

# Alpi

Timber and pulp assessment

Total score:

14.6%

Latest update: July 2019

Next scheduled: July 2020

<b>About this company:</b>	Headquartered in Italy and founded in 1919, Alpi owns forestry operations in Italy, Ivory Coast and Cameroon. Alpi is engaged in timber production, timber processing and manufacturing.
<b>Landbank</b>	500,000 hectares
<b>Thomson Reuters ticker:</b>	Private company
<b>Bloomberg ticker:</b>	Private company
<b>Activities:</b>	Timber production, timber processing and manufacturing, trading and distribution
<b>Locations:</b>	Italy, Cote d'Ivoire, Cameroon
<b>Headquarters:</b>	Italy
<b>Website:</b>	<a href="http://www.alpiwood.com">http://www.alpiwood.com</a>

Total:

16.5 / 113

14.6%



## > Sustainability policy and leadership

1 / 9 11.1%

<b>1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations?</b>	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>2. Sustainability policy or commitment applies to all sourcing?</b>	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability?</b>	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>4. One or more board members have responsibility for sustainability?</b> This indicator is disabled as the company is not publicly listed			
<b>5. Percentage or number of women board members?</b> This indicator is disabled as the company is not publicly listed			
<b>6. Percentage or number of women in senior management team?</b>	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>7. Sustainability report published within last two years?</b>	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>8. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to improve forest management or transparency?</b> The company is a member of ATIBT and the Congo Basin Forest Partnership, and subsidiaries Grumcam/Alpicam are members of WWF-GFTN and GFBC	✓	1 / 1	Source
<b>9. Activities with government, NGOs or academic institutions to improve the sustainability of forest</b>			

products?	X	0 / 1	Source
10. Climate risks assessment available?	X	0 / 1	Source
11. Natural capital assessment available?	X	0 / 1	Source



> Landbank, mills and traceability

5.5 / 20	27.5%		
12. Lists countries and operations? The company reports it has production facilities in Italy and a floating processing plant in Ivory Coast, but also refers to forestry companies and processing operations in Africa without specifying which countries it operates in	P	0.5 / 1	Source
13. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)? 500,000 - Data as of 2016	P	0.5 / 1	Source
14. Total area of forest plantation (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests			
15. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't use outgrower schemes for wood/wood fibre production			
16. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests			
17. Conservation set-aside and/or HCV area (ha)?	X	0 / 1	Source
18. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)? This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't have any intact forest landscapes within its landbank			
19. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)? Global Forest Watch lists nine natural forest concessions for the company, but it is unclear whether this covers all the company's FMUs	P	0.5 / 1	Source
20. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)? Global Forest Watch lists nine natural forest concessions for the company, but it is unclear whether this covers all the company's operations	P	0.5 / 1	Source
21. Forest management plans available for all FMUs? Only some Forest Management Plans are available on the Open Timber Portal	P	0.5 / 1	Source
22. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation?			

	✘	0 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<p style="text-align: right;">✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>23. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</b>  62.5% - Average score of ALPICAM and GRUMCAM in this OTP category.  Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>24. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</b>  100% - Average score of ALPICAM and GRUMCAM in this OTP category.  Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</b>  100% - Average score of ALPICAM and GRUMCAM in this OTP category.  Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>			
<p><b>26. Number of company owned pulp and paper mills?</b>  This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills</p>			
<p><b>27. Maps or addresses of company owned pulp and paper mills?</b>  This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills</p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✘ 0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>28. Number of company owned sawmills?</b>  The company states it has two sawmills however this information is undated therefore no points can be awarded</p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✘ 0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>29. Maps or addresses of company owned sawmills?</b></p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✘ 0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>30. Number of supplier mills?</b></p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✘ 0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>31. Maps or addresses of supplier mills?</b></p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✘ 0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>32. Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest?</b></p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✘ 0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>33. Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?</b></p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✘ 0 / 2 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>34. Percentage of supply traceable to country level (above 80%)?</b></p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">✘ 0 / 2 <a href="#">Source</a></p> <p><b>35. Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level (above 75%)?</b></p>			



<span style="color: white;">&gt; Deforestation and biodiversity</span>		
<span style="color: white;">0 / 11 0%</span>		
36. Commitment to zero conversion of natural forest?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
37. Zero conversion commitment applies to all sourcing?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
38. Commitment to minimise the impact of logging roads?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
39. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
40. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
41. Amount of deforestation recorded?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
42. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
43. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
44. Implementing a landscape-level approach to biodiversity conservation?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
45. Identified species of conservation concern referencing international or national system of species classification?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
46. Commitment to sustainably manage the use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs)?	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
47. Commitment not to use genetically modified organisms?		
This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests		



<span style="color: white;">&gt; HCV, HCS and impact assessments</span>		
<span style="color: white;">2 / 7 28.6%</span>		
48. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments?	P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
The company does not have a direct commitment to conduct HCV assessments across all of its operations. However, the company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the destruction of high conservation values in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). For this half points are awarded		
49. HCV commitment applies to all sourcing?	P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the destruction of high conservation values in		

forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004). For this half points are awarded

**50. Commitment to only use licensed High Conservation Value (HCV) assessors accredited by the HCV Resource Network's Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS)?**

This indicator is disabled until sufficient number of HCV ALS licensed assessors are available for the location where the company operates

**51. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available?**

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**52. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available?**

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**53. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests

**54. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests

**55. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)?**

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**56. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available?**

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**57. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?**

100% - Average score of ALPICAM and GRUMCAM in this OTP category.

Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)



> **Soils, fire and GHGs**

0 / 8 0%

**58. Commitment to best management practices for soils and/or peat?**

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**59. Commitment to reduced impact logging?**

✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**60. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests

**61. Commitment on peatland planting applies to all sourcing?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests

**62. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't have landbank on peat

	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>63. Evidence of best management practices for soils and/or peat?</b>			
<b>64. Commitment to zero burning?</b> This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests			
<b>65. Commitment to zero burning applies to all sourcing?</b> This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>66. Evidence of management and monitoring fires?</b>			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>67. Details/number of hotspots/fires in FMUs controlled by the company?</b>			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>68. Time-bound commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity?</b>			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>69. Progress towards reducing GHG emission intensity?</b>			
<b>70. Report GHG emissions from land use change?</b> This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it only produces wood/wood fibre from natural forests			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>71. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions?</b>			



> Water, chemical and waste management

	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>72. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)?</b>			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>73. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)?</b>			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>74. Commitment to protection of natural waterways through buffer zones?</b>			
<b>75. Evidence of treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent?</b> This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills			
	✘	0 / 1	Source
<b>76. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment?</b>			
<b>77. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity?</b> This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills			

**78. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills

**79. Commitment to eliminate chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't own pulp or paper mills

**80. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?**

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**81. No use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?**

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**82. No use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?**

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**83. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach?**

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**84. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?**

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)



## > Community, land and labour rights

6 / 23 26.1%

⚠ 1 media report

**85. Commitment to human rights, referencing the UN Declaration on Human Rights or UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?**

The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which defines human rights as those established through the UN Declaration of Human Rights

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

**86. Commitment to human rights applies to all sourcing?**

The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which defines human rights as those established through the UN Declaration of Human Rights

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

**87. Commitment to respect indigenous and local communities' rights?**

The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of human rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which encompasses the rights of Indigenous and Tribal Peoples as established by the ILO Convention 169

✓ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

**88. Commitment to respect legal and customary property rights?**

The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of traditional rights in forestry operations. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004), which encompasses customary rights. This policy does not reference legal rights and no reference to legal rights could be found in company sources

P 0.5 / 1 [Source](#)

**89. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?**

✗ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

<p><b>90. FPIC commitment applies to independent suppliers?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>91. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>92. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>93. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>94. Commitment to support the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>95. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>96. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</b>  37.5% - Average score of ALPICAM and GRUMCAM in this OTP category.  Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>	<p>P</p>	<p>0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>97. Commitment to respect all workers' rights?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>98. Reference to Fundamental ILO Conventions?</b>  The company has committed to not be directly or indirectly involved in the violation of any of the ILO Core Conventions. This commitment is made through the FSC Policy for Association (FSC-POL-01-004)</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>99. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment and occupation?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>100. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</b>  60% - Average score of ALPICAM and GRUMCAM in this OTP category.  Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>101. Percentage or number of temporary employees?</b>  This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't have temporary employees</p>		
<p><b>102. Percentage or number of women employees?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>103. Payment of minimum wage?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><b>104. Reporting of salary by gender?</b></p>	<p>✘</p>	<p>0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>



105. Commitment to address occupational health and safety, referencing the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work?	✘	0 / 1	Source
106. Time lost due to work-based injuries?	✘	0 / 1	Source
107. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?	✘	0 / 1	Source
108. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training?	✘	0 / 1	Source



### > Certification standards

0 / 14	0%		
109. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?	✘	0 / 1	Source
110. Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party?	✘	0 / 1	Source
111. Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party? 414,734 - The company has OLB certification, but the percentage of total landbank that this refers to cannot be accurately calculated using available figures	✘	0 / 1	Source
112. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?	✘	0 / 1	Source
113. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?	✘	0 / 1	Source
114. Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood requirements?	✘	0 / 1	Source
115. Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified?	✘	0 / 2	Source
116. Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply from outgrower scheme and/or independent suppliers that is FSC FM certified?	✘	0 / 2	Source
117. Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed that are FSC certified (above 75%)?	✘	0 / 2	Source
118. Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)?	✘	0 / 2	Source



### > Smallholders and suppliers

0 / 4 0%

**119. Programme to support outgrower scheme smallholders?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't use outgrower schemes for wood/wood fibre production

**120. Percentage of outgrower scheme smallholders involved in programme?**

This indicator is disabled as the company has informed ZSL that it doesn't use outgrower schemes for wood/wood fibre production

**121. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?** ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**122. The company has a Due Diligence System (DDS)?** ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**123. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?** ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**124. Percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company requirements?** ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)



> **Governance and grievances**

2 / 8 25%

**125. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?** ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**126. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?** ✔ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

100% - Average score of ALPICAM and GRUMCAM in this OTP category.  
Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link

**127. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?** ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**128. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?** ✔ 1 / 1 [Source](#)

100% - Average score of ALPICAM and GRUMCAM in this OTP category.  
Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance by clicking on the source link

**129. Whistleblowing procedure?** ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**130. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders?** ✘ 0 / 1 [Source](#)

**131. Details of grievances disclosed?** ✘ 0 / 2 [Source](#)

