

# Kencana Agri Ltd

Palm oil  
assessment

Total score:

29.6%

Latest update: October 2019

Next scheduled: November 2020

## About this company:

Singapore based producer Kencana Agri was established in 1996 and is owned by Kencana Holdings. The company has oil palm operations in Indonesia and is primarily involved in oil palm cultivation, processing, and kernel crushing. Kencana Agri operates a bulking terminal and logistics services for storage and transportation, and also operates a renewable biomass power plant to generate electricity from waste produced from the crude palm oil process.

Parent company:	Kencana Holdings Pte Ltd
Landbank (oil palm)	186,713 hectares
Palm cultivation revenue:	100%
Market cap:	20,646,770 USD
Thomson Reuters ticker:	KCAL.SI
Bloomberg ticker:	KAGR SP
ISIN:	SG1CE4000000
RSPO member?	No. Subsidiary PT Sawindo Kencana joined the RSPO in 2015, but resigned as a member in May 2018.
Other initiatives:	Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO), International Sustainability and Carbon Certification (ISCC)
Activities:	oil palm cultivation, milling, palm kernel crushing
Locations:	Indonesia (East Kalimantan, South Kalimantan, Gorontalo, Central Sulawesi, Belitung and Bangka Islands)
Headquarters:	Singapore
Related companies:	<a href="#">Wilmar International</a> has a 20% stake in Kencana Agri. Kencana Agri has a refinery that is a joint venture with <a href="#">Louis Dreyfus Company</a> .
Website:	<a href="http://kencanaagri.com/">http://kencanaagri.com/</a> <a href="#">Latest annual report (2017)</a>   <a href="#">Sustainability report (2015)</a>

Total:	58 / 196	29.6%
--------	----------	-------



## > Sustainability policy and leadership

6.5 / 11 59.1%

1 media report



<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. Sustainable palm oil policy or commitment for all its operations?	✓	1 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. Sustainable palm oil policy or commitment applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability?	✓	1 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>

Head of Sustainability.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>4. One or more members within the board of the company have responsibility for sustainability?</b>	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>5. Percentage or number of women in senior management team?</b> 4 (33%) - Figure refers to position of Head/General Manager in 2018.	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>6. Percentage or number of women board members?</b> 1 (17%)	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>7. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with palm oil production?</b>	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>8. Collaboration with stakeholders to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with palm oil production?</b> The company reports it collaborated with a research consortium including NGOs and academic institutions in 2016.	P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9. Sustainability report published within last two years?</b>	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>10. Reports through standardised reporting systems?</b>	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>11. Verification report on compliance with POIG Charter, if a POIG member?</b> This indicator is disabled as the company is not a POIG member.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>12. Climate risks assessment available?</b>	✗	0 / 1



### > Landbank, maps and traceability

6.5 / 26 25%

1 media report

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>13. Total land area managed/controlled for oil palm (ha)?</b> 186,713	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>14. Total oil palm planted area (ha)?</b> 67,612 - This figure includes the plasma area.	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>15. Plasma/scheme smallholders planted area (ha)?</b> 14,311	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>

<p><b>16. Unplanted (areas designated for future planting) (ha)?</b> 119,101 - It is unclear how much of the unplanted area reported by the company is available for future planting and how much of this covers infrastructural areas and areas for conservation.</p>	P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>17. Conservation set-aside area, including HCV area (ha)?</b> 42,600</p>	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>18. Area for infrastructure (ha)?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1
<p><b>19. Maps of estates/management units?</b> One of the company's subsidiaries is listed in GFW datasets.</p>	P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>20. Maps of scheme/plasma smallholders?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1
<p><b>21. Names and locations of all third-party supplying plantations?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1
<p><b>22. Number of company-owned mills?</b> 5 - The company reports owning five mills, with a further one under construction.</p>	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>23. Names and coordinates of company-owned mills?</b> A static map of mills without coordinates or mill names is available in the company's 2018 sustainability report, but it is at too broad a scale to accurately determine locations. Two of the company's subsidiaries are listed in GFW palm oil mills dataset.</p>	P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>24. Number (or percentage) of company-owned mills that source from company-owned plantations and/or third-party plantations?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1
<p><b>25. Total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned mills that come from company-owned plantations and/or third-party plantations?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1
<p><b>26. Number of supplier mills?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1
<p><b>27. Names and coordinates of all third-party supplying mills?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1
<p><b>28. Number (or percentage) of third-party supplier mills that source from their own plantations and/or third-party plantations?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1
<p><b>29. Total volumes (or percentages) sourced from third-party supplier mills that come from their own plantations and/or third-party plantations?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1
<p><b>30. Total volume (or percentage) sourced for refineries that comes from intermediary traders and/or refiners rather than directly from mills?</b></p>		

This indicator is disabled as the company is not a refiner.

<input type="checkbox"/> 31. Time-bound commitment to achieve 100% traceability to mill level?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 32. Percentage of supply traceable to mill level?	✗	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 33. Time-bound commitment to achieve 100% traceability to plantation level?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 34. Percentage of fresh fruit bunches (FFB) from own mills traceable to plantation level?	✗	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 35. Percentage of fresh fruit bunches (FFB) from supplier mills traceable to plantation level?	✗	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 36. Publishes traceability data at refinery level? This indicator is disabled as the company is not a refiner.		
<input type="checkbox"/> 37. Publishes traceability data at crusher level?	✗	0 / 1



## > Deforestation and biodiversity

4.5 / 18 25%

 4 media reports



<input type="checkbox"/> 38. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems?	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/> 39. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 40. Criteria for defining deforestation? HCV, HCS, peat.	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/> 41. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?	✗	0 / 2
<input type="checkbox"/> 42. Amount of illegal/non-compliant deforestation recorded in own operations?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 43. Amount of illegal/non-compliant deforestation recorded in supplier operations?	✗	0 / 1
<input type="checkbox"/> 44. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion?	✗	0 / 1

	✘	0 / 1
■ 45. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 2
■ 46. Implementing a landscape or jurisdictional level approach?		
	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 47. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 48. Commitment to biodiversity conservation applies to all suppliers?		
	P	0.75 / 2 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 49. Identified species of conservation concern, referencing international or national system of species classification? The company reports numbers of species on its estates classified according to IUCN and national systems of classification.		
	P	0.75 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 50. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management? The company reports that it maintains HCV signage, preserves river borders and cultivates local trees within HCV areas.		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 51. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 52. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species applies to all suppliers?		



### > HCV, HCS and impact assessments

3 / 14 21.4%



	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 53. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 54. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 55. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments for planting undertaken prior to January 2015, and associated management and monitoring plans?		
	✘	0 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 56. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments for all estates planted since January 2015? The company has undertaken new planting since January 2015, but no HCV assessments could be found.		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 57. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans for all estates planted since January 2015?		
	P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 58. Commitment to only use licensed High Conservation Value (HCV) assessors accredited by the HCV Resource Network's Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS)? The company only states it uses accredited independent HCV assessors.		

	✘	0 / 1
■ 59. Commitment to only use licensed High Conservation Value (HCV) assessors accredited by the HCV Resource Network's Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS) applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 60. Satisfactory review of all High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments undertaken since January 2015 by the HCV ALS Quality Panel?		
■ 61. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach? The company commits to carrying out HCS assessments but does not specifically refer to the HCS Approach.	P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
	✘	0 / 1
■ 62. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 63. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments?		
	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 64. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 65. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 66. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) undertaken, and associated management and monitoring plans?		



### > Peat, fire and GHG emissions

6.5 / 20 32.5%



	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 67. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 68. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth applies to all suppliers?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 69. Implementation of commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 70. Landbank or planted area on peat?		
	P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 71. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat? The company commits to best management practices for existing plantations on soil, but does not have a commitment for peat.		
	✘	0 / 1
■ 72. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat applies to all suppliers?		

<p><b>73. Evidence of best management practices for soils and peat?</b> The company only details best management practices for soils.</p>	P	0.75 / 2	<a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>74. Commitment to zero burning?</b></p>	✓	1 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>75. Commitment to zero burning applies to all suppliers?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1	
<p><b>76. Evidence of fire monitoring and management?</b> The company reports it conducts satellite monitoring of fires, the use of fireboats and patrol cars in high risk areas, and emergency response and firefighting simulations and training.</p>	P	0.75 / 2	<a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>77. Details/number of hotspots/fires in company estates?</b> The company reports zero fires within its estates in 2018.</p>	✓	1 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>78. Details/number of hotspots/fires within surrounding landscape/smallholders?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1	
<p><b>79. Time-bound commitment to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions intensity?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1	
<p><b>80. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions?</b> ISCC EU System 205 v.3.0 standard.</p>	✓	1 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>81. Progress towards commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1	
<p><b>82. Percentage of mills with methane capture (100%)?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1	
<p><b>83. GHG emissions intensity?</b> The company reports 773.58 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e/dry-ton CPO for activities under subsidiary PT Sawindo Kencana and 833.76 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e/dry-ton CPO for activities under subsidiary PT Alamraya Kencana Mas but this does not cover the full scope of the company's operations.</p>	P	0.5 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>84. GHG emissions from land use change?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1	



### > Water, chemical and pest management

7 / 22 31.8%



<p><b>85. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity?</b></p>	✗	0 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<p><b>86. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity?</b> The company reports figures for water use intensity but it is unclear whether this is improving as contradictory information</p>	P	0.5 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>

is provided.

	✓	1 / 1	Source
<b>87. Water use intensity?</b> 1.65 - Figure refers to m3 of water per ton of CPO produced.			
<b>88. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)?</b> The company reports that BOD levels are within legal limits.	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<b>89. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)?</b> The company reports that BOD is within specified legal limits.	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<b>90. Treatment of palm oil mill effluent (POME) and/or palm oil refinery effluent (PORE)?</b>	P	0.75 / 1	Source
<b>91. Commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?</b>	✓	1 / 1	Source
<b>92. Implementation of commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?</b>	✗	0 / 2	
<b>93. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?</b>	✓	1 / 1	Source
<b>94. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers, applies to all suppliers?</b>	✗	0 / 1	
<b>95. Implementation of commitment to reduce chemical usage?</b> The company reports a reduction in use of pesticides, but no figures are reported.	P	0.5 / 2	Source
<b>96. Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?</b> The company provides some pesticide and fertiliser usage data but it is not reported per hectare, nor are specific chemicals named.	P	0.5 / 1	Source
<b>97. Commitment to no use of paraquat?</b> The company is phasing out the use of paraquat but does not have a time-bound plan.	✗	0 / 1	Source
<b>98. Commitment to no use of paraquat applies to all suppliers?</b>	✗	0 / 1	
<b>99. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?</b>	✗	0 / 1	
<b>100. Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides applies to all suppliers?</b>	✗	0 / 1	
<b>101. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?</b>	✗	0 / 1	



	✘ 0 / 1
■ 102. Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention applies to all suppliers?	
	P 0.75 / 2 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 103. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach?	



## > Community, land and labour rights

17 / 25 / 46 37.5%

⚠ 3 media reports



■ 104. Commitment to human rights?	✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 105. Commitment to human rights applies to all suppliers?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 106. Progress on human rights commitment?	✘ 0 / 2
■ 107. Commitment to respect legal and customary land tenure rights?	✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 108. Commitment to legal and customary land rights applies to all suppliers?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 109. Details of process for addressing land conflicts available? The company "endeavours to resolve community complaints and conflicts through an open, transparent and consultative process", but no further details could be found.	P 0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 110. Commitment to respect indigenous and local communities' rights? The company commits to respect indigenous and local community rights in relation to FPIC, but it does not refer to the UN Declaration on Rights of Indigenous Peoples or the ILO Indigenous and Tribal Peoples Convention.	P 0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 111. Commitment to indigenous and local communities' rights applies to all suppliers?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 112. Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts? The company states it holds feedback and awareness meetings, and stakeholder forums for complaints, grievance resolution and adhoc engagement.	P 0.75 / 2 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 113. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)?	✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 114. Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) applies to all suppliers?	✘ 0 / 1
■ 115. Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available?	✘ 0 / 1

<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 116. Commitment to support the inclusion of women across palm oil operations, including addressing barriers faced?</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 117. Commitment to support the inclusion of women across palm oil operations, including addressing barriers faced, applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 118. Commitment to mitigate impacts on food security?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 119. Commitment to mitigate impacts on food security applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 120. Progress on commitment to mitigate impacts on food security?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 2</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 121. Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities?</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 122. Progress on commitment to provide essential community services and facilities? The company reports constructing a bridge to improve access and that it supported access to free medical care.</p>	<p>P 0.75 / 2 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 123. Commitment to respect all workers' rights?</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 124. Commitment to respect all workers' rights applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 125. Progress on commitment to respect all workers' rights?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 2</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 126. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles?</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 127. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 128. Commitment to eliminate gender-related discrimination with regards to employment and occupation?</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 129. Commitment to eliminate gender-related discrimination with regards to employment and occupation applies to all suppliers?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 130. Percentage or number of temporary employees?</p>	<p>✗ 0 / 1</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 131. Percentage or number of women employees? 1,618 (20%)</p>	<p>✓ 1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a></p>

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 132. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage?	✓	1 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 133. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 134. Progress on commitment to pay minimum wage? The company reports all workers are paid at least the minimum wage.	P	0.75 / 2	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/> 135. Reporting of salary by gender?	✗	0 / 1	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 136. Commitment to address occupational health and safety?	✓	1 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 137. Commitment to address occupational health and safety applies to all suppliers?	✗	0 / 1	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 138. Reduction of time lost due to work-based injuries?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 139. Time lost due to work-based injuries? 111.33 - The company reports the accident severity rate for one of its estates in 2017, indicating that within 1,000,000 working hours in one year, the estate lost 111.33 hours.	P	0.5 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 140. Zero fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?	P	0.75 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/> 141. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents? 0	✓	1 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 142. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training?	P	0.75 / 2	<a href="#">Source</a>



## > Certification standards

1 75 / 15 11 7%

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 143. Member of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO)? Subsidiary PT Sawindo Kencana joined the RSPO in 2015, but resigned as a member in May 2018.	✗	0 / 1	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 144. RSPO-certified within three years of joining the RSPO or by November 2010 for companies joining prior to finalisation of the RSPO certification systems in November 2007? Subsidiary PT Sawindo Kencana joined the RSPO in 2015, but resigned as a member in May 2018.	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 145. Submitted most recent RSPO Annual Communication of Progress (ACOP)?	✗	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 146. Listed all countries and regions in which operates in most recent RSPO Annual Communication of			

<b>Progress (ACOP)?</b>	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 147. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% RSPO certification of estates and mills within five years or achieved 100% RSPO-certification of estates?</b>	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 148. Percentage of area (ha) RSPO-certified?</b> 0 (0%)	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 149. Percentage of scheme/plasma smallholders (ha) RSPO-certified?</b> 0 (0%)	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 150. Percentage of mills RSPO-certified?</b> 0 (0%)	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 151. Time-bound plan for achieving 100% RSPO certification of scheme/plasma/ associated smallholders and outgrowers within five years or target already achieved?</b>	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 152. Percentage of FFB supply (tonnes) from independent smallholders/ outgrowers/third-party FFB suppliers that is RSPO-certified?</b> 0 (0%)	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 153. Year expected to achieve 100% RSPO certification of all palm product processing facilities?</b>	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 154. Percentage of all palm oil and oil palm products handled/traded/ processed (tonnes) that is RSPO-certified?</b>	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 155. Sells or processes/trades RSPO-certified palm oil through Segregated or Identity Preserved supply chains?</b>	✘	0 / 1
<b>■ 156. Indonesia Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) certified (100%)?</b> [Externally verified] The company reports that three of its subsidiaries, PT Sawindo Kencana, PT Alamraya Kencana Mas and PT Sawit Kaltim Lestari (SKL), are ISPO certified, but only a fourth subsidiary, PT Agro Inti Kencanamas, can be found on the ISPO website.	P	0.75 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
<b>■ 157. Malaysia Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO) certified?</b> This indicator is disabled as the company does not operate in Malaysia.		
<b>■ 158. Certified under voluntary sustainability certification scheme (e.g. ISCC, SAS, RSB, etc.)?</b> Two of the company's subsidiaries, PT. Sawindo Kencana and PT Alamraya Kencana Mas, are ISCC certified.	✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>



## > Smallholders and suppliers

3 / 16 18.8%

⚠ 1 media report

		✓	1 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 159. Commitment to support smallholders?			
		P	0.75 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 160. Programme to support scheme/plasma smallholders?			
The company has a Plasma Programme, which helps to provide funds for communities' plantation development, and provides training and mentoring in areas such as good agricultural practices, administration, basic financial management.			
		P	0.5 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 161. Percentage of scheme/plasma smallholders involved in programme?			
8,000 - The company reports 8,000 farmers within the Plasma partnership, but does not state the percentage this represents, or the total number of smallholders in its area of operation.			
		P	0.75 / 1 <a href="#">Source</a>
■ 162. Programme to support independent smallholders/outgrowers?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 163. Percentage of independent smallholders/outgrowers involved in programme?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 164. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company's policy and/or legal requirements?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 165. Percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company requirements?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 166. Time-bound plan to engage with all high-risk mills within three years?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 167. Programme to support high-risk mills to become compliant with sourcing policies?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 168. Regularly engages with a subset of high-risk mills?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 169. Procedures in place to assess all own and third-party supplying palm oil mills for risk level?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 170. Regularly assesses and categorises the risk level of all own and third-party supplying mills?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 171. Regularly reports the risk level of all own and third-party supplying mills identified in its supply chain?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 172. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 173. Time-bound action plans (including Key Performance Indicators) for suppliers to be in compliance with palm oil sourcing commitments?			
		✗	0 / 1
■ 174. Proportion of direct and indirect supply that comes from palm oil plantations which are compliant			

with palm oil sourcing policies?

✘ 0 / 1



> Governance and grievances

2 / 8 25%

⚠ 1 media report



<input type="checkbox"/> 175. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?	✓	1 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/> 176. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption applies to all suppliers?	✘	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 177. Progress on commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?	✘	0 / 2	
<input type="checkbox"/> 178. Disclosure of the company's management approach to tax and payments to governments?	✘	0 / 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 179. Whistleblowing procedure? The company has a whistleblowing policy, but the procedure is not detailed.	P	0.5 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/> 180. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders? The company states it is possible to file a letter of grievance, but no further details are provided.	P	0.5 / 1	<a href="#">Source</a>
<input type="checkbox"/> 181. Details of complaints and grievances disclosed?	✘	0 / 1	