliance, however the statement is not time-bound nor does it aim to be verified by a third party. The company states it will implement a purchasing policy integrating high environmental criteria and requirements and if necessary, only source wood from legal and known sources.</p>	
<p>■ 45. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 46. Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 47. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 48. Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply (tonnes) from all suppliers that comes from FSC FM certified areas?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 49. Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood and/or PEFC Controversial Sources requirements?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 50. Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)?</p>	✘ 0 / 1



> Deforestation and biodiversity

0 / 21 0%

1 [media report](#)

<p>■ 51. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 52. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems applies to all suppliers?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 53. Criteria for defining deforestation?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 54. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 55. Amount of illegal/non-compliant deforestation recorded?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 56. Amount of illegal//non-compliant deforestation recorded in supplier operations?</p>	✘ 0 / 1
<p>■ 57. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion?</p>	

	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 58. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 59. Implementing a landscape or jurisdictional level approach?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 60. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 61. Commitment to biodiversity conservation applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 62. Identified species of conservation concern, referencing international or national system of species classification? The company states that it commits to protect vulnerable species through the use of the HCV approach, but no species are identified and no mention is made of a system of species classification.	X	0 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 63. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management?	X	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 64. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 65. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 66. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 67. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 68. Evidence of protecting forest areas from illegal activities?	X	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 69. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 70. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1



> HCV, HCS and impact assessments

0 5 / 9 5.6%



71. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments?

The company commits to protect areas of high conservation value but does not make a commitment to conduct HCV assessments.

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 72. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 73. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available?		
	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 74. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available?		
<input type="checkbox"/> 75. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 76. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach applies to all suppliers?		
<input type="checkbox"/> 77. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 78. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)?		
	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 79. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 80. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available, and associated management and monitoring plans?		
	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 81. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? An average score was calculated from the company and CAMTRANS documents on OTP. The company and CAMTRANS operate an FMU in partnership. Neither company have uploaded impact assessments to OTP. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators .		



> Soils, fire and GHGs

1 / 20 5%

<input type="checkbox"/> 82. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 83. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 84. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)?		
<input type="checkbox"/> 85. Implementation of commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?		

	✘	0 / 1
■ 86. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat?	✘	0 / 1
■ 87. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 88. Evidence of best management practices for soils and peat?	✘	0 / 2
■ 89. Commitment to reduced impact logging?	✓	1 / 1 Source
■ 90. Commitment to reduced impact logging applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 91. Evidence of implementing reduced impact logging practices? The company gives multiple examples of reduced impact logging practices, however none are dated and therefore points cannot be awarded.	✘	0 / 2 Source
■ 92. Commitment to zero burning? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
■ 93. Commitment to zero burning applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
■ 94. Evidence of fire monitoring and management?	✘	0 / 2
■ 95. Details/number of hotspots/fires in company FMUs?	✘	0 / 1
■ 96. Details/number of hotspots/fires in suppliers operations?	✘	0 / 1
■ 97. Time-bound commitment to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions intensity?	✘	0 / 1
■ 98. GHG emissions intensity?	✘	0 / 1
■ 99. GHG emissions from land use change? This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests.		
■ 100. Progress towards commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity?	✘	0 / 1
■ 101. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions?	✘	0 / 1



> Water, chemical and waste management

0.5 / 15 3.3%

102. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

103. Water use intensity?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

104. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

105. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

106. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

107. Treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

108. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment?

✘ 0 / 1

109. Proportion of processing facilities with closed-loop water treatment system?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

110. Commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?

✘ 0 / 1

111. Implementation of commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?

✘ 0 / 1

112. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?

The company commits to reducing pollutants but does not specify chemical fertilisers or pesticides.

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

113. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers, applies to all suppliers?

✘ 0 / 1

114. Evidence of eliminating chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching?

This indicator is disabled as the company reports that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills.

<input type="checkbox"/> 115. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 116. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 117. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 118. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 119. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 120. Implementation of commitment to reduce chemical usage?	✘	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 121. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach?	✘	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 122. Waste management system in place to avoid negative impacts? The company mentions reducing the impact of waste and pollutants on the environment but does not specify the system in place.	✘	0 / 1 Source



> Community, land and labour rights

3 / 37 8 1%

2 media reports



<input type="checkbox"/> 123. Commitment to human rights?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 124. Commitment to human rights applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 125. Progress on human rights commitment ?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 126. Commitment to respect Indigenous and local communities' rights?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 127. Commitment to Indigenous and local communities' rights applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 128. Commitment to respect legal and customary land tenure rights?	✘	0 / 1

<p>129. Commitment to legal and customary land rights applies to all suppliers?</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>130. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>131. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) applies to all suppliers?</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>132. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>133. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts? The company holds meetings with local communities where people can raise their concerns.</p>	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<p>134. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available?</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>135. Supports the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced?</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>136. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? An average score was calculated from the company and CAMTRANS documents on OTP. The company and CAMTRANS operate an FMU in partnership. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators.</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>137. Commitment to enable sustainable use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) by local communities?</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>138. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities ? The company makes a commitment to provide essential services for its employees but not to local communities.</p>	X	0 / 1 Source
<p>139. Progress on commitment to provide essential community services and facilities? The company has assisted building roads, constructing a watchtower and provided sports activities for local communities. It has also set up a programme to fight HIV/AIDs, tuberculosis and malaria. However all examples are between two and five years old or undated, and therefore full points cannot be awarded.</p>	P	0.5 / 2
<p>140. Commitment to provide business/work opportunities for local communities? The company states that it favours hiring people from the operations area, however this is not clear enough to award full points for this indicator.</p>	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<p>141. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? An average score was calculated from the company and CAMTRANS documents on OTP. The company and CAMTRANS operate an FMU in partnership. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators.</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>142. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles?</p>	X	0 / 1
<p>143. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles applies to all</p>		

suppliers?	X	0 / 1
■ 144. Progress on commitment to respect all workers' rights?	X	0 / 1
■ 145. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?	X	0 / 1
■ 146. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
■ 147. Progress on commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?	X	0 / 1
■ 148. Percentage or number of temporary employees?	X	0 / 1
■ 149. Percentage or number of women employees? 25 - The company states it has 25 women employees, however the source is dated between two and five years old, therefore full points cannot be awarded.	P	0.5 / 1 Source
■ 150. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage?	X	0 / 1
■ 151. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
■ 152. Progress on commitment to pay at least minimum wage?	X	0 / 1
■ 153. Reporting of salary by gender?	X	0 / 1
■ 154. Commitment to address occupational health and safety? The company makes a commitment but does not make reference to the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work.	P	0.5 / 1 Source
■ 155. Commitment to address occupational health and safety applies to all suppliers?	X	0 / 1
■ 156. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training? The company provides PPE to all workers and a committee is in place to enforce these standards. Job specific training is given to employees, however no examples of the training provided could be found. Therefore, full points cannot be awarded.	P	0.5 / 1 Source
■ 157. Time lost due to work-based injuries?	X	0 / 1
■ 158. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?	X	0 / 1



> Smallholders and suppliers

0 5 / 8 6 3%

<input type="checkbox"/> 159. Commitment to support smallholders?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 160. Programme to support outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 161. Percentage of outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders involved in programme?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 162. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements? The company's environmental charter refers to measures to ensure that subcontractors and partners respect the company's environmental values, to the implementation of a procurement policy with high standards (including wood of legal and known origin). This document also refers to outreach efforts to ensure that stakeholders are aware of environmental management. However, the method used to engage stakeholders to ensure compliance is not reported.	P	0.5 / 1 Source
<input type="checkbox"/> 163. Number or percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 164. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 165. Timebound action plans (including Key Performance Indicators) for suppliers to be in compliance with timber and pulp sourcing commitments?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 166. Proportion of direct and indirect supply that comes from FMUs which are compliant with timber and pulp sourcing policies?	✗	0 / 1



> Governance and grievances

0 5 / 9 5 6%

1 media report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 167. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 168. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 169. Progress on commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 170. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the		

time of SPOTT assessments)?

An average score was calculated from the company and CAMTRANS documents on OTP. The company and CAMTRANS operate an FMU in partnership. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link.

X 0 / 1

171. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?

X 0 / 1

172. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

An average score was calculated from the company and CAMTRANS documents on OTP. The company and CAMTRANS operate an FMU in partnership. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: <http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators>.

X 0 / 1

173. Whistleblowing procedure?

X 0 / 1

174. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders?

X 0 / 1

175. Details of complaints and grievances disclosed?
Media monitor: Cameroon United Forests

SPOTT monitors global media sources for coverage of assessed companies. The media monitor gathers reports about specific activities related to the assessment indicator categories. ZSL does not assess or score the validity of media coverage, but users can explore the media monitor to provide context on implementation, and infer risks associated with reported operations on the ground.

Last media reports:
Timber and pulp media reports (2)


April 2019

Ebolowa : le torchon brûle entre les populations et la Cameroon United Forest (Ebolowa: the torch burns between the people and Cameroon United Forest)

[Visit external site](#)


Show media reports

By category By year

All media reports


0



0



