## Sodinaf

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total score: 1%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Latest update:** July 2020  
**Next scheduled:** July 2021  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landbank</th>
<th>970,800 hectares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomson Reuters ticker:</td>
<td>Private company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomberg ticker:</td>
<td>Private company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities:</td>
<td>Timber production; Timber processing and manufacturing; Trading and distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locations:</td>
<td>Cameroon, Central African Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headquarters:</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total: | 1.75 / 181  
1% |
|--------|-------------|

### Sustainability policy and leadership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 media report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<p>| 1. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment for all its operations? | × 0 / 1 |
| 2. Sustainable forestry policy or commitment applies to all suppliers? | × 0 / 1 |
| 3. High-level position of responsibility for sustainability? | × 0 / 1 |
| 4. One or more members within the board of the company have responsibility for sustainability? | This indicator is disabled as the company is private. |
| 5. Percentage or number of women in senior management team? | × 0 / 1 |
| 6. Percentage or number of women board members? | This indicator is disabled as the company is private. |
| 7. Member of multiple industry schemes or other external initiatives to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production? | [Externally verified] The recently acquired subsidiary SFID is a member of ATIBT. |
| 8. Collaboration with stakeholders to reduce negative environmental or social outcomes associated with timber and pulp production? | × 0 / 1 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. Sustainability report published within last two years?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Reports through standardised reporting systems?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Climate risks assessment available?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Natural capital assessment available?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Lists countries and operations?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Lists countries sourcing from?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Total area of natural forest designated for wood/wood fibre production (ha)?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Total area of forest plantation (ha)?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Area of plantation/natural forest within outgrower schemes (ha)?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Unplanted (areas designated for future development as plantation forest) (ha)?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Conservation set-aside area, including HCV area (ha)?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Area of Intact Forest Landscape (ha)?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Number of Forest Management Units (FMUs)?</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: 3 - The company does not have a website but according to Open Timber Portal the company has at least two FMUs as part of its subsidiary SFID, and one FMU under Cambois, however, this does not cover all of the company's operations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Maps of forest management units (FMUs)?</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: The company does not have a website but maps are provided on Open Timber Portal for two FMUs under SFID, and one FMU under Cambois, however, this does not cover all of the company's operations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Forest management plans available for all FMUs?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Monitoring of forest management plan implementation available?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on use right (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average score of SFID and Cambois in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: <a href="http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators">http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators</a>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on forest management (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average score of SFID and Cambois in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: <a href="http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators">http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators</a>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on timber harvesting (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average score of SFID and Cambois in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company's current performance here: <a href="http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators">http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators</a>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Names and locations of all third-party supplying FMUs?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Number of company owned sawmills?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Names and locations of company owned sawmills?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Number of company-owned pulp and paper mills?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Names and locations of company-owned pulp and paper mills?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned sawmills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Reports total volumes (or percentages) sourced by company-owned pulp and paper mills that come from company's own operations and/or third-parties?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Number of third party supplying mills?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Names and locations of all third party supplying mills?</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Reports total volume (or percentages) sourced from third-party supplying mills that come from the</td>
<td>☒ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplying mills' own operations and/or third parties?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures to trace raw materials to country of harvest?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of supply traceable to country of harvest?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures to trace raw materials to FMU level?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of supply traceable to FMU level?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-bound plan for achieving 100% third-party legality verification of FMUs or achieved?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage area (ha) verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-bound plan to source only wood/wood fibre that is in legal compliance verified by a third party?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of all wood/wood fibre supply traded/processed verified as being in legal compliance by a third party?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage area (ha) FSC FM certified?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-bound plan for achieving 100% FSC FM certification of FMUs or achieved 100% FSC-certification of FMUs?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of wood/wood fibre supply (tonnes) from all suppliers that comes from FSC FM certified areas?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to source only wood/wood fibre that meets FSC Controlled Wood and/or PEFC Controversial Sources requirements?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage area (ha) PEFC certified (excluding FSC certified area)?</td>
<td>✗ 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52. Commitment to zero deforestation or zero conversion of natural ecosystems applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53. Criteria for defining deforestation?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54. Evidence of monitoring deforestation?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55. Amount of illegal/non-compliant deforestation recorded?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56. Amount of illegal//non-compliant deforestation recorded in supplier operations?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58. Commitment to restoration of non-compliant deforestation/conversion applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59. Implementing a landscape or jurisdictional level approach?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60. Commitment to biodiversity conservation?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61. Commitment to biodiversity conservation applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62. Identified species of conservation concern, referencing international or national system of species classification?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63. Examples of species and/or habitat conservation management?</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65. Commitment to no hunting or only sustainable hunting of species applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67. Commitment to protect forest areas from illegal activities applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68. Evidence of protecting forest areas from illegal activities?</td>
<td>0 / 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70. Commitment to no use of genetically modified organisms applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72. Commitment to conduct High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73. High Conservation Value (HCV) assessments available?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74. High Conservation Value (HCV) management and monitoring plans available?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76. Commitment to the High Carbon Stock (HCS) Approach applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77. High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessments available?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs)?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79. Commitment to conduct social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80. Social and environmental impact assessments (SEIAs) available, and associated management and monitoring plans?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on impact assessments (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score of SFID and Cambois in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company’s current performance here:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>82. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83. Commitment to no planting on peat of any depth applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84. Landbank or planted area on peat (ha)?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85. Implementation of commitment to no planting on peat of any depth?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87. Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88. Evidence of best management practices for soils and peat?</td>
<td>X 0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89. Commitment to reduced impact logging?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90. Commitment to reduced impact logging applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91. Evidence of implementing reduced impact logging practices?</td>
<td>X 0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92. Commitment to zero burning?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93. Commitment to zero burning applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94. Evidence of fire monitoring and management?</td>
<td>X 0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95. Details/number of hotspots/fires in company FMUs?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96. Details/number of hotspots/fires in suppliers operations?</td>
<td>X 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97. Time-bound commitment to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions intensity?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. GHG emissions intensity?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. GHG emissions from land use change?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100. Progress towards commitment to reduce GHG emissions intensity?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101. Methodology used to calculate GHG emissions?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102. Time-bound commitment to improve water use intensity?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103. Water use intensity?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104. Progress towards commitment on water use intensity?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105. Time-bound commitment to improve water quality (BOD and COD)?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106. Progress towards commitment on water quality (BOD and COD)?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107. Treatment of pulp and paper mill effluent?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108. Evidence of sawmill run-off containment and wastewater treatment?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109. Proportion of processing facilities with closed-loop water treatment system?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110. Commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111. Implementation of commitment to protect natural waterways through buffer zones?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112. Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers?</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Commitment to minimise the use of chemicals, including pesticides and chemical fertilisers, applies to all suppliers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Evidence of eliminating chlorine and chlorine compounds for bleaching?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Commitment to no use of World Health Organisation (WHO) Class 1A and 1B pesticides applies to all suppliers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Commitment to no use of chemicals listed under the Stockholm Convention and Rotterdam Convention applies to all suppliers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Chemical usage per ha or list of chemicals used?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Implementation of commitment to reduce chemical usage?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Waste management system in place to avoid negative impacts?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Commitment to human rights?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Commitment to human rights applies to all suppliers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Progress on human rights commitment?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Commitment to respect Indigenous and local communities’ rights?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.</td>
<td>Commitment to Indigenous and local communities’ rights applies to all suppliers? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.</td>
<td>Commitment to respect legal and customary land tenure rights? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129.</td>
<td>Commitment to legal and customary land rights applies to all suppliers? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.</td>
<td>Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC)? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.</td>
<td>Commitment to free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) applies to all suppliers? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.</td>
<td>Details of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) process available? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133.</td>
<td>Examples of local stakeholder engagement to prevent conflicts? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.</td>
<td>Details of process for addressing land conflicts available? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135.</td>
<td>Supports the inclusion of women across forestry operations, including addressing barriers faced? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.</td>
<td>Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on population rights (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137.</td>
<td>Commitment to enable sustainable use of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) by local communities? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138.</td>
<td>Commitment to provide essential community services and facilities? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.</td>
<td>Progress on commitment to provide essential community services and facilities? 0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.</td>
<td>Commitment to provide business/work opportunities for local communities? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141.</td>
<td>Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on labour regulations (at the time of SPOTT assessments)? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142.</td>
<td>Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles? 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143. Commitment to Fundamental ILO Conventions or Free and Fair Labour Principles applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144. Progress on commitment to respect all workers' rights?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146. Commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147. Progress on commitment to eliminate gender related discrimination with regards to employment?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148. Percentage or number of temporary employees?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149. Percentage or number of women employees?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151. Commitment to pay at least minimum wage applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152. Progress on commitment to pay at least minimum wage?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153. Reporting of salary by gender?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154. Commitment to address occupational health and safety?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155. Commitment to address occupational health and safety applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156. Provision of personal protective equipment and related training?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157. Time lost due to work-based injuries?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158. Number of fatalities as a result of work-based accidents?</td>
<td>× 0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159. Commitment to support smallholders?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160. Programme to support outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161. Percentage of outgrower scheme and/or independent smallholders involved in programme?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162. Process used to prioritise, assess and/or engage suppliers on compliance with company’s policy and/or legal requirements?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163. Number or percentage of suppliers assessed and/or engaged on compliance with company’s policy and/or legal requirements?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164. Suspension or exclusion criteria for suppliers?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165. Timebound action plans (including Key Performance Indicators) for suppliers to be in compliance with timber and pulp sourcing commitments?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166. Proportion of direct and indirect supply that comes from FMUs which are compliant with timber and pulp sourcing policies?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Governance and grievances**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>167. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168. Commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption applies to all suppliers?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169. Progress on commitment to ethical conduct and prohibition of corruption?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on legal registration (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score of SFID and Cambois in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company’s current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>171. Disclosure of the company’s management approach to tax and payments to governments?</td>
<td>0 / 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
172. Company has provided valid legal documents to Open Timber Portal on taxes, fees and royalties (at the time of SPOTT assessments)?

Average score of SFID and Cambois in this OTP category. Please note: Company scores on Open Timber Portal are updated daily. Visit the OTP website to review the company’s current performance here: http://www.opentimberportal.org/operators.

173. Whistleblowing procedure?

174. Own grievance or complaints system open to all stakeholders?

175. Details of complaints and grievances disclosed?

Media monitor: Sodinaf

SPOTT monitors global media sources for coverage of assessed companies. The media monitor gathers reports about specific activities related to the assessment indicator categories. ZSL does not assess or score the validity of media coverage, but users can explore the media monitor to provide context on implementation, and infer risks associated with reported operations on the ground.

Last media reports:

Timber and pulp media reports (3)

June 2019
En Centrafrique, le secteur forestier demande à être surveillé pour verdir la croissance (In the Central African Republic, the forestry sector needs to be monitored to green growth)

Visit external site